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#### Abstract

It is proved that if a linear operator $l: C([a, b], R) \rightarrow L([a, b], R)$ is nonpositive and for the Cauchy problem $u^{\prime \prime}(t)=l(u)(t)+q(t), u(a)=c$ the theorem on differential inequalities is valid, then $l$ is a Volterra operator.
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The following notation will be used throughout the paper.
$R$ is the set of all real numbers, $R_{+}=[0,+\infty[$.
$C([a, b] ; R)$ is the space of continuous functions $u:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{C}=\max \{|u(t)|: a \leq t \leq b\} .
$$

$C([a, b] ; \mathcal{D})$, where $\mathcal{D} \subset R$, is the set of continuous functions $u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.
$\widetilde{C}([a, b] ; \mathcal{D})$ is the set of absolutely continuous functions $u:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.
$L(] a, b[; R)$ is the space of integrable functions $p:] a, b[\rightarrow R$ with the norm

$$
\|p\|_{L}=\int_{a}^{b}|p(s)| d s
$$

$L(] a, b[; \mathcal{D})$, where $\mathcal{D} \subset R$, is the set of integrable functions $p:] a, b[\rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.
$\mathcal{L}_{a b}$ is the set of linear bounded operators $\ell: C([a, b] ; R) \rightarrow L(] a, b[; R)$.
$\mathcal{P}_{a b}$ is the set of linear operators $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{a b}$ mapping $C\left([a, b] ; R_{+}\right)$into $L(] a, b\left[; R_{+}\right)$.

An operator $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{a b}$ (resp., $\ell: L(] a, b[; R) \rightarrow C([a, b] ; R)$ ) is said to be Volterra operator if for any $c \in] a, b[$ and $g \in C([a, b] ; R)$ (resp., $g \in L(] a, b[; R)$ ) such that $g(t)=0$ for $a<t<c$, the equality $\ell(g)(t)=0$ holds for $a<t<c$.

Consider the Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime}(t)=\ell(u)(t)+g(t),  \tag{1}\\
u(a)=c, \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{a b}, g \in L(] a, b[; R)$, and $c \in R$. By a solution of problem (1), (2) we understand a function $u \in \widetilde{C}([a, b] ; R)$ satisfying condition (2) and equality (1) almost everywhere in $] a, b[$.

Definition 1. We say that an operator $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{a b}$ belongs to the set $\mathcal{S}_{a b}$ if the homogeneous problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime}(t)=\ell(u)(t)  \tag{0}\\
u(a)=0 \tag{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

has only the trivial solution and for arbitrary $c \geq 0, g \in L(] a, b\left[; R_{+}\right)$the solution of problem $(1),(2)$ is a nonnegative function.

Remark 1. Let problem $\left(1_{0}\right),\left(2_{0}\right)$ have only the trivial solution. Then $\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{a b}$ if and only if the lemma on differential inequalities holds, i.e., for any $u, v \in$ $\widetilde{C}([a, b] ; R)$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{\prime}(t) \leq \ell(u)(t)+g(t), \quad v^{\prime}(t) \geq \ell(v)(t)+g(t) \quad \text { for } a<t<b \\
u(a) \leq v(a)
\end{gathered}
$$

the inequality $u(t) \leq v(t)$ is fulfilled for $a \leq t \leq b$.
In the paper [1], sufficient conditions are established guaranteeing the inclusion $\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{a b}$. In particular, in Theorem 1.1 iv$)$ the following proposition is proved.

Proposition 1. Let $-\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{a b}$, $\ell$ be Volterra operator, and let there exist $\gamma \in \widetilde{C}([a, b] ;] 0,+\infty[)$ such that $\gamma^{\prime}(t) \leq \ell(\gamma)(t)$ for $a<t<b$. Then $\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{a b}$.

In [1], there is also an example showing that the condition on $\ell$ to be Volterra operator is essential and it cannot be weakened. Below we will prove (see Theorem 2) that in Proposition 1 the condition on $\ell$ to be Volterra operator is not only sufficient but even necessary.

Before we formulate the main results, let us introduce the following definition.
Definition 2. Let problem $\left(1_{0}\right),\left(2_{0}\right)$ have only the trivial solution. Denote by $\Omega$ the operator that takes each function $g \in L(] a, b[; R)$ to the solution of problem (1), $\left(2_{0}\right)$.

Remark 2. From Theorem 1 in [2] it follows that if problem $\left(1_{0}\right),\left(2_{0}\right)$ has only the trivial solution, then for any $c \in R$ and $g \in L(] a, b[; R)$ problem (1), (2) has a unique solution. Therefore the operator $\Omega$ is well defined. It is also clear that $\Omega$ is a linear operator which maps the set $L(] a, b[; R)$ into the set $C([a, b] ; R)$.

Remark 3. From Theorem 1.4 in [3] it follows that if problem $\left(1_{0}\right),\left(2_{0}\right)$ has only the trivial solution and there exists a function $h \in L(] a, b\left[; R_{+}\right)$such that on the set $C([a, b] ; R)$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\ell(u)(t)| \leq h(t)\|u\|_{C} \quad \text { for } \quad a<t<b \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is fulfilled, then the operator $\Omega$ is continuous. Note that inequality (3) is fulfilled, e.g., if $-\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{a b}$.

Theorem 1. Let $-\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{a b}$ and $\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{a b}$. Then $\Omega$ is Volterra operator.

Proof. Let $g \in L(] a, b[; R), c \in] a, b[$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)=0 \quad \text { for } a<t<c . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(g)(t)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad a \leq t \leq c \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $u$ the solution of the problem (1), (20) and by $v$ the solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=\ell(u)(t)+|g(t)| \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying condition $\left(2_{0}\right)$.
In view of $\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{a b}$ and Remark 1 we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
v(t) \geq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad a \leq t \leq b  \tag{7}\\
u(t) \leq v(t) \quad \text { for } \quad a \leq t \leq b \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $-\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{a b}$, (6) and (7) imply

$$
v^{\prime}(t) \leq|g(t)| \quad \text { for } a<t<b
$$

Hence by (4) and (7) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(t)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad a \leq t \leq c \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from (1), (6), and (8) on account of $-\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{a b}$, we get

$$
(u(t)-v(t))^{\prime}=\ell(u-v)(t)+g(t)-|g(t)| \geq g(t)-|g(t)| \quad \text { for } a<t<b
$$

Hence in view of (4) and (9) we have

$$
u^{\prime}(t) \geq v^{\prime}(t)=0 \quad \text { for } a<t<c
$$

This together with (8) and (9) results in

$$
u(t)=0 \quad \text { for } a \leq t \leq c .
$$

Consequently (since $u(t)=\Omega(g)(t)$ for $a \leq t \leq b$ ), the equality (5) is fulfilled.

Theorem 2. Let $-\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{a b}$ and $\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{a b}$. Then $\ell$ is Volterra operator.
Proof. Assume the contrary that there exist $v_{0} \in C([a, b] ; R)$ and $\left.c \in\right] a, b[$ such that

$$
v_{0}(t)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad a \leq t \leq c, \quad \operatorname{mes}\{t \in] a, c\left[: \ell\left(v_{0}\right)(t) \neq 0\right\}>0
$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{mes}\{t \in] a, c\left[: \ell\left(v_{0}\right)(t)<0\right\}>0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega\left(\ell\left(\left|v_{0}\right|\right)\right)(t)=0 \quad \text { for } a \leq t \leq c \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose a sequence of functions $v_{k} \in \widetilde{C}([a, b] ; R), k=1,2, \ldots$, such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|v_{k}-\left|v_{0}\right|\right\|_{C}=0  \tag{12}\\
v_{k}(t)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad a \leq t \leq c, \quad k=1,2, \ldots \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

According to Remark 3 and (12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\Omega\left(\ell\left(v_{k}\right)\right)-\Omega\left(\ell\left(\left|v_{0}\right|\right)\right)\right\|_{C}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $v_{k}^{\prime}(t)=\ell\left(v_{k}\right)(t)+g_{k}(t)$ for $a<t<b$, where $g_{k}(t) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} v_{k}^{\prime}(t)-$ $\ell\left(v_{k}\right)(t)$ for $a<t<b$. Consequently,

$$
v_{k}(t)=\Omega\left(g_{k}\right)(t)=\Omega\left(v_{k}^{\prime}\right)(t)-\Omega\left(\ell\left(v_{k}\right)\right)(t) \quad \text { for } a \leq t \leq b, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

Hence, taking into account the fact that $\Omega$ is Volterra operator (see Theorem 1) and condition (13), we obtain

$$
\Omega\left(\ell\left(v_{k}\right)\right)(t)=-v_{k}(t)=0 \quad \text { for } \quad a \leq t \leq c, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
$$

Now in view of (14) we get the equality (11) is fulfilled.
Denote by $u$ the solution of problem (1), $\left(2_{0}\right)$, where

$$
g(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
-\ell\left(\left|v_{0}\right|\right)(t) & \text { for } a<t<c  \tag{15}\\
0 & \text { for } c<t<b
\end{array} .\right.
$$

It is evident that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t) \geq 0 \quad \text { for } a<t<b \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, since $-\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{a b}$, the inequlity $\ell\left(\left|v_{0}\right|\right)(t) \leq \ell\left(v_{0}\right)(t)$ holds for $a<$ $t<b$, and consequently, due to (10),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{mes}\{t \in] a, c[: g(t)>0\}>0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u(t)=\Omega(g)(t)$ for $a \leq t \leq b$, on account of the fact that $\Omega$ is Volterra operator and condition (11), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=0 \quad \text { for } a \leq t \leq c \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\ell \in \mathcal{S}_{a b}$ and Remark 1, from (1), (2 $2_{0}$ ), and (16) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \geq 0 \quad \text { for } a \leq t \leq b \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now in view of $-\ell \in \mathcal{P}_{a b}$, (1) implies

$$
u^{\prime}(t) \leq g(t) \quad \text { for } a<t<b
$$

Hence, on account of (15), we obtain

$$
u^{\prime}(t) \leq 0 \quad \text { for } c<t<b
$$

The last inequality together with (18) and (19) results in $u(t)=0$ for $a \leq t \leq b$. Thus (1) yields

$$
g(t)=0 \quad \text { for } a<t<c
$$

which contradicts (17).
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