

# Open induction in a $TC^0$ arithmetic

Emil Jeřábek

[jerabek@math.cas.cz](mailto:jerabek@math.cas.cz)

<http://math.cas.cz/~jerabek/>

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences, Prague

# Motivation

Correspondence of theories of bounded arithmetic  $T$  and computational complexity classes  $C$ :

- Provably total computable functions of  $T$  are  $C$ -functions
- $T$  can do reasoning using  $C$ -predicates (comprehension, induction, ...)

Feasible reasoning:

- Given a natural concept  $P \in C$ , what can we prove about  $P$  using only concepts from  $C$ ?
- That is: what does  $T$  prove about  $P$ ?

Our  $P$ : elementary integer arithmetic operations  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $\leq$

# The class $\text{TC}^0$

$\text{TC}^0$  = DLOGTIME-uniform  $O(1)$ -depth  $n^{O(1)}$ -size  
unbounded fan-in circuits with threshold gates  
=  $O(\log n)$  time,  $O(1)$  thresholds  
on a threshold Turing machine  
= FOM-definable on finite structures  
representing strings  
(first-order logic with majority quantifiers)

Weak subclass of polynomial time

# $\text{TC}^0$ and arithmetic operations

For integers given in binary:

- $+$  and  $\leq$  are in  $\text{AC}^0 \subseteq \text{TC}^0$
- $\times$  is in  $\text{TC}^0$  ( $\text{TC}^0$ -complete under Turing reductions)

$\text{TC}^0$  can also do:

- iterated addition  $\sum_{i < n} x_i$
- integer division and iterated multiplication [HAB'02]
- the corresponding operations on  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$
- approximate functions given by nice power series:
  - $\sin x$ ,  $\log x$ ,  $\sqrt[k]{x}$
- sorting, ...

$\Rightarrow \text{TC}^0$  is the right class for basic arithmetic operations

# The theory $VTC^0$

The most common theory corresponding to  $TC^0$  is  $VTC^0$ :

- Zambella-style two-sorted bounded arithmetic
  - unary (auxiliary) integers with  $0, 1, +, \cdot, \leq$
  - finite sets = binary integers = binary strings
- Noteworthy axioms:
  - $\Sigma_0^B$ -comprehension ( $\Sigma_0^B =$  bounded, w/o SO q'fiers)
  - every set has a counting function
- $\Sigma_1^1$ -definable functions are exactly  $FTC^0$
- Has induction, minimization, ... for  $TC^0$ -predicates

# Binary arithmetic in $VTC^0$

$VTC^0$

- can define  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $\leq$  on **binary** integers
- proves integers form a discretely ordered ring ( $DOR$ )

**Basic question:**

What other properties of  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $\leq$  for binary integers are provable in  $VTC^0$ ?

**In particular:** does  $VTC^0$  include Shepherdson's theory  $IOpen = DOR +$  **quantifier-free induction** in  $L = \langle +, \cdot, \leq \rangle$ ?

$$\varphi(0) \wedge \forall x (\varphi(x) \rightarrow \varphi(x + 1)) \rightarrow \forall x \geq 0 \varphi(x)$$

**Annoying trouble:** Unknown if  $VTC^0$  can formalize the [HAB'02] algorithms for iterated multiplication and division

$$VTC^0 \vdash \underbrace{\forall X \forall Y > 0 \exists Q \exists R < Y (X = Y \cdot Q + R)}_{DIV}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Consider iterated multiplication as an additional axiom:

$$(IMUL) \quad \forall X, n \exists Y \forall i \leq j < n (Y^{[\langle i, i \rangle]} = 1 \wedge Y^{[\langle i, j+1 \rangle]} = Y^{[\langle i, j \rangle]} \cdot X^{[j]})$$

$$\text{Think } Y^{[\langle i, j \rangle]} = \prod_{k=i}^{j-1} X^{[k]}$$

**Note:**  $VTC^0 + IMUL$  corresponds to  $TC^0$ , just like  $VTC^0$   
 $VTC^0 + IMUL \vdash DIV$

We need  $IMUL$  rather than  $DIV$  for technical reasons

# *I*Open algebraized

For a *DOR*  $D$ , the following are equivalent [Shep'64]:

- $D \models \text{I}Open$
- $D$  is an integer part of a real-closed field  $R \supseteq D$ :

$$\forall \alpha \in R \exists x \in D (x \leq \alpha < x + 1)$$

- If  $u < v \in D$  and  $f \in D[x]$  is such that  $f(u) \leq 0 < f(v)$ , there is  $u \leq x < v$  in  $D$  such that  $f(x) \leq 0 < f(x + 1)$



# Open induction and root finding

Corollary: The following are equivalent:

- $VTC^0 \pm IMUL$  proves  $IOpen$
- For any constant  $d > 0$ ,  $VTC^0 \pm IMUL$  can formalize a  $TC^0$  (real or complex) root approximation algorithm for degree  $d$  polynomials

**Good news:**  $TC^0$  root approximation algorithms exist for any constant  $d$  [J'12]

**Bad news:** The argument heavily relies on complex analysis  
 $\Rightarrow$  not suitable for  $VTC^0 + IMUL$

# Proof overview

We show  $VTC^0 + IMUL \vdash IOpen$  using a mixed strategy:

- (1) **Direct proof** of a form of the **Lagrange inversion formula**
  - polynomials can be locally inverted by **power series**
  - use this to compute roots of polynomials with **small constant coefficient**
  
- (2) **Model-theoretic argument** employing **valued fields**
  - the fraction field  $F$  of a DOR  $D$  carries a natural **valuation** induced by  $\leq$
  - $D \models DIV \Rightarrow D$  is an **integer part** of the completion  $\hat{F}$
  - $D$  comes from  $M \models VTC^0 + IMUL$ 
    - $\Rightarrow \hat{F}$  is **henselian** due to (1)
    - $\Rightarrow \hat{F}$  is a **real-closed field** if  $M$  is  $\omega$ -saturated

# Lagrange inversion formula

Let  $f(z) = \sum_{j=1}^d a_j z^j$ ,  $a_1 = 1$ , and consider  $g(w) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n w^n$ ,

$$b_n = \sum_{\sum_j (j-1)m_j = n-1} C_{m_2, \dots, m_d} \prod_{j=2}^d (-a_j)^{m_j}$$

$$C_{m_2, \dots, m_d} = \frac{(\sum_{j=2}^d j m_j)!}{(\sum_{j=2}^d (j-1)m_j + 1)! \prod_{j=2}^d m_j!}$$

( $a_j, b_n, C_{\vec{m}}$  are binary rationals,  $n, m_j$  unary integers)

Lagrange inversion formula (LIF):

$f(g(w)) = w$  as formal power series

# LIF in $VTC^0 + IMUL$

**Theorem 1:**  $VTC^0 + IMUL$  proves LIF for any constant  $d$

**Proof:** By a convoluted but elementary induction on  $\vec{m} = \langle m_2, \dots, m_d \rangle$ , show the identity

$$C_{\vec{m}} = \sum_{k=2}^d \sum_{\vec{m}^1 + \dots + \vec{m}^k = \vec{m} - \delta^k} C_{\vec{m}^1} \cdots C_{\vec{m}^k} \quad (\vec{m} \neq \vec{0})$$

$VTC^0 + IMUL$  also proves a bound on the coefficients  $b_n$ :

**Lemma:**  $|b_n| \leq (4a)^{n-1}$ , where  $a = \max\{1, \sum_{j=2}^d |a_j|\}$

# Root approximation with LIF

**Theorem 2:**  $VTC^0 + IMUL$  proves for any constant  $d$ :

Let  $h(z) = \sum_{j=0}^d a_j z^j$ ,  $a_1 = 1$ . Put  $f(z) = h(z) - a_0$ , and let  $g, b_n, a$  be as above.

If  $|a_0| < 1/(4a)$ , the partial sums  $z_N = \sum_{n=1}^N b_n (-a_0)^n$  satisfy

$$|z_N| \leq \frac{|a_0|}{1 - 4a|a_0|}$$

$$|z_N - z_M| \leq \frac{|a_0|}{1 - 4a|a_0|} (4a|a_0|)^{N-1}$$

$$|h(z_N)| \leq |a_0| N^d (4a|a_0|)^N$$

for any unary  $N \leq M$ .

# Valued fields

**valuation**  $v: K \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$  on a field  $K$ :

- **value group**  $\Gamma$ : totally ordered abelian group
- $v(x) = \infty \Leftrightarrow x = 0$
- $v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$
- $v(x + y) \geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$
- **valuation ring**  $O = \{x \in K : v(x) \geq 0\}$
- **maximal ideal**  $I = \{x \in K : v(x) > 0\} = O \setminus O^*$
- **residue field**  $k = O/I$

$v$  is defined by the valuation ring up to equivalence:

$\Gamma \simeq K^*/O^*$ ,  $v: K^* \rightarrow K^*/O^*$  quotient map

# Valuation on ordered fields

$\langle K, \leq \rangle$  ordered field  $\Rightarrow$  natural valuation  $v$  with

$$O = \{x \in K : \exists n \in \mathbb{N} |x| \leq n\}$$

$$I = \{x \in K : \forall n \in \mathbb{N} |x| \leq 1/n\}$$

- residue field: archimedean OF  $\Rightarrow k \subseteq \mathbb{R}$
- the completion  $\hat{K}$  of  $\langle K, v \rangle$  is the largest ordered field extension of  $K$  in which  $K$  is dense

# Open induction and valued fields

$M \models VTC^0 + IMUL$  induces DOR  $D$ , let  $F$  be its fraction field

Using  $D \models DIV$ , we have:

$D \models IOpen \Leftrightarrow D$  integer part of a RCF

$\Leftrightarrow F$  dense subfield of a RCF

$\Leftrightarrow \hat{F}$  is a RCF

**Criterion:**  $K$  ordered field,  $O$  convex valuation ring of  $K$   
 $\Rightarrow K$  is a RCF iff

(1) value group  $\Gamma = K^*/O^*$  is divisible

(2) residue field  $k = O/I$  is a RCF

(3)  $O$  is henselian



# Checking the conditions

In our case:

(1)  $\Gamma$  is divisible—easy

(2) We can assume  $M$   $\omega$ -saturated, then  $k = \mathbb{R}$  RCF

(3) We need: any  $h(x) = \sum_{j \leq d} a_j x^j \in O[x]$  such that  $a_1 = 1$ ,  $a_0 \in I$ , has a root in  $O$ . This follows from Theorem 2.

We obtain

**Theorem 3:**  $VTC^0 + IMUL \vdash IOpen$

# What about $VTC^0$ ?

Question: Does  $VTC^0$  prove  $IOpen$ ?

Theorem 3 and [JP'98] imply that TFAE:

- $VTC^0 \vdash IOpen$
- $VTC^0 \vdash IMUL$
- $VTC^0 \vdash DIV$

$\Rightarrow$  the problem is whether  $VTC^0$  can formalize the division algorithm of [HAB'02]

**Thank you for attention!**

# References

S. Cook, P. Nguyen, *Logical foundations of proof complexity*, CUP, 2010.

A. Engler, A. Prestel, *Valued fields*, Springer, 2005.

W. Hesse, E. Allender, D. Mix Barrington, *Uniform constant-depth threshold circuits for division and iterated multiplication*, J. Comp. System Sci. 65 (2002), 695–716.

E. Jeřábek, *Root finding with threshold circuits*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 462 (2012), 59–69.

J. Johannsen, C. Pollett, *On proofs about threshold circuits and counting hierarchies (extended abstract)*, in: Proc. 13th LICS, 1998, 444–452.

J. Shepherdson, *A nonstandard model for a free variable fragment of number theory*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 12 (1964), 79–86.