

# BESOV-BERGMAN SPACES OF $M$ -HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

PETR BLASCHKE AND MIROSLAV ENGLIŠ

ABSTRACT. We show that the weighted Bergman spaces of  $M$ -harmonic functions (functions annihilated by the invariant Laplacian on the unit ball of the complex  $n$ -space), as well as their analytic continuation (in the spirit of Rossi and Vergne), coincide with the certain Besov-type spaces, which were studied by Folland. Characterizations in terms of tangential derivatives are given, and for appropriate values of the weight parameter, these spaces are also shown to coincide with the subspaces of all  $M$ -harmonic functions in the Sobolev space of order  $t$  on the ball,  $0 \leq t \leq n$ . Unlike the holomorphic case, the last result is shown to fail in general for other values of  $t$ . The main tool in the proofs are asymptotic estimates for certain integrals of squared hypergeometric functions, which seem to be of interest in their own right and may find other applications.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbf{B}^n$  denote the unit ball in the complex  $n$ -space  $\mathbf{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , with its hyperbolic Laplacian

$$\tilde{\Delta} = 4(1 - |z|^2) \sum_{j,k=1}^n (\delta_{jk} - z_j \bar{z}_k) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}$$

invariant under the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  of all biholomorphic self-maps (Moebius transformations) of  $\mathbf{B}^n$ . Functions annihilated by  $\tilde{\Delta}$  are called *Moebius harmonic* (or *invariantly harmonic*), or  *$M$ -harmonic* for short; see Chapter 4.3 in Rudin [Ru]. For any  $s > -1$ , one has the standard rotationally symmetric probability measure on  $\mathbf{B}^n$

$$d\mu_s(z) = \frac{(s+1)^n}{\pi^n} (1 - |z|^2)^n dz$$

(where  $dz$  denotes the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ ), and the associated subspaces of all  $M$ -harmonic functions in  $L^2(\mathbf{B}^n, d\mu_s)$ , or *weighted  $M$ -harmonic Bergman spaces*:

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{M}_s := \{f \in L^2(\mathbf{B}^n, d\mu_s) : f \text{ is } M\text{-harmonic on } \mathbf{B}^n\}.$$

Here  $(\nu)_k := \nu(\nu+1) \dots (\nu+k-1)$  stands for the usual Pochhammer symbol (rising factorial).

For the analogous spaces of holomorphic, rather than  $M$ -harmonic, functions, i.e. the ordinary weighted Bergman spaces

$$\mathcal{A}_s := \{f \in L^2(\mathbf{B}^n, d\mu_s) : f \text{ is holomorphic on } \mathbf{B}^n\}, \quad s > -1,$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 32A36; Secondary 46E35, 31C05.

*Key words and phrases*.  $M$ -harmonic function, invariant Laplacian, Bergman space, Besov space, Sobolev space.

Research supported by GAČR grant no. 25-18042S and RVO funding for IČO 67985840.

the corresponding norm can easily be expressed in terms of Taylor coefficients:

$$(2) \quad \|f\|_s^2 := \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} |f(z)|^2 d\mu_s(z) = \sum_{\alpha \text{ multi-index}} |f_\alpha|^2 \frac{\alpha!}{(s+n+1)_{|\alpha|}}$$

for  $f = \sum_{\alpha} f_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$  (with the usual multi-index notation). Remarkably, the right-hand side continues to be (well-defined and) positive definite not only for  $s > -1$ , but for all  $s > -n - 1$ ; in this way, one obtains an “analytic continuation” of the weighted Bergman spaces  $\mathcal{A}_s$ ,  $s > -1$ , to all  $s > -n - 1$ , with the associated squared norms being still given by the sum in (2). This has been done even in much greater generality, for  $\mathbf{B}^n$  replaced by an arbitrary bounded symmetric domain in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , by Rossi and Vergne [RV]. Furthermore, the corresponding spaces  $\mathcal{A}_s$ ,  $s > -n - 1$ , turn out to be Besov-type spaces: for our case of  $\mathbf{B}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_s$  coincides with the subspace  $W_{\text{hol}}^{-s/2}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  of all holomorphic functions in the Sobolev space  $W^{-s/2}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  on  $\mathbf{B}^n$  of order  $-\frac{s}{2}$ , with equivalent norms. This makes it possible to extend the definition of  $\mathcal{A}_s$  even further, from  $s > -n - 1$  to all real  $s$ . All this can actually be shown to remain in force also for  $\mathbf{B}^n$  replaced by an arbitrary bounded strictly-pseudoconvex domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  with smooth boundary. The situation also turns out to be completely analogous for the weighted Bergman spaces of *harmonic* functions on a bounded domain in the real  $n$ -space  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with smooth boundary (we omit the details).

For our  $M$ -harmonic weighted Bergman spaces  $\mathcal{M}_s$  above, it has recently been shown by E.-H. Youssfi and one of the authors [EY2] that there is, again, an analogous “analytic continuation” of the spaces  $\mathcal{M}_s$  from  $s > -1$  to  $s > -n - 1$ ; furthermore, as a “residue” at  $s = -n - 1$ , one obtains a certain Dirichlet space of  $M$ -harmonic functions. Our goal in this paper is to show that these “analytically continued” spaces  $\mathcal{M}_s$ ,  $s > -n - 1$ , are again Besov (or Sobolev) type spaces, however, with derivatives taken only in complex tangential directions. Furthermore, their definition can again be extended even to all real  $s$ , and then they coincide also with the subspaces  $W_{\text{Mh}}^{-s/2}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  of all  $M$ -harmonic functions in the ordinary Sobolev space  $W^{-s/2}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  on  $\mathbf{B}^n$  again of order  $-\frac{s}{2}$ , for  $-2n \leq s \leq 0$ , but in general this is no longer true for other values of  $s$ .

To describe our results, consider the vector fields

$$(3) \quad L_{jk} := \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} - \bar{z}_k \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, \quad \bar{L}_{jk} := z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k} - z_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, n,$$

on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ ; clearly, these are tangential to all spheres  $|z| \equiv \text{const.}$ , and  $L_{jk}$  and  $\bar{L}_{jk}$  generate (very redundantly) the holomorphic and the anti-holomorphic complex tangent space, respectively, to these spheres. For notational convenience, set

$$(4) \quad L_{jk} := X_{(n-1)j+k}, \quad \bar{L}_{jk} := X_{n^2+(n-1)j+k}, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, n,$$

so that  $\{L_{jk}\}_{j,k=1}^n \cup \{\bar{L}_{jk}\}_{j,k=1}^n = \{X_j\}_{j=1}^{2n^2}$ . The operator

$$\square := - \sum_{j,k=1}^n (L_{jk} \bar{L}_{jk} + \bar{L}_{jk} L_{jk})$$

can be viewed as the counterpart on  $\partial\mathbf{B}^n$  of the Folland-Stein sublaplacian on the Heisenberg group [FS]. Although the individual operators  $L_{jk}$  and  $\bar{L}_{jk}$  do not necessarily map an  $M$ -harmonic function again into an  $M$ -harmonic function, the

operator  $\square$  does; in fact, one can exhibit an explicit orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions of  $\square$  with nonnegative eigenvalues, thanks to which it is possible to define  $(I + \square)^t f$  for any  $t \in \mathbf{C}$  and any  $M$ -harmonic function  $f$  on  $\mathbf{B}^n$ . (See Section 2 below for all details.) Finally, in addition to the norms  $\|\cdot\|_s$ ,  $s > -1$ , in  $L^2(\mathbf{B}^n, d\mu_s)$ , let us also denote by  $\|\cdot\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}$  the norm in  $L^2(\partial\mathbf{B}^n, d\sigma)$  with respect to the normalized surface measure  $d\sigma$  on the unit sphere  $\partial\mathbf{B}^n$ , and for an  $M$ -harmonic function  $f$ , denote

$$(5) \quad \|f\|_{\text{Hardy}}^2 := \sup_{0 < r < 1} \|f_r\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2, \quad \text{where } f_r(\zeta) := f(r\zeta), \quad 0 < r < 1, \zeta \in \partial\mathbf{B}^n.$$

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem** (Theorem 8). *For  $f$   $M$ -harmonic on  $\mathbf{B}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $s > -n - 1$ , the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (a)  $f \in \mathcal{M}_s$ ;
- (b)  $\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m=1}^{2n^2} \|X_{j_1} \cdots X_{j_m} f\|_{s+m}^2 + |f(0)|^2 < +\infty$  for some (equivalently, any)  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $m > -s - 1$ ;
- (c)  $\|(I + \square)^{t/2} f\|_{s+t}^2 < +\infty$  for some (equivalently, any)  $t > -s - 1$ ;
- (d)  $\|(I + \square)^{-(s+1)/2} f\|_{\text{Hardy}}^2 < +\infty$ .

Furthermore, the quantities above are all equivalent to  $\|f\|_s^2$ .

In particular, defining, for any  $s \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_s := \{f \text{ } M\text{-harmonic on } \mathbf{B}^n : \|f\|_s := \|(I + \square)^{-(s+1)/2} f\|_{\text{Hardy}} < +\infty\},$$

we will have  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_s = \mathcal{M}_s$  for all  $s > -n - 1$ , with equivalent norms, yielding again an extension of the definition of  $\mathcal{M}_s$  from  $s > -n - 1$  to all real  $s$ . Furthermore, the quantities in parts (b), (c) of Theorem 8 will still be equivalent norms on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_s$ .

We pause to note that the spaces  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_s$  were studied in the paper by Folland [Fo1], where they were denoted by  $B_{-s-1,0}$  (cf. page 111 there); thus our result implies that for  $s > -1$  these Besov spaces of Folland actually coincide with the weighted  $M$ -harmonic Bergman spaces  $\mathcal{M}_s$ .

Our second result is a characterization of the “full” Sobolev spaces of  $M$ -harmonic functions

$$W_{\text{Mh}}^t(\mathbf{B}^n) := \{f \in W^t(\mathbf{B}^n) : f \text{ is } M\text{-harmonic on } \mathbf{B}^n\},$$

albeit only for a certain range of the real order  $t$ .

**Theorem** (Theorem 17). *For  $0 \leq t \leq n$ ,  $W_{\text{Mh}}^t(\mathbf{B}^n) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{-2t}$ .*

The main point of the last theorem is, of course, that — perhaps surprisingly — the complex tangential derivatives  $L_{jk}, \bar{L}_{jk}$  already control (on  $M$ -harmonic functions) also the complex normal derivative and the real normal derivative. Naturally, this fails for general (i.e. not  $M$ -harmonic) functions.

The assertion of Theorem 17 is also shown to fail in general for  $t > n$ .

Our proofs use the decomposition of  $M$ -harmonic functions into “bigraded spherical harmonics” due to Folland [Fo1], [Fo2]. Namely, any  $M$ -harmonic function on  $\mathbf{B}^n$  can be uniquely written in the form

$$(6) \quad f = \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} f_{pq},$$

where the series converges uniformly on compact subsets, and the “pieces”  $f_{pq}$  have the special form

$$f_{pq}(z) = S_{pq}(|z|^2)\tilde{f}_{pq}(z, \bar{z})$$

where  $S_{pq}$  is a certain hypergeometric function (independent of  $f$ ) while  $\tilde{f}_{pq}$  is a (Euclidean) harmonic polynomial on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  homogeneous of bidegree  $(p, q)$  in  $(z, \bar{z})$ . Furthermore, for  $f$  as in (6), the norm in  $\mathcal{M}_s$ ,  $s > -1$ , is given by

$$(7) \quad \|f\|_s^2 = \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} c_{pq}(s) \|\tilde{f}_{pq}\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2$$

where

$$(8) \quad c_{pq}(s) = \frac{(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 t^{p+q+n-1} (1-t)^s S_{pq}(t)^2 dt.$$

It was shown in [EY2] that  $c_{pq}(s)$  actually extend, for each  $p, q \geq 0$ , to a holomorphic function of  $s$  on the entire  $\mathbf{C}$ , except for (possible) poles at  $s = -n - 1 - j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . It turns out to be surprisingly difficult to obtain bounds for the last integral with  $s > -1$ , valid uniformly for all  $p, q \geq 0$ , and even more so for its analytic continuation to  $s > -n - 1$  just mentioned. The following result is the crucial ingredient for the proof of Theorem 8.

**Corollary** (Corollary 7). *For each  $s > -n - 1$ , there exist constants  $0 < c_s < C_s < +\infty$  such that*

$$(9) \quad c_s \leq (p+1)^{s+1} (q+1)^{s+1} c_{pq}(s) \leq C_s \quad \forall p, q \geq 0.$$

This estimate also resolves (affirmatively) a conjecture from the earlier paper [EY2]. The corollary is an easy consequence of an alternative formula for  $c_{pq}(s)$  (Theorem 3), which is of interest in its own right and may find other applications.

The proof of Corollary 7 is the content of Section 3, after recalling the needed preliminaries on  $M$ -harmonic functions in Section 2. The proofs of Theorems 8 and 17 appear in Sections 4 and 5, respectively.

Throughout the paper, we use the notation  $\langle f, g \rangle$  for the inner product in various function spaces. The notation  $A \lesssim B$  means that there exists a finite constant  $c$ , independent of the variables in question, such that  $A \leq cB$ ; and  $A \asymp B$  means that  $A \lesssim B$  and  $B \lesssim A$ . The symbols  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}$ , commonly abbreviated just to  $\partial_j$  and  $\bar{\partial}_j$ , respectively, stand for the usual Wirtinger operators on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ . For notational convenience, we sometimes use the shorthand

$$\Gamma \left( \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k \end{matrix} \right) := \frac{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(a_2)\dots\Gamma(a_j)}{\Gamma(b_1)\Gamma(b_2)\dots\Gamma(b_k)}.$$

Finally,  $\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$  denote the sets of all integers, all nonnegative integers, all real and all complex numbers, respectively.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Composition with elements of the unitary group  $U(n)$

$$(10) \quad f \longmapsto f \circ U^{-1}, \quad U \in U(n),$$

gives a unitary representation of  $U(n)$  on the space  $L^2(\partial\mathbf{B}^n, d\sigma)$ . The Peter-Weyl decomposition of this action into irreducible components is given by

$$(11) \quad L^2(\partial\mathbf{B}^n, d\sigma) = \bigoplus_{p,q=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{pq},$$

where  $\mathcal{H}^{pq}$ , the spaces of “bigraded spherical harmonics”, are defined as

$$\mathcal{H}^{pq} := \{\tilde{f}|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n} : \tilde{f} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}^{pq}}\},$$

where  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}^{pq}}$  is the vector space of all harmonic polynomials  $\tilde{f}(z, \bar{z})$  on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  homogeneous of degree  $p$  in  $z$  and homogeneous of degree  $q$  in  $\bar{z}$ . The restriction map  $\tilde{f} \rightarrow f = \tilde{f}|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}$  is one-to-one from  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}^{pq}}$  onto  $\mathcal{H}^{pq}$ .

Denote

$$(12) \quad S_{pq}(t) := \frac{{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| t\right)}{{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right)} = \frac{(n)_p(n)_q}{(n)_{p+q}} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| t\right),$$

where  ${}_2F_1$  denotes the Gauss hypergeometric function; and define the space of “solid harmonics” of bidegree  $(p, q)$  by

$$(13) \quad \mathbf{H}^{pq} := \{S_{pq}(|z|^2)\tilde{f}(z, \bar{z}) : \tilde{f} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}^{pq}}\}.$$

Then  $S_{pq}(1) = 1$  for all  $p, q \geq 0$ , and each  $f_{pq} \in \mathbf{H}^{pq}$  is  $M$ -harmonic on  $\mathbf{B}^n$  and coincides with  $\tilde{f}(z, \bar{z})$  on  $\partial\mathbf{B}^n$ . Furthermore, any  $M$ -harmonic function  $f$  on  $\mathbf{B}^n$  can be uniquely decomposed as

$$(14) \quad f = \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} f_{pq}, \quad f_{pq} \in \mathbf{H}^{pq},$$

with convergence uniform on compact subsets of  $\mathbf{B}^n$ .

*Remark 1.* Loosely speaking, a harmonic function  $\sum_{p,q} \tilde{f}_{pq}(z, \bar{z})$ ,  $\tilde{f}_{pq} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}^{pq}}$ , is turned into an  $M$ -harmonic function  $\sum_{p,q} S_{pq}(|z|^2)\tilde{f}_{pq}(z, \bar{z})$  by multiplying it by  $S_{pq}(|z|^2)$  on each  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}^{pq}}$ .  $\square$

We refer the reader to Rudin [Ru, Sections 12.1–12.2], Krantz [Kr, Sections 6.6–6.8] and Ahern, Bruna and Cascante [ABC] for the material above; basic ingredients go back to Folland [Fo1] [Fo2]. A slight caveat about notation: for later convenience, we are using the notation  $S_{pq}$  (see (12) above) instead of  $S^{pq}(r) := r^{p+q}S_{pq}(r^2)$  in the above references.

Recall that in polar coordinates  $z = r\zeta$  on  $\mathbf{C}^n$  ( $r > 0$ ,  $\zeta \in \partial\mathbf{B}^n$ ), the Euclidean Laplacian  $\Delta$  is given by

$$(15) \quad \Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2n-1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \Delta_{\text{sph}},$$

where  $\Delta_{\text{sph}}$  is the *spherical Laplacian*, which involves only differentiations with respect to the  $\zeta$  variables. The operator  $\Delta_{\text{sph}}$  can be expressed explicitly as

$$\Delta_{\text{sph}} = -\mathcal{R}^2 + \sum_{j,k=1}^n (L_{jk}\bar{L}_{jk} + \bar{L}_{jk}L_{jk}) = -\mathcal{R}^2 - \square$$

where  $\mathcal{R}$  stands for the complex normal derivative

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{R} := \sum_{j=1}^n \left( z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \right).$$

Both  $\Delta_{\text{sph}}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  — and, hence, also  $\square$  — commute with the action (10) of  $U(n)$ . From the irreducibility of the multiplicity-free decomposition (11) it therefore follows by abstract theory (Schur lemma) that  $\Delta_{\text{sph}}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\square$  map each  $\mathcal{H}^{pq}$  (and  $\mathbf{H}^{pq}$ ) into itself and actually reduce on it to a multiple of the identity. Evaluation on e.g. the element  $\zeta_1^p \bar{\zeta}_2^q \in \mathcal{H}^{pq}$  (for  $n \geq 2$ ) shows that, explicitly,

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{sph}}|_{\mathcal{H}^{pq}} &= -(p+q)(p+q+2n-2)I|_{\mathcal{H}^{pq}}, \\ \mathcal{R}|_{\mathcal{H}^{pq}} &= (p-q)I|_{\mathcal{H}^{pq}}, \\ \square|_{\mathcal{H}^{pq}} &= (4pq + (2n-2)(p+q))I|_{\mathcal{H}^{pq}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the same holds, thanks to (13), for  $\mathbf{H}^{pq}$  in the place of  $\mathcal{H}^{pq}$ . (These formulas also prevail for  $n = 1$ ; in that case,  $\Delta_{\text{sph}} = -\mathcal{R}^2$ ,  $\square = 0$ , and  $\mathcal{H}^{pq} = \{0\}$  unless  $pq = 0$ , while  $\mathcal{H}^{p0} = \mathbf{C}z^p$  and  $\mathcal{H}^{0q} = \mathbf{C}\bar{z}^q$ .)

One consequence of (17) is that, in particular,  $\Delta_{\text{sph}}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\square$  commute. The analogue of (15) for the invariant Laplacian,

$$\tilde{\Delta} = (1-r^2)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \left( \frac{2n-1}{r} - r \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \left( \frac{\Delta_{\text{sph}}}{r^2} + \mathcal{R}^2 \right) I,$$

together with the fact that  $\Delta_{\text{sph}}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\square$  involve only tangential differentiations, thus shows that these three operators commute with  $\tilde{\Delta}$ . In particular, they preserve the kernel of  $\tilde{\Delta}$ , i.e.  $\Delta_{\text{sph}}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\square$  map  $M$ -harmonic functions again into  $M$ -harmonic functions. (Of course, this also follows directly from (14) and (17).)

Using the formulas (17), one can define  $(I + \square)^t f$  for any  $t \in \mathbf{C}$  and  $f$   $M$ -harmonic on  $\mathbf{B}^n$  by setting

$$(18) \quad (I + \square)^t \sum_{p,q} f_{pq} := \sum_{p,q} [4pq + (2n-2)(p+q) + 1]^t f_{pq},$$

for  $f = \sum_{p,q} f_{pq}$  as in (14). The following proposition shows that the right-hand side always makes sense.

**Proposition 2.** *The series in (18) converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbf{B}^n$ , for any  $t \in \mathbf{C}$  and any  $f$   $M$ -harmonic on  $\mathbf{B}^n$ .*

*Proof.* For each nonnegative integer  $m$ , the right-hand side of (18) with  $t = m$  is just the Peter-Weyl decomposition (14) of the  $M$ -harmonic function  $(I + \square)^m f$ ; consequently,  $\sum_{p,q} [4pq + (2n-2)(p+q) + 1]^m |f_{pq}(\zeta)|$  converges uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbf{B}^n$  for  $t = m$ , hence, a fortiori, for any  $t \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $\text{Re } t \leq m$ . Since  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  was arbitrary, the claim follows.  $\square$

We proceed by recalling the formulas for the norms  $\|f\|_s$ ,  $s > -1$ , in terms of the Peter-Weyl decomposition (14). Employing again the polar coordinates  $z = r\zeta$  on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , from

$$dz = \frac{2\pi^n}{\Gamma(n)} r^{2n-1} dr d\sigma(\zeta)$$

we obtain, for  $f$  as in (14) and  $s > -1$ , using the previous notation  $f_r(\zeta) := f(r\zeta)$ ,

$$\|f\|_s^2 = \frac{(s+1)_n}{\pi^n} \frac{2\pi^n}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n} |f(r\zeta)|^2 (1-r^2)^s r^{2n-1} d\sigma(\zeta) dr$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{2(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 (1-r^2)^s r^{2n-1} \|f_r\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2 dr \\
&= \frac{2(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 (1-r^2)^s r^{2n-1} \sum_{p,q} \|(f_{pq})_r\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2 dr \quad \text{by (11)} \\
(19) \quad &= \sum_{p,q} \frac{2(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 (1-r^2)^s r^{2n-1} \|S_{pq}(r^2) r^{p+q} f_{pq}\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2 dr \quad \text{by (13)} \\
&= \sum_{p,q} \frac{(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} \|f_{pq}\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2 \int_0^1 (1-t)^s t^{n-1+p+q} S_{pq}(t)^2 dt \\
&= \sum_{p,q} c_{pq}(s) \|f_{pq}\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2 \quad \text{with } c_{pq}(s) \text{ as in (8),}
\end{aligned}$$

which is the formula (7) from the Introduction. Here the interchange of the integration and summation is justified by the nonnegativity of the integrand.

One more fact about  $M$ -harmonic functions which we will need is their *invariant mean-value property*. Recall that for each  $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$ ,  $z \neq 0$ , there is a unique  $\phi_z \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{B}^n)$  which interchanges  $z$  and the origin 0; explicitly,

$$\phi_z(w) = \frac{z - P_z w - \sqrt{1 - |z|^2}(w - P_z w)}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle}, \quad P_z w := \frac{\langle w, z \rangle}{|z|^2} z.$$

For  $z = 0$ , we set  $\phi_0(w) := -w$ . For any  $f$   $M$ -harmonic on  $\mathbf{B}^n$ ,  $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$  and  $0 < r < 1$ , one then has

$$(20) \quad f(z) = \int_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n} f(\phi_z(r\zeta)) d\sigma(\zeta).$$

In other words,  $f(z)$  is equal to its mean value over any Moebius sphere centered at  $z$ .

### 3. THE COEFFICIENTS $c_{pq}(s)$

The following theorem is the crux of the proof of our main result.

**Theorem 3.** *For  $p, q \geq 1$  and  $s > -n - 1$ ,*

$$(21) \quad c_{pq}(s) = \frac{\Gamma(s+n+1)\Gamma(s+1+2n)}{\Gamma(n)^3\Gamma(2s+2n+2)} (p)_n (q)_n \int_0^1 \int_0^1 x^{p-1} y^{q-1} (1-x)^{n+s} (1-y)^{n+s} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} s+1, n+s+1 \\ 2n+2s+2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1-xy\right) dx dy.$$

We actually present two proofs: the first one relies on a direct manipulation of the  ${}_2F_1$  functions, while the second one, inspired by the proof of Theorem 3.1 in Ureyen [Ur], uses the trick of replacing one of the  $S_{pq}$  in (8) by its integral representation.

*First proof of Theorem 3.* We first need two lemmas. Let  $\mathbf{D}$  denote the unit disc in  $\mathbf{C}$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $c > 0$ ,  $\sigma := c - a - b + \alpha > 0$ ,  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  and let  $f$  be any function on  $\mathbf{D} \setminus [-1, 0]$  of the form*

$$f(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}} f_k z^k + \sum_{k \in \epsilon + \mathbf{N}} f_k z^k =: g_1(z) + z^\epsilon g_2(z)$$

such that  $g_1, g_2$  are holomorphic in  $\mathbf{D}$ . Then for any  $x \in \mathbf{D} \setminus [-1, 0]$ ,

$$\int_0^1 t^{c-1}(1-t)^{\alpha-1} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| t\right) f(x(1-t)) dt = \Gamma(c) f\left(\begin{matrix} \alpha, \sigma \\ \sigma+a, \sigma+b \end{matrix} \middle| x\right),$$

where

$$f\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c, d \end{matrix} \middle| x\right) := \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N} \cup (\epsilon + \mathbf{N})} f_k \frac{\Gamma(a+k)\Gamma(b+k)}{\Gamma(c+k)\Gamma(d+k)} x^k.$$

*Proof.* Integrate term by term.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** For  $n \notin \mathbb{Z}$  and for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ :

$$\frac{{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| t\right)}{{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right)} = \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}} - \sum_{k \in n+\mathbf{N}} \right) \frac{\Gamma(p+k)\Gamma(q+k)\Gamma(1-n)}{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)\Gamma(1-n+k)\Gamma(1+k)} (1-t)^k.$$

*Proof.* Immediate from the formula for analytic continuation of the  ${}_2F_1$  function around  $z = 1$ , cf. [BE, formula (1) in §2.10].  $\square$

It is now convenient to temporarily work with  $n \notin \mathbf{Z}$ , and in the end we take the limit  $n \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ . We use the definition  $(\nu)_n = \Gamma(\nu+n)/\Gamma(\nu)$  for the Pochhammer symbol  $(\nu)_n$  with  $\nu > 0$  and arbitrary  $n \geq 0$ .

**Corollary 6.** Let  $n \notin \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $n > 0$ . Then

(22)

$$c_{pq}(s) = \frac{(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}} - \sum_{k \in n+\mathbf{N}} \right) \frac{\Gamma(p+k)\Gamma(q+k)\Gamma(p+n)\Gamma(q+n)\Gamma(1-n)\Gamma(s+k+1)\Gamma(n+s+k+1)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(1-n+k)\Gamma(1+k)\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)\Gamma(p+n+s+1+k)\Gamma(q+n+s+1+k)}.$$

*Proof.* Remember that

$$c_{pq}(s) = \frac{(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 t^{p+q+n-1} (1-t)^s \left( \frac{{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| t\right)}{{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right)} \right)^2 dt.$$

Denote

$$f(x) := \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}} - \sum_{k \in n+\mathbf{N}} \right) \frac{\Gamma(p+k)\Gamma(q+k)\Gamma(1-n)}{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)\Gamma(1-n+k)\Gamma(1+k)} x^k.$$

Using Lemma 5 we have

$$c_{pq}(s) = \frac{(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 t^{p+q+n-1} (1-t)^s \frac{{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| t\right)}{{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right)} f(1-t) dt.$$

For a moment we insert a variable  $x$  into the picture and evaluate the  ${}_2F_1(1)$  in Gamma functions. Observe that

$$c_{pq}(s) = \lim_{x \nearrow 1} \frac{(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} \frac{\Gamma(p+n)\Gamma(q+n)}{\Gamma(p+q+n)\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 t^{p+q+n-1} (1-t)^s {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| t\right) f((1-t)x) dt,$$

the interchange of the limit and the integral being justified by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, since for  $n > 0$  the  ${}_2F_1$  function is bounded on  $[0, 1]$ . By Lemma 4 we thus get

$$\begin{aligned} c_{pq}(s) &= \lim_{x \nearrow 1} \frac{(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} \frac{\Gamma(p+n)\Gamma(q+n)}{\Gamma(n)} f\left(\begin{matrix} s+1, n+s+1 \\ p+n+s+1, q+n+s+1 \end{matrix} \middle| x\right) \\ &= \frac{(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} \frac{\Gamma(p+n)\Gamma(q+n)}{\Gamma(n)} f\left(\begin{matrix} s+1, n+s+1 \\ p+n+s+1, q+n+s+1 \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

This is exactly (22).  $\square$

We are now ready to finish the first proof of Theorem 3. Assume first that  $n \notin \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $n > 0$ ; in the end we take the limit  $n \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ . Using the integral representations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(p+k)\Gamma(n+s+1)}{\Gamma(p+n+s+1+k)} &= \int_0^1 x^{p+k-1}(1-x)^{n+s} dx, \\ \frac{\Gamma(q+k)\Gamma(n+s+1)}{\Gamma(q+n+s+1+k)} &= \int_0^1 y^{q+k-1}(1-y)^{n+s} dy, \end{aligned}$$

in formula (22) we get

$$c_{pq}(s) = \frac{(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 x^{p-1} y^{q-1} (1-x)^{n+s} (1-y)^{n+s} g(xy) dx dy$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &:= \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}} - \sum_{k \in n+\mathbf{N}} \right) \frac{(p)_n (q)_n \Gamma(1-n) \Gamma(s+k+1) \Gamma(n+s+k+1)}{\Gamma(n) \Gamma(1-n+k) \Gamma(1+k) \Gamma(n+s+1)^2} z^k \\ &= (p)_n (q)_n \frac{\Gamma(s+1) \Gamma(s+1+2n)}{\Gamma(n)^2 \Gamma(2s+2n+2)} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} s+1, n+s+1 \\ 2n+2s+2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1-z\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality was obtained by applying Lemma 5 with  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $t$  replaced by  $s+1$ ,  $n+s+1$ , and  $1-z$ , respectively.

The resulting formula makes sense also for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Thus now we take our limit, which yields (21).  $\square$

*Second proof of Theorem 3.* The following alternative proof was inspired by the paper [Ur]. Remember that

$$(23) \quad c_{pq}(s) = \frac{(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 t^{p+q+n-1} (1-t)^s \left( \frac{{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| t\right)}{{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right)} \right)^2 dt.$$

Representing one of the  ${}_2F_1$  functions as

$$\frac{{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| t\right)}{{}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| 1\right)} = \frac{(p)_n}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^1 r^{p-1} (1-r)^{q+n-1} (1-rt)^{-q} dr$$

and inserting this into (23) we get

$$c_{pq}(s) = \frac{(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} \frac{(p)_n \Gamma(p+n) \Gamma(q+n)}{\Gamma(n)^2 \Gamma(p+q+n)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(24) \quad & \int_0^1 \int_0^1 t^{p+q+n-1} (1-t)^s r^{p-1} (1-r)^{q+n-1} (1-rt)^{-q} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| t \right) dr dt \\
&= \frac{(s+1)_n (p)_n \Gamma(p+n) \Gamma(q+n)}{\Gamma(n) \Gamma(n)^2 \Gamma(p+q+n)} \\
& \quad \int_0^1 r^{p-1} (1-r)^{q+n-1} \int_0^1 t^{p+q+n-1} (1-t)^s (1-rt)^{-q} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| t \right) dt dr.
\end{aligned}$$

On the inner integral we use Lemma 2.10 from [Ur] to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 t^{p+q+n-1} (1-t)^s (1-rt)^{-q} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| t \right) dt = \Gamma \left( \begin{matrix} p+q+n, s+1, n+s+1 \\ p+n+s+1, q+n+s+1 \end{matrix} \right) \\
& \quad \times (1-r)^q {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{matrix} s+1, q, n+s+1 \\ p+n+s+1, q+n+s+1 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{r}{r-1} \right) \\
&= \Gamma \left( \begin{matrix} p+q+n \\ q, p+n \end{matrix} \right) (1-r)^{-q} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (1-u)^s u^{p+n-1} y^{q-1} (1-y)^{n+s} \left( 1 - \frac{(1-u)yr}{r-1} \right)^{-(n+s+1)} du dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into (24) and rearranging we obtain

$$c_{pq}(s) = \frac{(s+1)_n (p)_n (q)_n}{\Gamma(n) \Gamma(n)^2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{r^{p-1} (1-r)^{2n+s} (1-u)^s u^{p+n-1} y^{q-1} (1-y)^{n+s}}{(1-r(1-(1-u)y))^{n+s+1}} du dr dy.$$

We now change the integration variable  $u$  to  $u = x/r$  so that  $du = dx/r$ . Then we interchange the order of integration

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^r dx dr \rightarrow \int_0^1 \int_x^1 dr dx,$$

and also change the variable  $r$  to  $r \rightarrow (1-x)r + x$ . Overall we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{pq}(s) &= \frac{(s+1)_n (p)_n (q)_n}{\Gamma(n) \Gamma(n)^2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{x^{p+n-1} y^{q-1} (1-x)^{n+s} (1-y)^{n+s} r^s (1-r)^{s+2n}}{(x+r(1-x))^{-(n+s+1)} (1-r(1-y))^{-(n+s+1)}} dr dx dy \\
&= \frac{(s+1)_n (p)_n (q)_n \Gamma(s+1) \Gamma(s+2n+1)}{\Gamma(n) \Gamma(n)^2 \Gamma(2s+2n+2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 x^{p+n-1} y^{q-1} (1-x)^{n+s} (1-y)^{n+s} \\
& \quad x^{-n-s-1} F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} s+1; n+s+1, n+s+1 \\ 2s+2n+2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 - \frac{1}{x}, 1-y \right) dx dy.
\end{aligned}$$

A well known transform of the Appell  $F_1$  function

$$F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} a; b_1, b_2 \\ b_1 + b_2 \end{matrix} \middle| x, y \right) = (1-x)^{-a} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} a, b_2 \\ b_1 + b_2 \end{matrix} \middle| \frac{x-y}{x-1} \right)$$

(see [BE, formula (1) in §5.10]) implies

$$x^{-n-s-1} F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} s+1; n+s+1, n+s+1 \\ 2s+2n+2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 - \frac{1}{x}, 1-y \right) = x^{-n} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} s+1, n+s+1 \\ 2s+2n+2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1-xy \right).$$

Overall, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{pq}(s) &= \frac{(s+1)_n (p)_n (q)_n \Gamma(s+1) \Gamma(s+2n+1)}{\Gamma(n) \Gamma(n)^2 \Gamma(2s+2n+2)} \\
& \quad \int_0^1 \int_0^1 x^{p-1} y^{q-1} (1-x)^{n+s} (1-y)^{n+s} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} s+1, n+s+1 \\ 2s+2n+2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1-xy \right) dx dy,
\end{aligned}$$

as claimed.  $\square$

We remark that for  $s > -1$ , using the identities

$$(p)_n x^{p-1} = \partial_x^n x^{p+n-1}, \quad (q)_n y^{q-1} = \partial_y^n y^{q+n-1},$$

and integrating by parts  $n$  times in both integrals, one can rewrite (21) as

$$c_{pq}(s) = \frac{\Gamma(s+1+2n)\Gamma(s+n+1)}{\Gamma(n)^3\Gamma(2s+2n+2)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 x^{p+n-1} y^{q+n-1} f(x, y) dx dy,$$

where

$$f(x, y) = \frac{\partial^{2n}}{\partial x^n \partial y^n} (1-x)^{n+s} (1-y)^{n+s} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} s+1, n+s+1 \\ 2n+2s+2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1-xy\right).$$

Though the function  $f(x, y)$  here is more complicated than the single  ${}_2F_1$  in (21), this formula has the advantage of being valid also for  $p = 0$  or  $q = 0$ , as is readily checked by direct verification.

**Corollary 7.** *For each  $s > -n - 1$ , there exist constants  $0 < c_s < C_s < +\infty$  such that*

$$(25) \quad c_s \leq (p+1)^{s+1} (q+1)^{s+1} c_{pq}(s) \leq C_s \quad \forall p, q \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* For  $p = 0$ , so that  $S_{pq} \equiv 1$ , direct evaluation of (8) yields

$$(26) \quad c_{0q}(s) = \frac{\Gamma(q+n)\Gamma(n+s+1)}{\Gamma(n+s+q+1)\Gamma(n)}$$

which is  $\sim q^{-s-1}$  as  $q \rightarrow +\infty$ , by Stirling's formula; thus (25) holds for  $p = 0$ . Similarly for  $q = 0$ .

For  $p, q \geq 1$ , the  ${}_2F_1$  function in (21) is positive and continuous on the closed interval  $[0, 1]$ , with the value 1 at 0 and the finite value  $\frac{\Gamma(2n+2s+2)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(2n+s+1)\Gamma(n+s+1)}$  at 1. This is clear for  $s > -1$ , while for general  $s > -n - 1$ , the positivity follows from Euler's formula [BE, formula (23) in §2.1]

$${}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} s+1, n+s+1 \\ 2n+2s+2 \end{matrix} \middle| t\right) = (1-t)^n {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} 2n+s+1, n+s+1 \\ 2n+2s+2 \end{matrix} \middle| t\right).$$

Thus the value of the expression

$$(p)_n (q)_n \int_0^1 \int_0^1 x^{p-1} y^{q-1} (1-x)^{n+s} (1-y)^{n+s} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} s+1, n+s+1 \\ 2n+2s+2 \end{matrix} \middle| 1-xy\right) dx dy$$

in (21) is bounded from above as well as from below by constant multiples of

$$\begin{aligned} (p)_n (q)_n \int_0^1 \int_0^1 x^{p-1} y^{q-1} (1-x)^{n+s} (1-y)^{n+s} dx dy \\ = (p)_n (q)_n \Gamma\left(\begin{matrix} p, n+s+1 \\ n+s+p+1 \end{matrix}\right) \Gamma\left(\begin{matrix} q, n+s+1 \\ n+s+q+1 \end{matrix}\right) \\ = \Gamma\left(\begin{matrix} n+p, n+s+1 \\ n+s+p+1 \end{matrix}\right) \Gamma\left(\begin{matrix} n+q, n+s+1 \\ n+s+q+1 \end{matrix}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Again, the first factor is  $\asymp (p+1)^{-s-1}$  and the second factor is  $\asymp (q+1)^{-s-1}$  by Stirling's formula.  $\square$

4.  $M$ -HARMONIC BESOV SPACES

We are now ready to prove our first main result. With the estimate (9) in hands, the theorem below follows much in the same way as for Theorem 9 in [EY2].

**Theorem 8.** *For  $f$   $M$ -harmonic on  $\mathbf{B}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $s > -n - 1$ , the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (a)  $f \in \mathcal{M}_s$ ;
- (b)  $\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m=1}^{2n^2} \|X_{j_1} \dots X_{j_m} f\|_{s+m}^2 + |f(0)|^2 < +\infty$  for some (equivalently, any)  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $m > -s - 1$ ;
- (c)  $\|(I + \square)^{t/2} f\|_{s+t}^2 < +\infty$  for some (equivalently, any)  $t > -s - 1$ ;
- (d)  $\|(I + \square)^{-(s+1)/2} f\|_{\text{Hardy}}^2 < +\infty$ .

Furthermore, the quantities above are all equivalent to  $\|f\|_s^2$ .

*Proof.* We will show that for  $f = \sum_{p,q} f_{pq}$ ,  $f_{pq} \in \mathbf{H}^{pq}$ ,  $M$ -harmonic on  $\mathbf{B}^n$ ,  $\|f\|_s^2$  as well as the expressions in the items (b)–(d) are all equivalent to

$$\sum_{p,q} \frac{\|f_{pq}\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2}{(p+1)^{s+1}(q+1)^{s+1}};$$

this will settle the claim.

- (a) By (7) and its analytic continuation to  $s > -n - 1$  [EY2], we have

$$\|f\|_s^2 = \sum_{p,q} c_{pq}(s) \|f_{pq}\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2$$

(for  $-n - 1 < s \leq -1$ , this is actually the definition of  $\|f\|_s$ ). The assertion is thus immediate from (9).

- (b) Since  $-\bar{L}_{jk}$  is the adjoint of  $L_{jk}$  in  $L^2(\partial\mathbf{B}^n, d\sigma)$ , we have for any  $g \in C^2(\partial\mathbf{B}^n)$

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{2n^2} \|X_j g\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2 = - \sum_{j,k=1}^n \langle (L_{jk} \bar{L}_{jk} + \bar{L}_{jk} L_{jk}) g, g \rangle_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n} = \langle \square g, g \rangle_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}.$$

If  $g = \sum_{p,q} g_{pq}$ ,  $g_{pq} \in \mathcal{H}^{pq}$ , is the Peter-Weyl decomposition (11) of  $g$ , we thus have by (17)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2n^2} \|X_j g\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2 = \sum_{p,q} [4pq + (2n-2)(p+q)] \|g_{pq}\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2.$$

Iterating this formula, we obtain

$$(27) \quad \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m=1}^{2n^2} \|X_{j_1} X_{j_2} \dots X_{j_m} g\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2 = \sum_{p,q} [4pq + (2n-2)(p+q)]^m \|g_{pq}\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2,$$

for any  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Applying this to  $g = f_r$ , where again  $f_r(\zeta) := f(r\zeta)$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ , yields, thanks to (13),

$$\sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m=1}^{2n^2} \|X_{j_1} X_{j_2} \dots X_{j_m} f_r\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2 = \sum_{p,q} [4pq + (2n-2)(p+q)]^m r^{2(p+q)} S^{pq}(r^2)^2 \|f_{pq}\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2.$$

As  $X_j$ , being tangential, do not act on the  $r$  variable, we also have

$$X_{j_1} X_{j_2} \dots X_{j_m} f_r = (X_{j_1} X_{j_2} \dots X_{j_m} f)_r.$$

Hence for any  $s > -1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_{j_1} X_{j_2} \dots X_{j_m} f\|_s^2 &= \frac{(s+1)_n}{\pi^n} \int_0^1 \frac{2\pi^n}{\Gamma(n)} \|(X_{j_1} X_{j_2} \dots X_{j_m} f)_r\|_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n}^2 (1-r^2)^s r^{2n-1} dr \\ &= \frac{(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} \sum_{p,q} [4pq + (2n-2)(p+q)]^m \|f_{pq}\|_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n}^2 \int_0^1 S^{pq}(t)^2 t^{n-1} (1-t)^s dt \\ &= \sum_{p,q} [4pq + (2n-2)(p+q)]^m \|f_{pq}\|_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n}^2 c_{pq}(s). \end{aligned}$$

Denoting temporarily

$$d_{pq} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p = q = 0 \\ [4pq + (2n-2)(p+q)]^m c_{pq}(s+m) & \text{if } p+q > 0 \end{cases}$$

we thus have

$$\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m=1}^{2n^2} \|X_{j_1} \dots X_{j_m} f\|_{s+m}^2 + |f(0)|^2 = \sum_{p,q} d_{pq} \|f_{pq}\|_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n}^2.$$

However, for  $p+q > 0$  and  $n \geq 2$  clearly

$$[4pq + (2n-2)(p+q)] \asymp (p+1)(q+1).$$

Hence by (9)  $d_{pq} \asymp (p+1)^{-s-1}(q+1)^{-s-1}$  for all  $p, q \geq 0$ , and the assertion follows.

(c) By (18) and (11), we have for any  $0 < r < 1$ ,

$$\|(I + \square)^{t/2} f_r\|_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n}^2 = \sum_{p,q} [4pq + (2n-2)(p+q) + 1]^t r^{2(p+q)} S_{pq}(r^2)^2 \|f_{pq}\|_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n}^2.$$

The same calculation as in part (b) therefore shows that for any  $s > -1$ ,

$$\|(I + \square)^{t/2} f\|_s^2 = \sum_{p,q} [4pq + (2n-2)(p+q) + 1]^t c_{pq}(s) \|f_{pq}\|_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n}^2.$$

Since  $[4pq + (2n-2)(p+q) + 1]^t c_{pq}(s+t) \asymp (p+1)^{-s-1}(q+1)^{-s-1}$  by (9), the assertion follows.

(d) Again by (18) and (11), for any  $0 < r < 1$ ,

$$\|(I + \square)^{-(s+1)/2} f_r\|_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n}^2 = \sum_{p,q} [4pq + (2n-2)(p+q) + 1]^{-s-1} r^{2(p+q)} S_{pq}(r^2)^2 \|f_{pq}\|_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n}^2.$$

Letting  $r \nearrow 1$ , we have  $r^{p+q} S_{pq}(r^2) \nearrow 1$ , so by the Lebesgue Monotone Convergence Theorem

$$\|(I + \square)^{-(s+1)/2} f_r\|_{\text{Hardy}}^2 = \sum_{p,q} [4pq + (2n-2)(p+q) + 1]^{-s-1} \|f_{pq}\|_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n}^2.$$

Since  $[4pq + (2n-2)(p+q) + 1] \asymp (p+1)(q+1)$ , we are done.  $\square$

Denoting, as in the Introduction, for any real  $s$ ,

$$(28) \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_s := \{f \text{ } M\text{-harmonic on } \mathbf{B}^n : \|f\|_s := \|(I + \square)^{-(s+1)/2} f\|_{\text{Hardy}}^2 < +\infty\},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\#,s} := \left\{ f = \sum_{p,q} f_{pq}, f_{pq} \in \mathbf{H}^{pq} : \|f\|_{\#,s}^2 := \sum_{p,q} \frac{\|f_{pq}\|_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n}^2}{(p+1)^{s+1}(q+1)^{s+1}} < +\infty \right\},$$

we have thus shown that

$$(29) \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_s = \mathcal{M}_{\#,s} \quad \forall s \in \mathbf{R}, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_s = \mathcal{M}_{\#,s} = \mathcal{M}_s \quad \forall s > -n-1,$$

with equivalent norms.

### 5. $M$ -HARMONIC SOBOLEV SPACES

In addition to our operators  $X_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 2n^2$ , from (4) in the Introduction, and the complex normal derivative (16) which we now denote by  $X_0$ :

$$X_0 := \mathcal{R},$$

we will now need also the real normal derivative

$$\mathcal{N} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} + \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \right),$$

or, in the polar coordinates  $z = r\zeta$ ,  $\mathcal{N} = r\partial/\partial r$ .

Introduce the quantities

$$(30) \quad c_{pq,k}(s) := \int_0^1 t^{n-1} (1-t)^s [(2t\partial/\partial t)^k (t^{\frac{p+q}{2}} S_{pq}(t))]^2 dt,$$

so that

$$(31) \quad \frac{(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} c_{pq,0}(s) = c_{pq}(s).$$

Clearly

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} c_{00,k}(s) &= 0 \quad \text{for } k > 0, \\ c_{0p,k}(s) &= c_{p0,k}(s) = p^{2k} \frac{\Gamma(n+p)}{(s+1)_{n+p}} \asymp (p+1)^{2k-s-1} \quad \text{for } p > 0, \end{aligned}$$

by Stirling's formula. The significance of the quantities  $c_{pq,k}(s)$  stems from the following proposition.

**Proposition 9.** *For any  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $s > -1$  and  $f$   $M$ -harmonic on  $\mathbf{B}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^l \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{l-k}=0}^{2n^2} \|\mathcal{N}^k X_{j_1} \dots X_{j_{l-k}} f\|_s^2 < +\infty$ ;
- (b)  $\sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^l (p+q)^{2(l-k)} c_{pq,k}(s) \|f_{pq}\|_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n}^2 < +\infty$ ;
- (c)  $f \in W^m(\mathbf{B}^n, d\mu_s)$ , the weighted Sobolev space of order  $m$  on  $\mathbf{B}^n$  with respect to  $d\mu_s$ .

Furthermore, the quantities in (a), (b) are equivalent to the squared norm of  $f$  in  $W^m(\mathbf{B}^n, d\mu_s)$ .

Here (b) means that if  $c_{pq,k}(s) = +\infty$  for some  $p, q, k$ , then  $f_{pq} \equiv 0$ , and  $c_{pq,k}(s) \|f_{pq}\|_{\partial \mathbf{B}^n}^2$  is to be interpreted as 0 for such  $p, q, k$ . Note also that the tangential operators  $X_j$  automatically commute with the normal derivative  $\mathcal{N}$ , so the order of operators in (a) is irrelevant.

*Proof.* (a)  $\iff$  (b) Note that for  $f = \sum_{p,q} f_{pq}$ ,  $f_{pq} \in \mathbf{H}^{pq}$ , as in (14), we have  $\mathcal{N}f = \sum_{p,q} \mathcal{N}f_{pq}$ , because the operator  $\mathcal{N}$  acts only on the  $r$  variable in  $f_{pq}(r\zeta) = r^{p+q} S_{pq}(r^2) f_{pq}(\zeta)$ , thus preserving the Peter-Weyl components with respect to the

$\zeta$  variable. By our calculations in the proof of Theorem 8 and as in (19), we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{l-k}=0}^{2n^2} \|X_{j_1} \dots X_{j_{l-k}} \mathcal{N}^k f\|_s^2 &= \sum_{p,q} [(p+q)(p+q+2n-2)]^{l-k} \|\mathcal{N}^k f_{pq}\|_s^2 \\ &= \frac{(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} \sum_{p,q} [(p+q)(p+q+2n-2)]^{l-k} c_{pq,k}(s) \|f_{pq}\|_s^2, \end{aligned}$$

thus proving the equivalence of (a) and (b).

(c)  $\implies$  (a) By the Leibniz rule,

$$X_{j_1} \dots X_{j_{l-k}} \mathcal{N}^k f(z) = \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq l} P_{j_1, \dots, j_{l-k}; k; \alpha\beta}(z) \partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(z)$$

with some coefficient functions  $P_{j_1, \dots, j_{l-k}; k; \alpha\beta}$  which are bounded on  $\mathbf{B}^n$  (in fact — they are polynomials). The claim is thus immediate from the triangle inequality.

(a)  $\implies$  (c) Observe that at any  $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$ ,  $z \neq 0$ , the tangential vector fields  $X_j$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, 2n^2$ , span (very redundantly) the entire real tangent space to the sphere  $|z|\partial\mathbf{B}^n$ ; thus together with  $\mathcal{N}$ , they span the whole tangent space at  $z$  in  $\mathbf{B}^n$ . It follows that, for any  $l \in \mathbf{N}$ , the derivatives  $\partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f$ ,  $|\alpha| + |\beta| = l$ , can be expressed as linear combinations of the derivatives  $X_{j_1} \dots X_{j_{l-k}} \mathcal{N}^k f$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq l$ ,  $0 \leq j_1, \dots, j_{l-k} \leq 2n^2$ ; furthermore, the coefficients of these linear combinations can be chosen to be bounded outside any neighborhood of the origin  $z = 0$  (where all the  $X_j$  as well as  $\mathcal{N}$  vanish). In other words, if we momentarily denote by  $\chi$  the characteristic function of the annular region  $\frac{1}{2} < |z| < 1$ , then for any  $s > -1$  and  $l \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq l} \|\chi \partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f\|_s^2 \lesssim \sum_{k=0}^l \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{l-k}=0}^{2n^2} \|X_{j_1} \dots X_{j_{l-k}} \mathcal{N}^k f\|_s^2,$$

and, hence,

$$(33) \quad \sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq l} \|\chi \partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f\|_s^2 \lesssim \sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^l \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{l-k}=0}^{2n^2} \|X_{j_1} \dots X_{j_{l-k}} \mathcal{N}^k f\|_s^2.$$

To treat the remaining region  $|z| < \frac{1}{2}$ , we use a ‘‘subharmonicity’’ argument. Let  $\theta$  be any smooth function on  $\mathbf{B}^n$  supported on  $|z| < \frac{1}{2}$ , depending only on  $|z|$  and of total mass one. By (20), for any  $a \in \mathbf{B}^n$  and  $f$   $M$ -harmonic on  $\mathbf{B}^n$ ,

$$f(a) = \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} f(\phi_a(z)) \theta(z) dz.$$

Changing the variable from  $z$  to  $\phi_a(z)$  gives

$$f(a) = \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} f(z) \theta(\phi_a(z)) J(a, z) d\mu_s(z),$$

with

$$J(a, z) := |\text{Jac}_{\phi_a}(z)|^2 \frac{\pi^n}{(s+1)_n} (1 - |z|^2)^s$$

smooth on  $\mathbf{B}^n \times \mathbf{B}^n$ . Hence, for any multi-indexes  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ,

$$\partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(a) = \int_{\mathbf{B}^n} f(z) \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\beta|}}{\partial a^\alpha \partial \bar{a}^\beta} [\theta(\phi_a(z)) J(a, z)] d\mu_s(z).$$

Since  $\theta$  is supported on  $|z| < \frac{1}{2}$ , the integration is in fact only over  $\{z : |\phi_a(z)| < \frac{1}{2}\}$ ; for  $|a| < \frac{1}{2}$ , this implies that  $|z| < \rho$  for some  $\rho < 1$ . Since  $J(a, z)$  is bounded for  $|a| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $|z| \leq \rho$ , we thus get

$$|\partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f(a)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \int_{|z| < \rho} |f(z)| d\mu_s(z) \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \|f\|_s$$

with some finite  $C_{\alpha\beta}$  independent of  $|a| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $f$ . Hence

$$\|(1 - \chi)\partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f\|_s \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \|f\|_s \|1 - \chi\|_s \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \|f\|_s,$$

and, consequently,

$$\sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{|\alpha|+|\beta| \leq l} \|(1 - \chi)\partial^\alpha \bar{\partial}^\beta f\|_s^2 \lesssim \|f\|_s^2.$$

Combining this with (33), the claim follows.  $\square$

*Remark 10.* The above proof is modeled on the proof of the implication (e)  $\implies$  (f) of Theorem 14 in [BEY], rectifying a small error we made there. (We used for  $\theta$  just the characteristic function of  $|z| < \frac{1}{4}$ , which is of course not smooth at  $|z| = \frac{1}{4}$ .)  $\square$

We now need the following analogue of Corollary 7 for the quantities  $c_{pq,k}(s)$ .

**Proposition 11.** *For each  $s > -1$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ,*

$$(34) \quad c_{00,k}(s) \asymp \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0, \\ 0 & k > 0, \end{cases}$$

$$c_{pq,k}(s) \asymp [(p+1)(q+1)]^{2k-s-1} \quad \text{for all } p+q > 0.$$

For the proof, we first need a lemma and a proposition. Denote, for  $p+q+n > 0$ ,  $k \geq 0$  and  $s > -1$ ,

$$I_{pqs}(n, k) := \int_0^1 t^{p+q+n-1+k} (1-t)^s {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| t\right)^2 dt.$$

Thus, for all  $p, q \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(35) \quad c_{pq,0}(s) = \Gamma\left(\begin{matrix} n+p, n+q \\ n, n+p+q \end{matrix}\right)^2 I_{pqs}(n, 0),$$

**Lemma 12.** *For  $p+q > 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ,*

$$(36) \quad c_{pq,k}(s) \asymp (p+q+1)^{2k} \Gamma\left(\begin{matrix} n+p, n+q \\ n, n+p+q \end{matrix}\right)^2 I_{pqs}(n-k, k).$$

*Proof.* For  $pq = 0$  and  $k < n$ , this is immediate from (32), so it is enough to consider  $p+q \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{pq,k}(s) &:= \int_0^1 t^{n-1} (1-t)^s [(2t\partial/\partial t)^k (t^{\frac{p+q}{2}} S_{pq}(t))]^2 dt \\ &= \Gamma\left(\begin{matrix} n+p, n+q \\ n, n+p+q \end{matrix}\right)^2 \int_0^1 t^{n-1} (1-t)^s \left[ (2t\partial/\partial t)^k \left( t^{\frac{p+q}{2}} {}_2F_1\left(\begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| t\right) \right) \right]^2 dt, \\ c_{pq}(s) &:= \frac{(s+1)_n}{\Gamma(n)} c_{pq,0}(s). \end{aligned}$$

From the Taylor expansion for  ${}_2F_1$ , we have

$$(2t\partial/\partial t)^k \left( t^{\frac{p+q}{2}} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| t \right) \right) = t^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p)_j (q)_j}{j! (n+p+q)_j} (p+q+2j)^k t^j.$$

Now

$$\Gamma \left( \begin{matrix} p+q+n-k+j \\ p+q+n+j \end{matrix} \right) (p+q+2j)^k = \prod_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \frac{p+q+2j}{p+q+n-k+j+\ell}.$$

The  $\ell$ -th factor is a monotone function of  $p+q \geq 1$  (decreasing for  $j > n-k+\ell$ , increasing for  $j < n-k+\ell$ ), with limit 1 for  $p+q \rightarrow +\infty$ ; its value thus always lies between 1 and  $\frac{2j+1}{n-k+j+\ell+1}$ . The latter expression is again a monotone function of  $j \geq 0$ , with values between 2 and  $\frac{1}{n-k+\ell+1}$ . Consequently, the whole product must lie between  $2^k$  and  $1/(n-k+1)_k$ , and

$$\frac{1}{(n-k+1)_k} \frac{1}{\Gamma(p+q+n-k+j)} \leq \frac{(p+q+2j)^k}{\Gamma(p+q+n+j)} \leq 2^k \frac{1}{\Gamma(p+q+n-k+j)}.$$

Multiplying by  $\Gamma(p+q+n)$  yields

$$\frac{(p+q+n-k)_k}{(n-k+1)_k} \frac{1}{(p+q+n-k)_j} \leq \frac{(p+q+2j)^k}{(p+q+n)_j} \leq 2^k (p+q+n-k)_k \frac{1}{(p+q+n-k)_j}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\frac{p+q}{2}} \frac{(p+q+n-k)_k}{(n-k+1)_k} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n-k \end{matrix} \middle| t \right) \\ \leq (2t\partial/\partial t)^k \left( t^{\frac{p+q}{2}} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| t \right) \right) \\ \leq t^{\frac{p+q}{2}} 2^k (p+q+n-k)_k {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n-k \end{matrix} \middle| t \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(p+q+n-k)_k^2}{(n-k+1)_k^2} I_{pqs}(n-k, k) \\ \leq \Gamma \left( \begin{matrix} n, n+p+q \\ n+p, n+q \end{matrix} \right)^2 c_{pq, k}(s) \\ \leq 4^k (p+q+n-k)_k^2 I_{pqs}(n-k, k). \end{aligned}$$

As  $(p+q+n-k)_k \asymp (p+q+1)^k$ , (36) follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 13.** For any  $k \geq 0$ ,  $n \geq 0$  and  $s > -1$ ,

$$I_{pqs}(n, k) \asymp I_{pqs}(n, 0) \quad \text{uniformly } \forall p+q \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* Let

$${}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| t \right)^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j t^j$$

be the Taylor expansion; clearly  $a_j \geq 0 \forall j$ . Integration term by term yields

$$I_{pqs}(n, k) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Gamma \left( \begin{matrix} b+j+k, s+1 \\ b+j+k+s+1 \end{matrix} \right) a_j, \quad b := p+q+n.$$

Now

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{b+j+k, s+1}{b+j+k+s+1}\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{b+j, s+1}{b+j+s+1}\right) = \Gamma\left(\frac{b+j+k, b+j+s+1}{b+j, b+j+k+s+1}\right).$$

This is a positive function of  $b+j \in [1, +\infty)$ , with limit 1 at the infinity and positive value  $k!/(s+2)_k$  at  $b+j = 1$ . Hence there exist constants  $0 < c_{ks} < C_{ks} < +\infty$  such that

$$c_{ks} \leq \Gamma\left(\frac{b+j+k, b+j+s+1}{b+j, b+j+k+s+1}\right) \leq C_{ks} \quad \forall b \geq 1, \forall j \geq 0.$$

Consequently,

$$c_{ks} \leq \frac{I_{pqs}(n, k)}{I_{pqs}(n, 0)} \leq C_{ks} \quad \forall p+q \geq 1, \forall n \geq 0,$$

proving the claim.  $\square$

**Corollary 14.** *For any  $n \geq 2$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $s > -1$ ,*

$$c_{pq, k}(s) \asymp [(p+1)(q+1)]^{2k} c_{pq, 0}(s), \quad \text{uniformly for all } p+q > 0.$$

*Proof.* For  $k = 0$  this is trivial, and for  $k > 0$ ,  $p, q = 0$  the claim is immediate from the explicit calculation

$$c_{p0, k}(s) = p^{2k} c_{p0, 0}(s), \quad c_{0q, k}(s) = q^{2k} c_{0q, 0}(s),$$

(cf. (32)), so it is enough to consider  $k > 0$  and  $p, q \geq 1$ . From (36) and the last proposition,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_{pq, k}(s)}{(p+q+1)^{2k}} &\asymp \Gamma\left(\frac{n+p, n+q}{n, n+p+q}\right)^2 I_{pqs}(n-k, k) \\ (37) \quad &\asymp \Gamma\left(\frac{n+p, n+q}{n, n+p+q}\right)^2 I_{pqs}(n-k, 0). \end{aligned}$$

For  $k < n$ , we can continue with

$$\begin{aligned} &= \Gamma\left(\frac{n+p, n+q}{n, n+p+q}\right)^2 / \Gamma\left(\frac{n-k+p, n-k+q}{n-k, n-k+p+q}\right)^2 c_{pq, 0}(s) \quad \text{by (35)} \\ &= \Gamma\left(\frac{n+p, n+q, n-k, n-k+p+q}{n, n+p+q, n-k+p, n-k+q}\right)^2 c_{pq, 0}(s) \\ &\asymp \frac{(1+p)^{2k} (1+q)^{2k}}{(1+p+q)^{2k}} c_{pq, 0}(s), \end{aligned}$$

as asserted.

For  $k = n$ , we have from Theorem 3

$$\begin{aligned} I_{pqs}(n, 0) &= \Gamma\left(\frac{n+p+q}{n+p, n+q}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1, s+1+2n}{2s+2n+2}\right) (p)_n (q)_n \\ &\quad \int_0^1 \int_0^1 x^{p-1} y^{q-1} (1-x)^{n+s} (1-y)^{n+s} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{s+1, n+s+1}{2n+2s+2} \middle| 1-xy\right) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

For fixed  $p, q \geq 1$  and  $s > -1$ , both sides are holomorphic in  $n$  in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} s > \max(-\frac{s+1}{2}, -p-q)$ , hence the formula remains in force also for  $n = 0$ :

$$I_{pqs}(0, 0) = \Gamma\left(\frac{p+q}{p, q}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1, s+1}{2s+2}\right) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 x^{p-1} y^{q-1} (1-x)^s (1-y)^s {}_2F_1\left(\frac{s+1, s+1}{2s+2} \middle| 1-xy\right) dx dy.$$

The last  ${}_2F_1$  is increasing on the interval  $[0, 1]$ , with value 1 at  $z = 0$ , and is known to have logarithmic growth at 1 (see [BE, formula (12) in §2.10]), hence it is  $\asymp 1 - \log(1 - z)$  for  $0 < z < 1$ . This gives

$$I_{pqs}(0, 0) \asymp \Gamma\binom{p+q}{p, q}^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 x^{p-1} y^{q-1} (1-x)^s (1-y)^s (1 - \log xy) dx dy$$

(uniformly for all  $p, q \geq 1$ , for any fixed  $s > -1$ ). Performing the integration yields

$$I_{pqs}(0, 0) \asymp \Gamma\binom{p+q}{p, q}^2 [f(p)f(q) - f'(p)f(q) - f(p)f'(q)],$$

where we have temporarily denoted, for  $x \geq 1$ ,

$$f(x) := \frac{\Gamma(x)}{\Gamma(x+s+1)}.$$

Note that

$$-\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} = -\psi(x) + \psi(x+s+1) \sim (s+1)/x \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty$$

is a positive function on  $x \geq 1$  which vanishes as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , thanks to the properties of the digamma function  $\psi = \Gamma'/\Gamma$ . Consequently,

$$1 - \frac{f'(p)}{f(p)} - \frac{f'(q)}{f(q)} \asymp 1 \quad \text{on } p, q \geq 1,$$

and

$$I_{pqs}(0, 0) \asymp \Gamma\binom{p+q}{p, q}^2 f(p)f(q) = \Gamma\binom{p+q, p+q}{p, q, p+s+1, q+s+1}.$$

Thus we can continue (37) with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c_{pq, n}(s)}{(p+q+1)^{2n}} &\asymp \Gamma\binom{n+p, n+q}{n, n+p+q}^2 \Gamma\binom{p+q, p+q}{p, q, p+s+1, q+s+1} \\ &\asymp (1+p)^{2n-s-1} (1+q)^{2n-s-1} (1+p+q)^{-2n} \quad \text{by Stirling's formula} \\ &\asymp \frac{(1+p)^{2n} (1+q)^{2n}}{(1+p+q)^{2n}} c_{pq, 0}(s), \end{aligned}$$

proving the claim.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 11.* The claim (34) is now immediate from (9), (31) and the last corollary.  $\square$

**Corollary 15.** *For  $n \geq 2$ ,  $s > -1$  and  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ , the squared norm in  $W^m(\mathbf{B}^n, d\mu_s)$  of  $f = \sum_{p, q} f_{pq}$ ,  $f_{pq} \in \mathbf{H}^{pq}$ ,  $M$ -harmonic on  $\mathbf{B}^n$ , is equivalent to*

$$\sum_{p, q} [(p+1)(q+1)]^{2m-s-1} \|f_{pq}\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2.$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 11 and part (b) of Proposition 9,

$$\|f\|_{W^m(\mathbf{B}^n, d\mu_s)}^2 \asymp \sum_{p, q} d_{pqm} \|f_{pq}\|_{\partial\mathbf{B}^n}^2$$

with

$$d_{00, m} = c_{00, 0}(s) \asymp 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{pqm} &= \sum_{l=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^l (p+q)^{2(l-k)} [(p+1)(q+1)]^{2k-s-1} \\
&\asymp \sum_{l=0}^m \frac{[(p+q)^2 + (p+1)^2(q+1)^2]^l}{(p+1)^{s+1}(q+1)^{s+1}} \\
&\asymp \frac{[(p+q)^2 + (p+1)^2(q+1)^2]^m}{(p+1)^{s+1}(q+1)^{s+1}} \\
&\asymp [(p+1)(q+1)]^{2m-s-1},
\end{aligned}$$

as asserted.  $\square$

*Remark 16.* The last proof shows that in parts (a), (b) of Proposition 9 it is possible to replace  $\sum_{l=0}^m$  by  $\sum_{l \in \{0, m\}}$ .  $\square$

Let  $W_{\text{Mh}}^t(\mathbf{B}^n)$  denote the subspace of all  $M$ -harmonic functions in  $W^t(\mathbf{B}^n)$ .

**Theorem 17.** *For  $0 \leq t \leq n$ ,  $W_{\text{Mh}}^t(\mathbf{B}^n) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{-2t}$ , with equivalent norms.*

*Proof.* By (28), (29) and the last corollary with  $s = 0$ , the assertion holds for  $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since the spaces  $\mathcal{M}_{\#, s}$ ,  $s \in \mathbf{R}$ , form an interpolation scale (being the completions of the domains of powers  $A^{-s/2}$  of the operator  $A : \sum_{p,q} f_{pq} \mapsto \sum_{p,q} (p+1)(q+1)f_{pq}$  on  $\mathcal{M}_0$ , see [LM, Section 2.1]) and so do the Sobolev spaces  $W^t$  and, hence, also their subspaces  $W_{\text{Mh}}^t$  (see [Tr, Theorem 1 in §1.17.1]), the result follows by interpolation.  $\square$

We remark that the last theorem in general fails for  $t > n$ : in fact,  $\mathbf{H}^{pq} \subset W^t(\mathbf{B}^n)$  for  $t \geq n+1$  only if  $pq = 0$ , i.e. for  $t \geq n+1$  the only  $M$ -harmonic functions in  $W^t(\mathbf{B}^n)$  are the pluriharmonic ones. (In view of known facts like Proposition 1.4 in Graham [Gr], this comes as no surprise.) Indeed, by (13), any nonzero  $f \in \mathbf{H}^{pq}$  is of the form  $f(r\zeta) = r^{p+q} S_{pq}(r^2) f(\zeta)$ , hence  $\mathcal{N}^{n+1} f = \mathcal{N}^{n+1} [r^{p+q} S_{pq}(r^2)] f(\zeta)$ ; but

$$\mathcal{N}^{n+1} [r^{p+q} {}_2F_1 \left( \begin{matrix} p, q \\ p+q+n \end{matrix} \middle| r^2 \right)] \approx \frac{2^n \Gamma(p+q+n)}{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)} \frac{1}{1-r} \quad \text{as } r \nearrow 1$$

fails to be square-integrable near  $r = 1$  for  $pq > 0$ . We have not tried to see whether Theorem 17 extends also to some  $t$  between  $n$  and  $n+1$ , or to  $t < 0$ .

Likewise, we made no effort to extend Theorem 17 to the weighted Sobolev spaces  $W^t(\mathbf{B}^n, d\mu_s)$  with arbitrary  $s > -1$ . The argument in the last paragraph shows that, quite generally, for  $s > -1$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $pq > 0$ ,

$$c_{pq, n+k}(s) < +\infty \iff s - 2k > -1,$$

implying that

$$k \geq \frac{s+1}{2} \implies W_{\text{Mh}}^{n+k}(\mathbf{B}^n, d\mu_s) = W_{\text{ph}}^{n+k}(\mathbf{B}^n, d\mu_s),$$

the subspace of all pluriharmonic functions in  $W^{n+k}(\mathbf{B}^n, d\mu_s)$ . This suggests that  $W_{\text{Mh}}^t(\mathbf{B}^n, d\mu_s) = W_{\text{ph}}^t(\mathbf{B}^n, d\mu_s) \iff t \geq n + \frac{s+1}{2}$ . (Note that, quite generally, it follows from the Schur lemma applied to the  $U(n)$  action (10) that

$$W_{\text{Mh}}^t(\mathbf{B}^n, d\mu_s) = \bigoplus \{ \mathbf{H}^{pq} : \mathbf{H}^{pq} \cap W^t(\mathbf{B}^n, d\mu_s) \neq \{0\} \}$$

— where the direct sum is understood with respect to the  $W^t$  norm — for any  $t \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $s > -1$ ; see Proposition 1 in [EY].)

We remark that for the pluriharmonic functions, the analogue of Theorem 17 holds without any restriction on the order  $t$ . This follows easily from the well-known fact that

$$W_{\text{hol}}^t(\mathbf{B}^n) = \mathcal{A}_{-2t} \quad \forall t \in \mathbf{R}$$

in the holomorphic case.

#### REFERENCES

- [ABC] P. Ahern, J. Bruna and C. Cascante:  *$H^p$ -theory for generalized  $M$ -harmonic functions in the unit ball*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **45** (1996), 103–135.
- [BE] H. Bateman, A. Erdélyi, *Higher Transcendental Functions, vol. I*, McGraw-Hill, New York - Toronto - London 1953.
- [BEY] P. Blaschke, M. Engliš, E.-H. Youssfi: *A Moebius invariant space of  $H$ -harmonic functions on the ball*, J. Funct. Anal. **288** (2025), 110857.
- [EY] M. Engliš, E.-H. Youssfi:  *$M$ -harmonic reproducing kernels on the ball*, J. Funct. Anal. **286** (2024), 110187.
- [EY2] M. Engliš, E.-H. Youssfi: *The  $M$ -harmonic Dirichlet space on the ball*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **545** (2025), 129165.
- [Fo1] G.B. Folland: *The tangential Cauchy-Riemann complex on spheres*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **171** (1972), 83–133.
- [Fo2] G.B. Folland: *Spherical harmonic expansion of the Poisson-Szegő kernel for the ball*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **47** (1975), 401–408.
- [FS] G. B. Folland, E. M. Stein: *Estimates for the  $\bar{\partial}_b$  complex and analysis on the Heisenberg group*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **27** (1974), 429–522.
- [Gr] C. R. Graham: *The Dirichlet problem for the Bergman Laplacian I*, Comm. Partial Diff. Eqs. **8** (1983), 433–476.
- [Kr] S.G. Krantz, *Partial Differential Equations and Complex Analysis*, CRC Press, 1992.
- [LM] J.-L. Lions, E. Magenes, *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications*, Volume 1, Dunod, Paris, 1968.
- [RV] H. Rossi, M. Vergne: *Analytic continuation of the holomorphic discrete series of a semi-simple Lie group*, Acta Math. **136** (1976), 1–59.
- [Ru] W. Rudin, *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of  $\mathbf{C}^n$* , Springer, Berlin - Heidelberg 2008.
- [Tr] H. Triebel, *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators*, North-Holland, 1978.
- [Ur] A. E. Ureyen:  *$H$ -harmonic Bergman projection on the real hyperbolic ball*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **519** (2023), 126802.

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, SILESIAN UNIVERSITY IN OPAVA, NA RYBNÍČKU 1, 74601 OPAVA, CZECH REPUBLIC

*E-mail address:* Petr.Blaschke@math.slu.cz

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, SILESIAN UNIVERSITY IN OPAVA, NA RYBNÍČKU 1, 74601 OPAVA, CZECH REPUBLIC and MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, ŽITNÁ 25, 11567 PRAGUE 1, CZECH REPUBLIC

*E-mail address:* englis@math.cas.cz