

# On the theory of exponential integer parts

Emil Jeřábek

Institute of Mathematics  
Czech Academy of Sciences  
[jerabek@math.cas.cz](mailto:jerabek@math.cas.cz)  
<https://users.math.cas.cz/~jerabek/>

Mathematical Logic:  
Proof Theory, Constructive Mathematics

November 2023, Oberwolfach

# Shepherdson's theorem

Integer part (IP) of an ordered\* group/ring  $R$ :

- discretely ordered subgroup/ring  $D \subseteq R$ ,  $\min D_{>0} = 1$
- all elements of  $R$  within distance  $< 1$  from  $D$

Theorem (Shepherdson '64)

Integer parts of real-closed fields = models of IOpen

IOpen: Robinson's arithmetic/discretely ordered rings  
+ open (= quantifier-free) induction in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{OR}} = \langle +, \cdot, < \rangle$

\* ordered structures assumed totally ordered and commutative

# Exponential integer parts

Exponential ordered field  $\langle R, +, \cdot, <, \exp \rangle$ :

- ▶  $\langle R, +, \cdot, < \rangle$  ordered field
- ▶ isomorphism  $\exp: \langle R, 0, 1, +, < \rangle \rightarrow \langle R_{>0}, 1, 2, \cdot, < \rangle$
- ▶ ± growth axiom (GA):  $\exp(x) > x$

Exponential integer part (EIP): IP  $D \subseteq R$  s.t.  $\exp[D_{>0}] \subseteq D_{>0}$   
(Ressayre '93)

Q: What  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{OR}}$ -structures are EIP of real-closed exponential fields (RCEF)? What is their first-order theory?

# Previous work

EIP of extensions of RCEF studied by  
(Boughattas & Ressayre '08), (Kovalyov '23)

- ▶ language with  $x^y = \exp(y \log x)$
- ▶ can use direct construction of RCEF  $R$  from  $D$ :  
approximate  $\exp(x/y)$  with  $\frac{1}{t} \lfloor \sqrt[t^y]{x} \rfloor$
- ▶ not possible in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{OR}}$  or  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{OR}} \cup \{2^x\} \implies$   
we will rely on model-theoretic conservativity results

Upper bound (J '23):

Countable models of the bounded arithmetic  $\Delta_1^{\text{b}}\text{-CR}$  ( $\text{VTC}^0$ )  
are EIP of RCEF

# Language with $2^x$

**Theorem:** The theory  $\text{TEIP}_{2^x}$  of EIP of RCEF in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{OR}} \cup \{2^x\}$  is axiomatized by IOpen +

$$x > 0 \rightarrow \exists y \ x < 2^y \leq 2x$$

$$2^{x+y} = 2^x 2^y$$

$$2^x \neq 0$$

EIP of RCEF + **GA**:  $\text{TEIP}_{2^x} + \text{GA}$

Proof sketch:

- ▶ joint consistency  $\implies$  elementarily embed  $\mathfrak{M} \models \text{TEIP}_{2^x}$  in an IP of RCEF  $\langle R, \exp \rangle$
- ▶ new exponential: combine  $\exp \upharpoonright [0, 1)$  with  $2^x \implies$  EIP

# Language with $P_2$

$P_2(x)$ : predicate for the image of  $2^x$

**Theorem:** The theory  $\text{TEIP}_{P_2}$  of EIP of RCEF  $\pm$  GA in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{OR}} \cup \{P_2\}$  is axiomatized by IOpen +

$$x > 0 \rightarrow \exists u (P_2(u) \wedge u \leq x < 2u)$$

$$P_2(u) \wedge P_2(v) \wedge u \leq v \rightarrow \exists w (P_2(w) \wedge uw = v)$$

Proof method:

- ▶  $\mathfrak{M} \models \text{TEIP}_{P_2} \implies \mathfrak{M} \prec \mathfrak{M}^* \models \text{TEIP}_{2^\times}$ : joint consistency
- ▶ for  $\text{TEIP}_{2^\times} + \text{GA}$ : messy back-and-forth argument

# The power-of-2 game

$\text{PowG}_n(\mathfrak{M})$ : in round  $i < n$ ,

- ▶ Challenger plays  $x_i \in M_{>0}$
- ▶ Powerator plays  $u_i \in M_{>0}$  s.t.  $u_i \leq x_i < 2u_i$

Challenger wins if  $u_i u_j < u_k < 2u_i u_j$  for some  $i, j, k < n$

(NB:  $u_i \leq u_j$ ,  $u_i \nmid u_j \implies$  Challenger can win next round)

Motivation:  $\langle \mathfrak{M}, P_2 \rangle \models \text{TEIP}_{P_2} \implies$   
“play  $u_i \in P_2$ ” is a winning strategy for Powerator

# EIP in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{OR}}$

**Theorem:** The theory **TEIP** of EIP of RCEF  $\pm$  GA in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{OR}}$  is axiomatized by IOpen +

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x_0 \exists u_0 \dots \forall x_{n-1} \exists u_{n-1} & \left( \bigwedge_{i < n} (x_i > 0 \rightarrow u_i \leq x_i < 2u_i) \right. \\ & \left. \wedge \bigwedge_{i,j,k < n} \neg(u_i u_j < u_k < 2u_i u_j) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  ("Powerator wins PowG $_n$ ")

- ▶  $\mathfrak{M} \models \text{TEIP}$  countable, recursively saturated  
 $\implies$  Powerator wins PowG $_\omega(\mathfrak{M})$
- ▶ Challenger enumerates  $M_{>0}$   
 $\implies P_2 := \{u_i : i < \omega\}$  yields  $\langle \mathfrak{M}, P_2 \rangle \models \text{TEIP}_{P_2}$

# Oddless numbers

Natural algebraic interpretation of  $P_2$  in arithmetic:

$$\text{Pow}_2(u) \iff \forall v (v \mid u \rightarrow v = 1 \vee 2 \mid v)$$

Lemma:  $\text{Pow}_2$  gives an interpretation of  $\text{TEIP}_{P_2}$  in

- ▶ IOpen +  $\forall x > 0 \exists u (\text{Pow}_2(u) \wedge u \leq x < 2u)$   
+  $\forall x, y (\text{Pow}_2(x) \wedge \text{Pow}_2(y) \wedge x < y \rightarrow x \mid y)$
- ▶ IE<sub>1</sub> +  $\forall x \exists u > x \text{ Pow}_2(u)$
- ▶ IE<sub>2</sub>
- ▶  $\Delta_1^b\text{-CR} / \text{VTC}^0$

$\implies$  these theories prove TEIP

# Examples

- ▶ IOpen doesn't prove the following consequence of TEIP:

$$\forall x \exists u > x \forall y (0 < y < x \rightarrow \exists v (v \leq y < 2v \wedge v \mid u))$$

- ▶ there is a nonstandard  $\mathfrak{M} \models \text{IOpen}$  which is a UFD (Smith '93)
- ▶  $u \in M \setminus \mathbb{N} \implies u$  has a largest standard divisor  $u_0$   
take  $y \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $y > 2u_0$
- ▶ Shepherdson's model of IOpen expands to a model of  $\text{TEIP}_{P_2}$ , but not to a model of  $\text{TEIP}_{2^\times}$
- ▶  $\text{Th}(\mathbb{N}) + \text{TEIP}_{P_2} \not\vdash P_2(x) \rightarrow 3 \nmid x$ 
  - ▶ expansion to a model of  $\text{TEIP}_{P_2}$  not unique
  - ▶ Challenger needs an unbounded number of rounds to win from a non-power-of-2 (more below . . . )

# Finite axiomatizability

Question: Is TEIP finitely axiomatizable over IOpen?

TEIP =

$$\text{IOpen} + \left\{ \forall x_0 > 0 \exists u_0 \left( u_0 \leq x_0 < 2u_0 \wedge \theta_n^1(u_0) \right) : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

where  $\theta_n^1(u_0)$  denotes

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x_1 \exists u_1 \dots \forall x_{n-1} \exists u_{n-1} \left( \bigwedge_{0 < i < n} (x_i > 0 \rightarrow u_i \leq x_i < 2u_i) \right. \\ \left. \wedge \bigwedge_{i,j,k < n} \neg(u_i u_j < u_k < 2u_i u_j) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Partial answer:

$\{\theta_n^1(u) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  forms an infinite hierarchy over  $\text{Th}(\mathbb{N})$   
(see below . . . )

# PowG on standard integers

$\text{PowG}_n^t(u_0, \dots, u_{t-1})$ : as  $\text{PowG}_n(\mathbb{N})$ , but first  $t$  rounds fixed

NB:  $\mathbb{N} \vDash \theta_n^1(u) \iff \text{Powerator wins } \text{PowG}_n^1(u)$

**Lemma:** If some  $u_i$  is not a power of 2, Challenger wins  $\text{PowG}_n^t(\vec{u})$  for large enough  $n$

$$c(\vec{u}) = \min\{n : \text{Challenger wins } \text{PowG}_{t+n}^t(\vec{u})\}$$

Goal:  $\{c(u) : u \text{ not a power of 2}\}$  unbounded

Needs delicate bounds, as Challenger can very efficiently exploit irregularities in exponents

# Upper bound

**Lemma:**  $v \leq u^n, v \nmid u^n \implies c(u, v) \leq \log \log n + O(1)$

**Theorem:**  $u = 2^{\nu_2(u)}v^r, v > 1$  not a perfect power  $\implies$

$$\begin{aligned} c(u) &\leq \log \log \log \min\{\nu_2(u), r\} + O(1) \\ &\leq \log \log \log \log u + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

More precisely: for all  $d$  (wlog prime power),

$$d \nmid r \implies c(u) \leq \log \log d + O(1)$$

# Lower bound

Theorem: For  $u = 2^{\nu_2(u)}v^r$ ,  $v > 1$ :

$$c(u) \geq \min \left\{ \log \log \log \frac{\nu_2(u)}{\log v}, \log \log d : d \nmid r \right\} + O(1)$$

Example:  $c(6^{2^{2^k}}!) = k + O(1)$

Corollary:

- ▶  $\{\theta_n^1(u) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  forms an infinite hierarchy over  $\text{Th}(\mathbb{N})$
- ▶  $\text{Th}(\mathbb{N}) + \text{TEIP}_{P_2} \not\vdash P_2(x) \rightarrow 3 \nmid x$

Problem: Is TEIP finitely axiomatizable over IOpen?

# References

- ▶ S. Boughattas, J.-P. Ressayre: Arithmetization of the field of reals with exponentiation extended abstract, RAIRO – Theoretical Informatics and Applications 42 (2008), 105–119
- ▶ E. J.: Models of  $VTC^0$  as exponential integer parts, Mathematical Logic Quarterly 69 (2023), 244–260
- ▶ K. Kovalyov: Analogues of Shepherdson's Theorem for a language with exponentiation, arXiv:2306.02012 [math.LO], 2023
- ▶ J.-P. Ressayre: Integer parts of real closed exponential fields, in: Arithmetic, proof theory, and computational complexity, Oxford Univ. Press, 1993, 278–288
- ▶ J. Shepherdson: A nonstandard model for a free variable fragment of number theory, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 12 (1964), 79–86
- ▶ S. Smith: Building discretely ordered Bezout domains and GCD domains, Journal of Algebra 159 (1993), 191–239