

# Graded geometry of local gauge theories

Maxim Grigoriev

*University of Mons*

*Based on: MG 2022; MG A.Kotov 2020; I.Dneprov, MG, V. Gritzaenko 2024, Grigoriev; MG, D.Rudinsky 2024*

*Earlier relevant works in collaboration with Glenn Barnich, Konstantin Alkalaev, Alexei Kotov*

Cohomology in algebra, geometry, physics and statistics

Prague, October 9, 2024

## Background

- *Batalin-Vilkovisky* (BV) formalism.
- *Alexandrov, Kontsevich, Schwartz, Zaboronsky (AKSZ)* construction of BV for Lagrangian topological models. Further developments *Cattaneo, Felder, Roytenberg, Reshetikhin, Mnev, Ikeda, ...*
- BV on jet-bundles, local BRST cohomology *Henneaux, Barnich, Brandt, ...*
- Unfolded approach in higher spin gauge theories *M.Vasiliev*
- Geometric approach to PDEs *Vinogradov, Tulczyjew, ...*
- FDA approach to SUGRA *d'Auria, Fre, Castellani, Grassi ...*
- BRST first-quantized (cf.  $L_\infty$ ) approach to SFT and gauge fields *Zwiebach; Thorn, Bochicchio, Henneaux, Teitelboim, ...*
- *Fedosov* quantization and its variations

## AKSZ construction

$(\mathcal{M}, q, \omega)$  - QP-manifold (target space) equipped with:

- $\mathbb{Z}$ -degree (ghost number)  $gh()$
- homological v.f.  $q, q^2 = 0, gh(q) = 1$
- (odd)symplectic structure  $\omega, gh(\omega) = n - 1$  such that

$$\{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}\} = 0$$

$$q^2 = 0, \quad L_q \omega = 0$$

It follows:  $i_q i_q \omega = 0$  and (locally)  $\exists \mathcal{L}$  such that  $i_q \omega + d\mathcal{L} = 0$

$(\mathcal{X}, d_X, \rho)$  (source space)

equipped with  $\mathbb{Z}$ -degree (ghost number)  $gh()$

homological v.f.  $d_X$  and compatible measure  $\rho$

Typically,  $\mathcal{X} = T[1]X, \dim X = n, \text{ coordinates } x^\mu, \theta^\mu \equiv dx^\mu,$

$$d_X = \theta^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}, \quad \mu = 0, \dots, n - 1, \text{ and } \rho = 1$$

$$\theta \equiv dx^n$$

$$\omega = d\chi \quad (\text{on } \mathcal{M})$$

Supermanifold of supermaps:  $\hat{\sigma} : T[1]X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ .  $\psi^A$  coordinates on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Fields:  $\psi^A(x, \theta) := \hat{\sigma}^*(\psi^A)$ ,  $\hat{\sigma} : T[1]X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ . BV action

$$S_{BV}[\hat{\sigma}] = \int_{T[1]X} (\hat{\sigma}^*(\chi)(d_X) + \hat{\sigma}^*(\mathcal{L})), \quad \text{gh}(S_{BV}) = 0$$

$\chi$  is the potential:  $\omega = d\chi$ . In components:

$$S_{BV} = \int d^n x d^n \theta [d_X \psi^A(x, \theta) \chi_A(\psi(x, \theta)) + \mathcal{L}(\psi(x, \theta))]$$

BV symplectic structure:

$$\bar{\omega} = \int_{T[1]X} \hat{\sigma}^*(\omega_{AB}) \delta\psi^A(x, \theta) \wedge \delta\psi^B(x, \theta), \quad \text{gh}(\bar{\omega}) = -1$$

BV antibracket:

$$(F, G) = \int_{T[1]X} \frac{\delta^R F}{\delta\psi^A(x, \theta)} \omega^{AB}(\psi(x, \theta)) \frac{\delta G}{\delta\psi^B(x, \theta)}, \quad \text{gh}(,) = 1$$

Master equation:

$$(S_{BV}, S_{BV}) = 0 \quad \text{modulo boundary terms}$$

$$S_{BV} = \underline{S_0} + \underline{g_i^* R_j^i} \alpha + \dots \quad \text{sg}^i = R_j^i \epsilon^j$$

Physical fields: those of vanishing ghost degree

$$\psi^A(x, \theta) = \underbrace{\psi^0(x)} + \underbrace{\psi^1_\mu(x)} \theta^\mu + \dots \quad \underbrace{\text{gh}(\psi^A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k})} = \underbrace{\text{gh}(\psi^A)} - \underbrace{k}$$

If  $\text{gh}(\psi^A) = k$  with  $k \geq 0$  then  $\psi^A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}(x)$  is physical. Setting to zero fields of nonzero degree (i.e. restricting to maps) gives the classical action:

$$S[\sigma] = \int_{T[1]X} (\sigma^*(\chi)(d_X) + \sigma^*(\mathcal{L}))$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \underline{\underline{\mathcal{L}}}$$

$\psi^A$  - coordinates on  $M$

$q^A(\psi(x, \theta))$ .

EL equations of motion:

$$\omega_{AB}(\psi(x, \theta)) (d_X \psi^A - q^A) = 0, \quad \Rightarrow \quad (d_X \psi^A(x, \theta) - q^A(\psi(x, \theta))) = 0$$

provided  $\omega_{AB}$  is invertible.

More invariantly, if  $\psi^A(x, \theta) = \sigma^*(\psi^A)$  the equations of motion read as:

$$d_X \sigma^*(\psi^A) = \sigma^*(q\psi^A) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad d_X \circ \sigma^* = \sigma^* \circ q$$

so that  $\sigma^*$  is a morphism of respective complexes. Gauge transformations correspond to trivial morphisms:

$$\delta_\epsilon \sigma^* = d_X \circ \epsilon_\sigma^* + \epsilon_\sigma^* \circ q$$

$\epsilon_\sigma$  - gauge parameter.  $\epsilon_\sigma^*(fg) = (\epsilon_\sigma^* f) \sigma^*(g) + (-1)^{|f|} \sigma^*(f) \epsilon_\sigma^*(g)$ ,  
i.e. is a vector field along  $\sigma$ .

$$\dim(\mathcal{X}) - 1$$

Example: CS theory,

AKSZ, 1995

Target:  $\mathcal{M} = \mathfrak{g}[1]$ ,  $q$ -CE differential,  $\omega$  - invariant form on  $\mathfrak{g}$   
 (degree 2 symplectic structure on  $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ )

Source:  $\mathcal{X} = T[1]X$ ,  $\dim X = 3$ ,  $\mathfrak{gh}()$  - form degree,  $d_X$

$$S_{BV} = \int_X Tr(A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A) + \text{BV completion}$$

Ghosts and antifields arise as nonzero degree components of a supermap:

$$\hat{\sigma}^*(C) = \overset{0}{C}(x) + A_\mu(x)\theta^\mu + \frac{1}{2}C_{\mu\nu}(x)\theta^\mu\theta^\nu + \frac{1}{6}C_{\mu\nu\rho}(x)\theta^\mu\theta^\nu\theta^\rho$$

*(Handwritten notes:  $C = C^{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\langle \theta^\mu \rangle = \mathfrak{g}$ )*

Introducing  $C^*$ ,  $A^{*\mu}$  via  $C_{\mu\nu\rho}(x) = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}C^*$  and  $C_{\mu\nu}(x) = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}A^{*\rho}$   
 the BV symplectic structure

$$\omega_{BV} = \int_X Tr(\delta A_\mu \wedge \delta A^{*\mu} + \delta C \wedge \delta C^*)$$

1

(-2)

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 \times T^*(\text{ghost space})$$

$p, q$        $\mathbb{R}$        $\mathbb{C}^2$

### Example: 1d AKSZ sigma model

Target: BFV phase space  $\mathcal{M}$  equipped with symplectic form  $\omega$  and BFV-BRST charge  $\Omega = c^\alpha T_\alpha + \dots$  such that  $\{\Omega, \Omega\} = 0$  and the Hamiltonian  $H = H_0 + \dots$  satisfying  $\{H, \Omega\} = 0$ .  
 (Generalized) AKSZ action *M.G., Damgaard 2000*

$$S_{BV} = \int dt d\theta (\cancel{\chi_A d_X \psi^A} - \Omega(\psi(t, \theta)) - \underline{\theta H(\psi(x, \theta))})$$

is a BV extension (*Fisch, Henneaux*) of the Hamiltonian action:

$$S_0 = \int dt (p\dot{q} - H_0 - \underline{\lambda^\alpha T_\alpha})$$

Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda^\alpha$  arise as 1-forms associated to BFV ghost variables:  $\sigma^*(\dot{c}^\alpha) = \lambda^\alpha(t)\theta$ .

The relation between the BV antibracket and BFV Poisson bracket

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_{BV} = \int dt d\theta \{ \cdot, \cdot \}$$

Explicit realization of the isomorphism of *Barnich, Henneaux 1996*

What we've learned:

- non-diffeo-invariant theories correspond to  $x^a, \theta^a$ -dependent structures. Suitable language is that of fiber bundles.
- AKSZ unifies BV and BFV. For  $X = \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^1$  taking  $T[1]\Sigma$  as a source gives BFV-AKSZ sigma model. *M.G. Barnich 2003; M.G. 2010*. Further developments: *Cattaneo, Mnev, Reshetikhin 2012; Bonechi, Zabzine 2012; . . . .*
- More generally, induces (shifted) BV (BFV) on any source manifold. Gives a natural framework to study gauge theories with (asymptotic) boundaries *M.G, Bekaert 2012; Mnev, Schiavina 2019, MG Markov 2023, . . .*

## Towards generalized AKSZ

In general, AKSZ equations of motion

$$\omega_{AB}(\psi(x, \theta)) (d_X \psi^A(x, \theta) - q^A(\psi(x, \theta))) = 0, \quad q^A = q\psi^A.$$

For  $\omega_{AB}$  invertible, these imply (generalized) zero-curvature and hence the system is topological provided  $M$  is finite-dimensional.

What about general local gauge theories? Possible way out is infinite-dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$  involving all the curvatures. The idea goes back to unfolded approach of *M.Vasiliev*. General formalism and existence: *Barnich, MG, 2010*

An alternative (with  $\mathcal{M}$  finite-dim.): take  $\omega$  degenerate so that AKSZ equations of motion kill only part of the curvature. The first characteristic example is Cartan-Weyl form of Einstein gravity:

## Presymplectic AKSZ form of gravity

$\xi$  - transl

$\rho$  - Lorentz rotations

Target  $(\mathfrak{g}[1], q, \omega)$ , with  $\mathfrak{g}$  Poincare algebra and  $q$  its CE differential. Coordinates on  $\mathfrak{g}[1]$  in the standard basis  $\xi^a, \rho^{ab}$

$$q\xi^a = \rho^a{}_c \xi^c, \quad q\rho^{ab} = \rho^a{}_c \rho^{cb}$$

Presymplectic structure:

*Alkalaev, M.G. 2013; MG 2016*

$$\omega = \epsilon_{abcd} \xi^a d\xi^b d\rho^{cd}, \quad \omega = d\chi$$

$$L_q\omega = 0, \quad d\omega = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad i_q\omega + d\mathcal{L} = 0$$

AKSZ-like action:

$$S[\sigma] = \int_{T[1]X} \sigma^*(\chi)(d_X) + \sigma^*(\mathcal{L}) = \int_{T[1]X} (d_X \gamma^{ab} + \gamma^a{}_c \gamma^{cb}) \epsilon_{abcd} e^c e^d$$

where  $e^a = \sigma^*(\xi^a)$  and  $\gamma^{ab} = \sigma^*(\rho^{ab})$ . Familiar Cartan-Weyl action for GR. Generalization for  $n > 4$  and  $\Lambda \neq 0$  is obvious.

What about the remaining components of supermaps? Full-scale BV formulation?

$e$  - frame

$\gamma^{ab}$  - spin connection.

General axioms:

$$Q^2 \neq 0$$

Def Pre Q-bundle  $\pi : (E, Q) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, q)$   $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded manifolds equipped with degree 1 vector fields such that  $Q \circ \pi^* = \pi^* \circ q$ ,  
 If  $Q^2 = 0$  and  $q^2 = 0$  one gets  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded version of Q-bundle  
*Kotov, Strobl 2007.*

Def [MG 22, Dneprov, Gritzaenko, MG 24] Weak presymplectic gauge PDE is a pre Q-bundle  $\pi : (E, Q) \rightarrow (T[1]X, d_X)$  equipped with presymplectic structure  $\omega$ ,  $\text{gh}(\omega) = \dim X - 1$   $d\omega = 0$ , and a function  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\text{gh}(\mathcal{L}) = \dim X$ :

$$d\omega = 0, \quad \frac{1}{2} i_Q i_Q \omega + \underline{Q\mathcal{L}} = 0, \quad i_Q \omega + \underline{d\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{I}$$

where  $\mathcal{I}$  is the ideal in  $\Lambda^\bullet(E)$  generated by  $\pi^*(\alpha)$  with  $\alpha \in \Lambda^{>0}(T[1]X)$ .

In coordinates,  $\mathcal{I}$  is generated by  $dx, d\theta$ .

$$\psi^a, x^m, \theta^u$$

## Example: weak presymplectic scalar field

$E = T[1]X \times F$ , fiber coordinates:

$$\phi, \phi^a, \quad \text{gh}(\phi) = \text{gh}(\phi^a) = 0$$

$$Qx^a = \theta^a, \quad Q\theta^a = 0, \quad Q\phi = \theta^a \eta_{ab} \phi^b, \quad Q\phi^a = \theta^a V'(\phi)$$

Presymplectic form (cf. *Kijowski, Tulczyjew 1979, Crnkovic, Witten, 1987,...* presymplectic current):

$$\omega = d\chi, \quad \chi = (\theta^a)_a^{n-1} \phi^a d\phi, \quad (\theta)_a^{(n-1)} = (*\theta)_a$$

Note: in general  $L_Q\omega \neq 0$  and  $Q^2 \neq 0$  but the axioms hold!

$$i_Q\omega + d\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{I} \implies \mathcal{L} = -(\theta)^n \left( \frac{1}{2} \phi_a \phi^a + V(\phi) \right)$$

AKSZ-like (aka intrinsic) action: *Schwinger, De Donder-Weyl*

$$S[\phi, \phi^a] = \int_X (dx)^n \left( \phi^a (\partial_a \phi - \frac{1}{2} \phi_a) - V(\phi) \right)$$

## Presymplectic AKSZ form of YM:

$E = T[1]X \times F$ , fiber coordinates ( $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued):

$$\underline{C}, \quad \text{gh}(C) = 1, \quad \underline{F^{a|b}}, \quad \text{gh}(F^{a|b}) = 0$$

$$Qx^a = \theta^a, \quad Q\theta^a = 0, \quad QC = -\frac{1}{2}[C, C] + \frac{1}{2}F^{a|b}\theta_a\theta_b, \quad QF^{a|b} = [F^{a|b}, C]$$

Note  $Q^2 \neq 0$ , in general. Presymplectic structure satisfying  $L_Q\omega \in \mathcal{I}$ :

*Alkalaev, M.G. 2013*

$$\omega = d\chi, \quad \chi = (\theta)_{ab}^{(n-2)} \text{Tr} (F^{a|b} dC)$$

AKSZ-like action ( $\sigma^*(C) = A_a(x)\theta^a, \sigma^*(F^{a|b}) = F^{a|b}(x)$ ):

$$S[\sigma] = \int d^n x \text{Tr} \left( (\partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a + [A_a, A_b]) F^{a|b} - \frac{1}{2} (F^{a|b})^2 \right)$$

## Features of weak presymplectic gPDEs:

- Almost as good as AKSZ but applies to general local gauge theories
- Encodes a local gauge theory in terms of a finite-dim pre-Q presymplectic manifold that can be regarded as a minimal model of the theory (as we are going to see it arises as a minimal mode of the  $L_\infty$  algebra determined by the jet-space BV-BRST differential  $\dagger$  descent of the BV symplectic structure)
- Together with minimality condition seems to be an invariant geometrical object underlying local gauge systems. Should be unique modulo suitable equivalence.
- What about full-scale BV? Where does it come from? Existence?

## Quasi-regularity

Given a fiber bundle  $E \rightarrow T[1]X$  one can construct a new bundle  $\bar{E} \rightarrow X$  whose fiber at  $x \in X \subset T[1]X$  is a space of super-maps from  $T_x[1]X$  to the fiber over  $x$ . Supersections of  $\bar{E}$  over  $X$  are 1 : 1 with supersections of  $E$  over  $T[1]X$ .

Locally:

$$Smaps(T[1]X, F) \cong Smaps(X, \bar{F}), \quad \bar{F} = Smaps(T_x[1]X, F)$$

Presymplectic structure  $\omega$  determines a presymplectic structure  $\bar{\omega}_x$  on  $\bar{E}_x$  via integration over  $T_x[1]X$ . We say that  $\omega$  is quasi-regular if  $\bar{\omega}$  is regular. More systematic treatment uses vertical jets.

Thm. [MG22, Dneprov, MG, Gritzaenko 24] Let  $(E, Q, T[1]X, \omega)$  be a weak presymplectic gauge PDE. Assume that presymplectic structure  $\omega$  is quasi-regular. Then, locally,

$$S_{BV}(\hat{\sigma}) = \int_{T[1]X} (\hat{\sigma}^*(\chi)(d_X) + \hat{\sigma}^*(\mathcal{L})), \quad \omega = d\chi$$

defines a local BV system on the symplectic quotient of  $\bar{E}$ .  
 Idea of the proof: Prolongation of  $Q$  defines a BV system on the symplectic quotient. Particular case in Kotov, MG 20.

**Physical explanation:** Shifts along  $\ker \bar{\omega}$  are algebraic gauge transf. for  $S_{BV}$ . Gauge-fixing them gives BV action satisfying BV master-equation modulo boundary terms. In particular,  $S_{BV}$  can be used in the path integral

$$\int_{\tilde{L}} \exp \frac{i}{\hbar} S_{BV}$$

where  $\tilde{L}$  also takes into account  $\ker \bar{\omega}$ . No need to take the symplectic quotient explicitly

## Example: scalar

Recall: fiber coordinates  $\phi, \phi^a$  Coordinates on  $\Gamma_S(E)$ :

$$\hat{\sigma}^*(\phi) = \overset{0}{\phi(x)} + \overset{1}{\phi_a(x)}\theta^a + \dots$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^*(\phi^a) = \overset{0}{\phi^a(x)} + \overset{1}{\phi_b^a(x)}\theta^b + \dots$$

Presymplectic structure  $\omega = (\theta^a)^{n-1} d\phi^a d\phi$  induced on supermaps:

$$\bar{\omega} = \int_X d^n x \left( \delta\phi \wedge \delta\phi_a^1 + \delta\phi_a^0 \wedge \delta\phi_a^1 \right)$$

All the fields are in the kernel except for:

$$\varphi = \phi, \quad \varphi^* = \phi_a^1, \quad \varphi^a = \phi^a, \quad \varphi_a^* = \phi_a^1$$

Correct set of fields and antifields for the 1st order form of scalar! BV symplectic structure emerged from the **presymplectic current!**

## Example: YM

Recall: fiber coordinates  $C, F^{a|b}$ . Coordinates on  $\Gamma_S(E)$ :

$$\hat{\sigma}^*(C) = \overset{0}{C}(x) + A_a(x)\theta^a + \frac{1}{2}\overset{2}{C}_{ab}(x)\theta^a\theta^b \dots$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^*(F^{a|b}) = \overset{0}{F}^{a|b}(x) + \overset{1}{F}_c^{a|b}(x)\theta^c + \frac{1}{2}\overset{2}{F}_{cd}^{a|b}(x)\theta^c\theta^d + \dots$$

Presymplectic structure  $\omega = \theta_{ab}^{(2)} dF^{ab} dC$  induces on supermaps:

$$\bar{\omega} = \int_X Tr \left( \delta \overset{0}{C} \wedge \delta \overset{2}{F}_{ab}^{a|b} + \delta A_a \wedge \delta \overset{1}{F}_b^{a|b} + \delta \overset{2}{C}_{ab} \wedge \delta \overset{0}{F}^{a|b} \right)$$

All the fields are in the kernel except for:

$$C = \overset{0}{C}, \quad C^* = \overset{2}{F}_{ab}^{a|b}, \quad A_a, \quad A_*^a = \overset{1}{F}_b^{a|b}, \quad F^{a|b}, \quad F_{ab}^* = \overset{2}{C}_{ab}$$

$S_{BV}$  coincides with the standard BV action for YM in the first-order formalism.

## Example: Gravity

Fiber coordinates  $\xi^a, \rho^{ab}$ . Coordinates on the supermaps:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}^*(\xi^a) &= \xi^a(x) + e_\mu^a(x)\theta^\mu + \xi_{\mu\nu}^a(x)\theta^\mu\theta^\nu + \dots, \\ \hat{\sigma}^*(\rho^{ab}) &= \rho^{ab}(x) + \gamma_\mu^{ab}(x)\theta^\mu + \rho_{\mu\nu}^{ab}(x)\theta^\mu\theta^\nu + \dots.\end{aligned}$$

Prop. [Kotov, MG 2020]  $\bar{\omega}$  determined by  $\omega = \epsilon_{abcd}\xi^a d\xi^b d\rho^{cd}$  is regular provided  $e_\mu^a$  is invertible.

In particular,

$$S[\hat{\sigma}] = \int \hat{\sigma}^*(\chi)(d_X) - \hat{\sigma}^*(\mathcal{L})$$

induces a proper BV action on the symplectic quotient.

In contrast to the YM and scalar field examples the symplectic structure is **not in Darboux form**.

## Weak gauge PDEs

*MG, Rudinsky 2024*

Whats is the analog at the level of equations of motion?

Idea: keep the kernel distribution and forget about the presymplectic structure.

Def. Weak gPDE is a pre- $Q$ -bundle  $(E, Q) \rightarrow (T[1]X, d_X)$  equipped with a  $Q$ -invariant vertical distribution  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $Q^2 \in \mathcal{K}$ . gPDE corresponds to  $\mathcal{K} = 0$

Thm. Let  $(E, Q, T[1]X, \mathcal{K})$  be a weak gPDE. Assume that prolongation  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  is regular. Then, at least locally,  $J_S^\infty(E)/\bar{\mathcal{K}}$  is a local BV system.

The proof is based on the observation:  $\bar{Q}^2 \in \bar{\mathcal{K}} \Rightarrow \bar{Q}^2 f = 0$  for any function  $f$  such that  $\bar{\mathcal{K}} f = 0$ .

Any weak presymplectic gPDE gives weak gPDE by taking  $\mathcal{K} = \{V \in \text{Vect}_v(E) : i_V \omega \in \mathcal{I}\}$  and forgetting  $\omega$ .

## Example: self-dual YM

$X = \mathbb{R}^4$  with Euclidean metric and  $E \rightarrow T[1]\mathbb{R}^4$ , with the fiber being  $\mathfrak{g}[1]$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a real Lie algebra. Local coordinates on  $E$  are:  $x^a, \theta^a, C^A$ . Useful convention  $C = C^A t_A$ . The  $Q$ -structure is then defined as

$$Q(x^a) = \theta^a, \quad Q(\theta^a) = 0, \quad Q(C) = -\frac{1}{2}[C, C]$$

Distribution  $\mathcal{K}$  is generated by:

$$K_A^{(1)ab} = \left( \theta^a \theta^b + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ab}_{cd} \theta^c \theta^d \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial C^A}, \quad K_{aA}^{(2)} = \epsilon_{abcd} \theta^b \theta^c \theta^d \frac{\partial}{\partial C^A},$$

Note:  $Q^2 = 0$  and  $L_Q \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{K}$ .

Minimal model (in the sense of weak gPDE) of self-dual YM

## Example: self-dual YM

Fields parameterizing the quotient  $J_S^\infty(E)/\bar{K}$ :

$$\overset{0}{C}, \quad A_a \equiv \overset{1}{C}|_a, \quad \mathcal{F}_{ab}^{*-} \equiv \overset{0}{C}|_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{abcd}\overset{0}{C}|^{cd}.$$

The induced BRST differential  $s$ :

$$s(\mathcal{F}_{ab}^{*-}) = -(\mathcal{D}_a A_b - \mathcal{D}_b A_a)^- - [\mathcal{F}_{ab}^{*-}, \bar{C}],$$

$$s(\overset{0}{C}) = -\frac{1}{2}[\overset{0}{C}, \overset{0}{C}], \quad s(A_a) = \mathcal{D}_a \overset{0}{C}$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_a = D_a + [A_a, \cdot]$  is the covariant total derivative.

Gives standard BRST complex for self-dual YM.

## Where do all these structures come from?

Def  $Q$ -manifold  $(M, Q)$  (aka dg-manifold) is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded supermanifold  $M$  equipped with the odd nilpotent vector field of degree 1, i.e.

$$Q^2 = 0, \quad \text{gh}(Q) = 1$$

$\phi : (M_1, Q_1) \rightarrow (M_2, Q_2)$  is a  $Q$ -map if  $\phi^* \circ Q_2 = Q_1 \circ \phi^*$

Example:  $(V[1](\mathcal{M}), Q)$  where  $V(\mathcal{M})$  Lie algebroid. Indeed generic  $Q$  of degree 1 locally reads as:

$$Q = c^\alpha R_\alpha - \frac{1}{2} c^\alpha c^\beta U_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial c^\gamma}$$

$R_\alpha$  gives anchor,  $U_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$  bracket,  $Q^2 = 0$  encodes compatibility.

Proposition: [AKSZ] Let  $(M, Q)$  a  $Q$ -manifold,  $p \in M$  and  $Q|_p = 0$  then  $T_p M$  is an  $L_\infty$  algebra.

Formal pointed  $Q$ -manifolds are 1:1 with  $L_\infty$ -algebras

## Equivalence of $Q$ -manifolds:

Idea: restrict to local analysis. Let

$$M = N \times T[1]V, \quad Q = Q_N + d_{T[1]V}$$

with  $V$  a graded space. Then  $(M, Q)$  and  $(N, Q_N)$  are equivalent.  $Q$ -manifold  $(T[1]V, d_{T[1]V})$  is called contractible. In coordinates:

$$Q = Q_N + v^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial w^\alpha}, \quad Q_N = q^i(\phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi^i}.$$

Often one finds a “minimal” equivalent  $Q$ -man. In the formal setup this gives a **minimal model** of the respective  $L_\infty$  algebra.

**Geometric characterization:** let  $w^a$  be independent functions such that  $w^a, Qw^a$  are also independent then the surface  $w^a = 0 = Qw^a$  is a  $Q$ -submanifold isomorphic to  $(N, Q_N)$ . **Simple geometric picture of the homotopy transfer**

In the context of gauge theories:  $w^\alpha, v^\alpha$  – are known as “generalized auxiliary fields” *Henneaux, 1990; Barnich, M.G. 2004.*

Def. [Kotov, Strobl] Locally trivial bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  of  $Q$ -manifolds is called  $Q$ -bundle if  $\pi$  is a  $Q$ -map. Section  $\sigma : M \rightarrow E$  is called  $Q$ -section if it's a  $Q$ -map.

In general,  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  is not a locally trivial  $Q$ -bundle.

Indeed, although locally  $E \cong M \times F$  (product of manifolds) in general  $Q$  is not a product  $Q$ -structure of  $Q_F$  and  $Q_M$ .

Example: let  $\pi_X : E \rightarrow X$  be a fiber bundle then  $\pi = d\pi_X : (T[1]E, d_E) \rightarrow (T[1]X, d_X)$  is a  $Q$ -bundle.

Def. [MG, Kotov]  $(M, Q)$  is called an equivalent reduction of  $(M', Q')$  if  $(M', Q')$  is a locally trivial  $Q$ -bundle over  $(M, Q)$  with a contractible fiber and  $(M', Q')$  admits a global  $Q$ -section.

This generates an equivalence relation for  $Q$ -manifolds.

## Gauge PDEs

Def. Gauge PDE  $(E, Q, T[1]X)$  is a  $Q$ -bundle over  $T[1]X$ . In addition: equivalent to nonnegatively graded.

Solutions:  $\sigma : T[1]X \rightarrow E$  is a solution if

$$d_X \circ \sigma^* = \sigma^* \circ Q, \quad .$$

Gauge parameter:  $Y = Y^A(\psi, x, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^A}$ ,  $\text{gh}(Y) = -1$ .

Infinitesimal gauge transformations:

$$\delta_Y \sigma^* = \sigma^* \circ [Q, Y]$$

In a similar way one defines gauge (for gauge)<sup>N</sup> symmetries.

In local coordinates  $x^\mu, \theta^\mu, \psi^A$ :

$$Q = \theta^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + Q^A(\psi, x, \theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^A}, \quad d_X \psi^A(x, \theta) = Q^A(\psi^A(x, \theta), x, \theta)$$

## Equivalence of gauge PDEs

**Def.** A sub-gPDE  $(\tilde{E}, \tilde{Q}, T[1]X) \subset (E, Q, T[1]X)$  (i.e.  $\tilde{E} \subset E$  is a subbundle,  $Q$  restricts to  $\tilde{Q}$ ) is called an equivalent reduction if  $E$  is a locally trivial  $Q$ -bundle over  $\tilde{E}$  (as bundles over  $T[1]X$ ) with a contractible fiber.

In local coordinates: if in adapted coordinates  $x^\mu, \theta^\mu, \phi^i, w^a, v^a$  one has  $Qw^a = v^a$  and  $\tilde{E}$  is singled out by  $w^a = 0 = v^a$  then  $\tilde{E}$  is an equivalent reduction.

A version of elimination of “generalized auxiliary fields” *Henneaux, 1990; Barnich, M.G. 2004; M.G. Kotov 2019.*

## Example: BV formulation (EOM level)

Let  $(J^\infty(\mathcal{E}), s)$  be a local BV system, i.e.  $\mathcal{E}$  is the BV bundle (fields, ghosts, antifields) and  $s, s^2 = 0$  is the BRST differential on  $J^\infty(\mathcal{E})$ .

Take  $J^\infty(\mathcal{E})$  pulled back to  $T[1]X$  as  $E$ , total degree as a degree, and  $Q = d_h + s$ . Locally, the gauge system determined by  $(E, Q, T[1]X)$  is equivalent to the one encoded in the BV formulation  $(J^\infty(\mathcal{E}), s)$ .

*Barnich, MG 2010*

The notion of gauge PDE is sufficiently flexible to include BV as a particular case and hence all reasonable gauge theories. Justifies definition.

## Example: PDE

Let  $E_0 \rightarrow X$  be a bundle equipped with Cartan distribution. Extend to a bundle  $E \rightarrow T[1]X$ , the Cartan distribution defines  $d_{\mathfrak{h}}$  on  $E$ :

$$d_{\mathfrak{h}} = \theta^a D_a, \quad (\theta^a \equiv dx^a)$$

We arrive at  $Q$ -bundle  $(E, d_{\mathfrak{h}}, T[1]X)$ .

Seen as a section of  $E \rightarrow T[1]X$ , a solution is a  $Q$ -section. If  $\psi^A$  are local fiber coordinates the section is parameterized by  $\sigma^A(x) = \sigma^*(\psi^A)$

$Q$ -map condition  $d_X \circ \sigma^* = \sigma^* \circ d_{\mathfrak{h}}$  gives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \sigma^A(x) = \Gamma_a^A(\sigma(x), x), \quad d_{\mathfrak{h}} = \theta^a D_a = \theta^a \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \Gamma_a^A(\psi, x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^A} \right)$$

cf. “unfolded” representation of [M.Vasiliev](#).

Usual PDEs are gauge PDEs whose grading is horizontal

## Riemannian geometry as a gauge PDE

Take  $G = (T^*X \vee T^*X)_{\text{nd}} \oplus T[1]X$ . Take  $E$  to be  $J^\infty(G)$  pulled back to  $T[1]X$ . Local trivialization:

$$x^a, \theta^a, \quad g_{ab}, g_{ab|c}, \dots, \quad \xi^a, \xi^a|_c \dots$$

In a suitable trivialization (cf. AKSZ):

$$Q = d_X + \gamma, \quad \gamma g_{ab} = \xi^c g_{ab|c} + \xi^c|_a g_{cb} + \xi^c|_b g_{ac}, \quad \gamma \xi^a = \xi^c \xi^a|_c, \dots$$

E.g. **Lagrangians:**  $H^n(Q, \text{local functions})$ ,  $n = \dim X$ . Applies to generic off-shell (equivalent to jets) gauge PDEs.

Locally,  $E = (T[1]X, d_X) \times (\mathcal{F}, q)$ , i.e. Locally-trivial  $Q$ -bundle.

## Minimal model

Restrict to local analysis.  $\Gamma_{(bc|d\dots)}^a$  form contractible pairs with  $\xi_{bcd\dots}^a$  and  $g_{ab}$  with symmetric part of  $\xi_b^a$ . Resulting minimal model *Stora; Barnich, Brandt, Henneaux; Vasiliev ...*:

Coordinates:  $x^\mu, \theta^\mu, \xi^a, \rho^a_b, R_{ab}{}^c{}_d, R_{a(b}{}^c{}_{de}), \dots, R_{a(b}{}^c{}_{de\dots}), \dots$

$$Qx^\mu = \theta^\mu, \quad Q\xi^a = \rho^a{}_c \xi^c, \quad Q\rho^{ab} = \rho^a{}_c \rho^{cb} + \lambda \xi^a \xi^b + \xi^c \xi^d R_{cd}{}^{ab},$$

$$QR_{ab}{}^c{}_d = \xi^e R_{a(b}{}^c{}_{de}) + \rho_a{}^f R_{fb}{}^c{}_d + \dots, \quad \dots$$

For instance  $H^0(Q)$  gives Riemannian invariants. On-shell version:  $R$  are totally traceless (only Weyl tensors).

Section:

$$\sigma^*(\xi^a) = e_\mu^a(x)\theta^\mu, \quad \sigma^*(\rho^{ab}) = \gamma_\mu^{ab}(x)\theta^\mu, \quad \sigma^*(R_{ab}{}^c{}_d) = R_{ab}{}^c{}_d(x), \dots$$

Equations of motion:

$$d_X e^a + \gamma^a{}_b e^b = 0, \quad d_X \gamma^{ab} + \gamma^a{}_c \gamma^{cb} = e^c e^d R_{cd}{}^{ab}, \quad \dots$$

**Cartan structure equations.** Taking a total degree “gh+form degree” is crucial. Frame-like formulations.

On shell version – equivalent form of Einstein equations.

## Presymplectic structures on gauge PDE

How to represent a generic local gauge theory as a presymplectic gauge PDE? At nonlagrangian level: total differential  $Q = d_h + s$ ,

total degree = gh + "horizontal form degree"

At Lagrangian level: in the simplest case where "gauge part of BRST differential"  $\gamma^2 = 0$  there is an easy shortcut: descent completion of the Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \mathcal{L}^n + d_h \mathcal{L}^{n-1} = 0, & \quad \gamma \mathcal{L}^{n-1} + d_h \mathcal{L}^{n-2} = 0, & \quad \dots \\ \dots & \quad \gamma \mathcal{L}^1 + d_h \mathcal{L}^0 = 0, & \quad \gamma \mathcal{L}^0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Defines a QP structure on  $T^*[n-1](J^\infty(\mathcal{E}))$ . Resulting AKSZ model is equivalent to the parameterized version of the initial local gauge theory

*MG 2010*

## Descent completed BV

More general but technically involved: descent completion of the BV symplectic structure:

*Cattaneo Mnev Reshetikhin; Sharapov; MG; Mnev Schiavina...*

$$\omega^n = \underbrace{(dx)^n}_{\omega_{AB}}(x, \psi^A) \underbrace{d_V \psi^A}_{\omega^A} \underbrace{d_V \psi^B}_{\omega^B},$$

$$L_s \omega^n + \underbrace{d_h}_{\omega^{n-1}} \omega^{n-1} = 0, \quad L_s \omega^{n-1} + d_h \omega^{n-2} = 0, \quad \dots$$

$$\dots L_s \omega^1 + d_h \omega^0 = 0, \quad L_s \omega^0 = 0$$

with

$$Q = d_h + s, \quad \omega = \omega^n + \omega^{n-1} + \dots + \omega^0$$

one finds  $i_Q i_Q \omega = 0$ . Results in presymplectic gauge PDE. Taking minimal model and setting to zero variables from the kernel of  $\omega$  results in the presymplectic minimal model.

## Conclusions

- (Finite-dimensional) super-geometrical objects underlying local gauge theories. Minimal models seem unique.
- Generalization and first principle derivation of the AKSZ construction. Can be considered as an extension of AKSZ to generic local theories.
- Determines a “canonical” first-order realization in terms of the fields taking values in the minimal model. Makes manifest the underlying Cartan geometry. Covariant Hamiltonian formalism. Classification?
- Further examples include conformal gravity (*Denprov MG, 2022*), supergravity (*MG Mamekin, to appear*).

- Tool to study geometry underlying a given gauge system. Background fields and background independence can be incorporated in the approach *(MG, Dneprov, to appear)*
- In the case of variational systems unifies Lagrangian BV and Hamiltonian BFV formalism, cf. BV/BFV approach of *Cattaneo et al.*
- Gives a geometrically-invariant approach to study boundary values of gauge fields and asymptotic symmetries *Bekaert, M.G. 2012, MG, Markov 2023*. In particular, Fefferman-Graham construction (and tractor calculus) can be seen as a certain gauge PDE. *Bekaert, M.G. Skvortsov 2017*
- Gives a criterion to characterize local theory in terms of its infinite dimensional equation manifold. Possibly interesting in the higher-spin theory context.