Approximate counting in bounded arithmetic

Emil Jeřábek

Institute of Mathematics Czech Academy of Sciences jerabek@math.cas.cz https://users.math.cas.cz/~jerabek/

Constructive Complexity Theory 2025 STOC TheoryFest workshop, Prague, June 2025

Plan for talk

- 1 Counting in arithmetic
- 2 Weak pigeonhole principle
- **3** Counting with additive error
- 4 Counting with multiplicative error

Counting in arithmetic

1 Counting in arithmetic

- 2 Weak pigeonhole principle
- 3 Counting with additive error
- 4 Counting with multiplicative error

Theories of arithmetic

- official objects: natural numbers
- encode all kinds of stuff: strings, graphs, circuits, ...

We can manipulate finite sets of such objects

The counting problem for a set X:

• Can the theory meaningfully determine the size #X?

Why bother?

Potential applications:

- counting arguments in combinatorics e.g., Ramsey's theorem
- probabilistic arguments
- formalization of randomized algorithms, randomized (and counting) complexity classes
- translation of arithmetic to propositional proofs
 propositional simulations

Bounded arithmetic cheat sheet

Base theory PV_1 :

- objects: natural numbers ("in binary")
- ▶ symbols for all poly-time functions and relations $|x| = \lceil \log_2(x+1) \rceil$
- \blacktriangleright induction for quantifier-free formulas φ

$$\begin{split} \varphi(0,\dots) \wedge \forall x \left(\varphi(x,\dots) \rightarrow \varphi(x+1,\dots)\right) \rightarrow \forall x \varphi(x,\dots) \\ \mathsf{T}_2^i &= \mathsf{PV}_1 + \mathsf{induction} \text{ for } \Sigma_i^b \text{ formulas} \equiv \mathsf{PV}_{i+1} \\ &\exists \vec{x_1} \leq t_1 \,\forall \vec{x_2} \leq t_2 \, \dots Q \vec{x_i} \leq t_i \,\theta(\vec{x_1},\dots,\vec{x_i},x,\dots) \\ \mathsf{S}_2^i &= \mathsf{PV}_1 + \mathsf{length-induction} \text{ for } \Sigma_i^b \text{ formulas} \\ \varphi(0,\dots) \wedge \forall x \left(\varphi(x,\dots) \rightarrow \varphi(x+1,\dots)\right) \rightarrow \forall x \,\varphi(|x|,\dots) \\ &\mathsf{T}_2^0 \equiv \mathsf{PV}_1 \subseteq \mathsf{S}_2^1 \,\subseteq \,\mathsf{T}_2^1 \subseteq \mathsf{S}_2^2 \,\subseteq \,\mathsf{T}_2^2 \subseteq \mathsf{S}_2^3 \,\subseteq \cdots \end{split}$$

One-sorted vs. two-sorted theories

Two-sorted bounded arithmetic:

- (unary/small/auxiliary) natural numbers
- finite sets (= binary strings = binary/large numbers)

one-sorted	two-sorted
numbers	sets (binary numbers)
logarithmic numbers	numbers (unary)
PV_1	VPV
S ₂ ⁱ , T ₂ ⁱ	V ⁱ , TV ⁱ
length induction	induction
induction	string induction

We are talking about finite sets of the "binary objects" prefer one-sorted theories to prevent confusion Emil Jerábek | Approximate counting in bounded arithmetic | Constructive Complexity Theory 2025, Prague

Representation of sets

Sets (sequences) $X = \{x_i : i < n\}$ encoded by numbers

- can only have logarithmic size
- straightforward to count by a PV-function

Bounded definable sets $X = \{x < a : \varphi(x, p)\}$

- X specified inside the theory by a, p (φ is fixed)
- ▶ φ low complexity e.g.: φ quantifier-free \implies P/poly sets alternatively: given by a circuit C: $\{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$, $a = 2^n$
- how to count them???

Approximate counting

 $X = \{x < 2^n : C(x) = 1\}$ given by a circuit $C : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

Computing s = #X exactly is #P-complete

- ▶ Toda's theorem [T'89]: $PH \subseteq P^{\#P}$
- If we could define #X by a Σ^b_i formula ⇒ #P ⊆ FPH and PH collapses

But we can approximate #X in PH!

- with additive error: $s \varepsilon 2^n \le \# X \le s + \varepsilon 2^n$
- with multiplicative error: $(1 \varepsilon)s \le \#X \le (1 + \varepsilon)s$
- error parameter $\varepsilon = 1/m$, *m* given in unary (e.g., $\varepsilon = n^{-c}$)

Goal: do that in bounded arithmetic

Weak pigeonhole principle

- 1 Counting in arithmetic
- 2 Weak pigeonhole principle
- 3 Counting with additive error
- 4 Counting with multiplicative error

PHP and WPHP

Pigeonhole principle:

a pigeonholes cannot accommodate b pigeons, b > a

Formal statement for relations (multifunctions) R:

$$mPHP_a^b(R) = \forall y < b \exists x < a R(y, x)$$

$$\rightarrow \exists y < y' < b \exists x < a (R(y, x) \land R(y', x))$$

 $mPHP_a^{a+1}$ is an exact counting principle not available in bounded arithmetic

Weak PHP (WPHP): *b* "much" larger than *a* often $b = a^2$, b = 2a; we take b = a(1 + 1/n), *n* unary

Theorem [PWW'88, MPW'02]: $T_2^2 \vdash \text{mWPHP}(\Sigma_1^b)$

Variants of (W)PHP

Special cases where R or R^{-1} is a function:

▶ injective (W)PHP

$$i PHP^b_a(g) = orall y < b \ g(y) < a \
ightarrow \exists y < y' < b \ g(y) = g(y')$$

surjective ("dual") (W)PHP

$$sPHP_a^b(f) = \exists y < b \,\forall x < a \, f(x) \neq y$$

retraction-pair (W)PHP

$$rPHP_a^b(f,g) = \forall y < b g(y) < a \rightarrow \exists y < b f(g(y)) \neq y$$

For some reasons, our preferred variant is sWPHP (or rWPHP) Emil Jeřábek | Approximate counting in bounded arithmetic | Constructive Complexity Theory 2025, Prague 8:24

Counting with WPHP

Basic idea: witness that $|X| \le a$ by exhibiting a surjection $f : [a] \twoheadrightarrow X$ (for sWPHP)

or

an injection $f: X \hookrightarrow [a]$ (for iWPHP) Trouble: Where shall we get these functions from?

Ostensibly, WPHP is a passive counting principle: it says something is impossible, it does not supply any counting functions

Ad hoc counting arguments using WPHP:

▶ [PWW'88]: T_2^{∞} proves the existence of ∞ many primes

9.24

▶ [Pud'90]: T₂[∞] proves Ramsey's theorem

Can we develop a more general method? Emil Jerábek | Approximate counting in bounded arithmetic | Constructive Complexity Theory 2025, Prague

Two general setups

Approximate counting with additive error

- estimate the size of $X \subseteq [2^n]$ within error $2^n/m$ = estimate $\Pr_{x < a}[x \in X]$ within error 1/m
- Δ_1^b sets can be counted in APC₁ := PV₁ + sWPHP(PV) $\subseteq T_2^2$
- based on pseudorandom generators

Approximate counting with multiplicative error

• estimate the size of $X \subseteq 2^n$ within error |X|/m

• Σ_1^b sets can be counted in APC₂ := $T_2^1 + sWPHP(FP^{NP}) \subseteq T_2^3$

based on linear hashing

Counting with additive error

- 1 Counting in arithmetic
- 2 Weak pigeonhole principle
- 3 Counting with additive error
- 4 Counting with multiplicative error

Main strategy

Goal: $X \subseteq [2^n]$ given by a circuit \rightsquigarrow approximate |X| with error $\varepsilon 2^n$ (think $\varepsilon = 1/n^c$)

► estimate Pr_{x<2ⁿ}[x ∈ X] with error ε by drawing O(1/ε) independent random samples

 \implies randomized poly-time algorithm

derandomize using the Nisan–Wigderson generator

How does the theory know that the result is not just a meaningless number?

analysis of the generator can be carried out in PV₁ ⇒ explicit "counting functions" for X !

Hard Boolean functions

Nisan-Wigderson generator [NW'94]

- ▶ NW_h : $\{0,1\}^{c \log n} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$ computable in time $n^{O(1)}$
- ▶ "fools" circuits $C: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ of size $n^{O(1)}$

Needs access to a hard Boolean function:

▶
$$h: \{0,1\}^k \to \{0,1\}, \ k = d \log n$$

► *h* cannot be approximated by $2^{k/4}$ -size circuits on $\ge \frac{1}{2} + 2^{-k/4}$ fraction of inputs

APC₁ proves: (truth tables of) such hard functions exist!

- simple counting argument (count circuits and error vectors)
- enumerate "easy functions" by a poly-time function apply sWPHP

Emil Jeřábek | Approximate counting in bounded arithmetic | Constructive Complexity Theory 2025, Prague 12:24

Size comparison with error

Naïve idea: |X| < |Y| iff there is a surjection $Y \rightarrow X$ What we get from analysing NW is more complicated: Definition: $X, Y \subseteq [2^n]$ definable sets, $\varepsilon > 0$ \triangleright X \prec_{e} Y iff there exist v > 0 and a circuit $C: [v] \times (Y \cup [\varepsilon 2^n]) \rightarrow [v] \times X$ $\blacktriangleright X \approx_{\varepsilon} Y \text{ iff } X \prec_{\varepsilon} Y \wedge Y \prec_{\varepsilon} X$ ▶ notation: $n \in Log \iff \exists a \ n = |a|$ (i.e., 2^n exists) Theorem [J'07]: APC₁ proves: If X is defined by a circuit and $\varepsilon^{-1} \in \text{Log}$, there exists s such that $X \approx_{\varepsilon} [s]$.

(we also get injections going the other way) Emil Jeřábek | Approximate counting in bounded arithmetic | Constructive Complexity Theory 2025, Prague 13:24

Working with \leq_{ε}

Basic toolbox for using approximate counting:

 APC_1 proves

- \leq_{ε} behaves well wrt $X \cup Y$, $X \smallsetminus Y$, $X \times Y$, ...
- ► averaging principle ("if $\Pr_{x,y}[A(x,y)] \ge p$, there is x s.t. $\Pr_y[A(x,y)] \ge p$ ")
- Chernoff–Hoeffding inequality
- inclusion-exclusion principle

Complexity of \leq_{ε}

In more involved arguments, we might need to

- use \leq_{ε} inside an induction formula,
- define other sets using \leq_{ε} , etc.
- \implies need a bound on the complexity of $\preceq_{arepsilon}$
 - ▶ as it stands: $X \preceq_{\varepsilon} Y$ is an unbounded $\exists \Pi_2^b$ -formula
 - ε⁻¹ ∈ Log and X, Y are defined by circuits
 ⇒ it is Σ^b₂ by the Theorem
 - for parametric families, it is P/ poly:
 ε⁻¹ ∈ Log, family {X_u | u < a} of subsets of [2ⁿ] defined by a circuit C(u, x): [a] × [2ⁿ] → [2]
 ⇒ a circuit Sz: [a] → [2ⁿ] s.t. X_u ≈_ε [Sz(u)]
 ⇒ can appear in induction formulas in APC₁

Relativization

Relativized bounded arithmetic:

- new predicate \(\alpha\)(x) w/o any specific axioms represents an arbitrary oracle A
- ► $\mathsf{PV}(\alpha)$ -functions, $\Sigma_i^b(\alpha)$ formulas theories $\mathsf{PV}_1(\alpha)$, $\mathsf{T}_2^i(\alpha)$, $\mathsf{S}_2^i(\alpha)$, ...

The approximate counting machinery relativizes:

• APC₁(α) can count PV(α)-definable sets ("P^A/ poly")

Specializing α with Σ_i^b formulas:

Applications

- formalization of randomized complexity classes (e.g., TFRP, BPP, APP, MA, AM)
- formalization of specific randomized algorithms (e.g., Rabin–Miller primality testing algorithm, [LC'12] Edmonds and Mulmuley–Vazirani–Vazirani perfect matching algorithms)
- as a tool for working with probabilities (e.g., [Pich'15] formalization of the PCP theorem)

Counting with multiplicative error

- 1 Counting in arithmetic
- 2 Weak pigeonhole principle
- 3 Counting with additive error

4 Counting with multiplicative error

Overview

Counting $X \subseteq [2^n]$ with error $\varepsilon |X|$ rather than $\varepsilon 2^n$

- ▶ witness that |X| ≤ s using linear hash functions (Sipser's coding lemma)
- equivalent to existence of suitable surjective "counting functions"
- asymmetric: no witness for $|X| \ge s$!
- can count "sparse" sets
 - \implies useful for inductive counting arguments

Linear hashing

Let
$$X \subseteq [2^n] = \mathbb{F}_2^n$$
, $|X| = s$

Smaller gap: Sipser's coding lemma [Sip'83]

Theorem: $t = k = \lceil \log s \rceil + 1 \implies$ random $\{A_i\}_{i < k}$ isolates X

• we can distinguish sets of size $\leq \frac{1}{4}s$ from size $\geq s \log s$

• to get $s(1 \pm \varepsilon)$: apply to suitable Cartesian power X^d

Formalization

For
$$X \subseteq [2^n]$$
 a definable set, $\varepsilon^{-1} \in \text{Log}$, $s \leq 2^n$:
 $X \preceq_{\varepsilon} s \iff \exists \{A_i\}_{i < t} \in (\mathbb{F}_2^{t \times n})^t$ that isolates $X^d \subseteq [2^{nd}]$
where $d = 12|\tilde{s}|\lceil \varepsilon^{-1} \rceil^2$, $t = |\tilde{s}^d| + 1$ for some $0 < \tilde{s} \leq s$
(or: $s = 0$ and $X = \emptyset$)

Complexity:

X Σ₁^b-definable (NP/poly) ⇒ X ≤_ε s is Σ₂^b
 we can essentially make it Π₁^b (coNP/poly) for a parametric family of Σ₁^b sets ⇒ use in induction arguments, ...

Equivalence with surjections

Key result for manipulating \preceq : equivalent to existence of PV₂ (FP^{NP}/ poly) surjections

Theorem [J'09]: APC₂ proves for Σ_1^b -definable X:

- $\blacktriangleright X \preceq_{\varepsilon} s \implies \exists \mathsf{PV}_2 \text{-retraction pair } [\lfloor s(1+\varepsilon) \rfloor^d] \rightleftharpoons X^d$
- ▶ if $\exists PV_2$ -surjection* $[rs^e] \twoheadrightarrow [r] \times X^e$ for r > 0, $e \in Log$, then $X \preceq_{\varepsilon} s$, and

$$\Pr[\{A_i\}_{i < t} \text{ does not isolate } X^d] \preceq_0^{\Sigma_1^b} 2/3$$

21.24

where d, t are as in the definition of \precsim

 $\underline{\preceq}_{0}^{\Sigma_{1}^{b}} = \text{counting with additive error relativized with a } \Sigma_{1}^{b} \text{ oracle}$ *with some technical condition
Emil Jerábek | Approximate counting in bounded arithmetic | Constructive Complexity Theory 2025. Prague

Properties of approximate counting

APC₂ proves (for Σ_1^b sets):

- \precsim_{ε} agrees with exact counting and \preceq_{ε} where possible
- ► \leq_{ε} behaves well wrt $X \cup Y$, $X \times Y$ (even for logarithmically many terms)
- averaging principles
- Papproximate increasing enumeration: There are t, s s.t. s ≤ t ≤ [s(1+ε)], and non-decreasing PV₂-retraction pairs

$$[t] \xleftarrow{f} X \xleftarrow{g} [s]$$

s.t. f, g are almost 1-to-1, and

 $\left\lfloor \frac{s}{t}x \right\rfloor \leq g(f(x)) \leq \left\lceil \frac{s}{t}x \right\rceil$

Again, everything relativizes:

• APC₂(α) can count $\Sigma_1^b(\alpha)$ -definable sets ("NP^A/ poly")

Specializing α with $\Sigma_{i=1}^{b}$ formulas ($i \geq 1$):

- APC_{*i*+1} = T_2^i + sWPHP(PV_{*i*+1}) \subseteq T_2^{i+2} can count Σ_i^b -definable sets (Σ_i^P /poly)
- (recall: with additive error, APC_{*i*+1} can do Δ_{i+1}^{P} / poly)

Applications

- formalization of combinatorial counting arguments (e.g., Ramsey's theorem, tournament principle)
- improved collapse of hierarchies: if $T_2^i = S_2^{i+1}$, then $T_2^i = T_2$ proves $\Sigma_{i+1}^P \subseteq \Delta_{i+1}^P$ poly and $PH = Bool(\Sigma_{i+1}^P)$
- ▶ [BKT'14] APC₂ proves the ordering principle
- [BKZ'15] collapse of constant-depth proofs with modular-counting gates (formalization of Valiant–Vazirani and Toda's theorem in APC₂^{⊕pP})

References

General bounded arithmetic

- ► J. Krajíček: Proof complexity, Cambridge University Press, 2019
- Bounded arithmetic, propositional logic, and complexity theory, Cambridge University Press, 1995
- S. R. Buss: Bounded arithmetic, Bibliopolis, Naples, 1986
- S. A. Cook, P. Nguyen: Logical foundations of proof complexity, Cambridge University Press, 2010

Approximate counting machinery

 E. Jeřábek: Dual weak pigeonhole principle, Boolean complexity, and derandomization, APAL 129 (2004), 1–37

Approximate counting in bounded arithmetic, JSL 72 (2007), 959–993

Approximate counting by hashing in bounded arithmetic, JSL 74 (2009), 829–860

Emil Jeřábek | Approximate counting in bounded arithmetic | Constructive Complexity Theory 2025, Prague

References (other)

- S. R. Buss, L. A. Kołodziejczyk, N. Thapen: Fragments of approximate counting, JSL 49 (2014), 496–525
- S. R. Buss, L. A. Kołodziejczyk, K. Zdanowski: Collapsing modular counting in bounded arithmetic and constant depth propositional proofs, Trans. AMS 367 (2015), 7517–7563
- Đ. T. M. Lê and S. A. Cook: Formalizing randomized matching algorithms, LMCS 8 (2012), art. #5
- A. Maciel, T. Pitassi, A. Woods: A new proof of the weak pigeonhole principle, JCSS 64 (2002), 843–872
- N. Nisan, A. Wigderson: Hardness vs. randomness, JCSS 49 (1994), 149–167
- J. B. Paris, A. J. Wilkie, A. R. Woods: Provability of the pigeonhole principle and the existence of infinitely many primes, JSL 53 (1988), 1235–1244

Emil Jeřábek | Approximate counting in bounded arithmetic | Constructive Complexity Theory 2025, Prague

References (cont'd)

- J. Pich: Logical strength of complexity theory and a formalization of the PCP theorem in bounded arithmetic, LMCS 11 (2015), art. #8
- P. Pudlák: Ramsey's theorem in bounded arithmetic, Proc. CSL'90, Springer, 1991, 308–317
- M. Sipser: A complexity theoretic approach to randomness, Proc. 15th STOC (1983), 330–335
- ► S. Toda: On the computational power of PP and ⊕P, Proc. 30th FOCS (1989), 514–519