

## Exercises for Mathematical Logic (28 Nov 2025)

**31.** Assume  $|\Sigma| \geq 2$ . Prove that the problem  $H_\Sigma = \{\langle M \rangle x : M \text{ halts on input } x\} \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is many-one equivalent to  $A_\Sigma$ .

**32.** The set of well bracketed strings over the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{(, )_i : i < k\}$  is the smallest set of strings such that the empty string  $\varepsilon$  is well bracketed, and if  $x$  and  $y$  are well bracketed and  $i < k$ , then  $xy$  and  $(_i x)_i$  are well bracketed. E.g.,  $(_3(1)_1(2(0)_2(1)_1)_3(2)_2)$  is well bracketed. Show that the set of well bracketed strings is decidable.

**33.** Let  $L$  be a finite first-order language. Show that the following sets and functions are computable:

- (i) The set of  $L$ -terms.
- (ii) The set of  $L$ -formulas.
- (iii) The set of pairs  $\langle \varphi, x \rangle$  where  $x$  is a free variable of an  $L$ -formula  $\varphi$ .
- (iv) The substitution function: given an  $L$ -formula  $\varphi$ , a variable  $x$ , and an  $L$ -term  $t$ , compute  $\varphi(t/x)$ .
- (v) The set of triples  $\langle \Gamma, \varphi, \pi \rangle$  where  $\pi$  is a proof of an  $L$ -formula  $\varphi$  from a finite set of  $L$ -formulas  $\Gamma$ .

**34.** A language  $X \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is semidecidable iff it can be represented as  $\exists w \in \Sigma'^* P(x, w)$  for a finite alphabet  $\Sigma'$  (which we might take to be  $\Sigma$  itself if  $|\Sigma| \geq 2$ ) and a decidable predicate  $P$ .

[Hint: Consider a description of an accepting run of a Turing machine, or—if you are already familiar with the section on arithmetic—a  $\Sigma_1$ -formula that defines  $X$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ .]

**35.** (Craig's trick.) Every semidecidable theory is recursively axiomatizable. [Hint: Express  $\text{Thm}(T)$  as  $\exists w P(\varphi, w)$  with  $P$  decidable. Given  $\varphi$  and  $w$ , devise a sentence equivalent to  $\varphi$  that encodes  $w$ .]

**36.** Show that every decidable consistent theory  $T$  has a decidable complete extension.

[Hint: Consider a completion procedure that enumerates sentences  $\varphi$  one by one, and extends the current list of axioms with  $\varphi$  or  $\neg\varphi$ , whichever maintains consistency with  $T$ .]