

## Exercises for Mathematical Logic (9 Jan 2026)

**49.** Prove Gödel's diagonal lemma: for every formula  $\varphi(x)$ , there exists a sentence  $\alpha$  such that  $Q \vdash \alpha \leftrightarrow \varphi(\ulcorner \alpha \urcorner)$ . [Hint: Using representability of a suitable computable function (see Exer. 48), construct a formula  $\psi(x)$  such that  $Q \vdash \psi(\ulcorner \chi \urcorner) \leftrightarrow \varphi(\ulcorner \chi(\ulcorner \chi \urcorner) \urcorner)$  for all  $\chi(x)$ .]

**50.** (Löb's theorem.) Let  $T$  be an extension of  $Q$ , and  $\text{Pr}_T$  a provability predicate for  $T$  that satisfies the Hilbert–Bernays–Löb derivability conditions. Then for any sentence  $\varphi$ , if  $T \vdash \text{Pr}_T(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner) \rightarrow \varphi$ , then  $T \vdash \varphi$ . [Hint: Generalize the proof of Gödel's second incompleteness theorem. Alternatively, show that  $\text{Pr}_T(\ulcorner \neg\varphi \rightarrow \dot{x} \urcorner)$  is a Hilbert–Bernays–Löb proof predicate for  $T + \neg\varphi$ , and apply the second incompleteness theorem directly.]

**51.** (Tarski's theorem on undefinability of truth.) Let  $T$  be a consistent recursively axiomatizable extension of  $Q$ . Then there is no formula  $\text{Tr}(x)$  such that  $T \vdash \text{Tr}(\ulcorner \varphi \urcorner) \leftrightarrow \varphi$  for all sentences  $\varphi$ . [Hint: Construct a liar sentence.]