# Covers, Direct Limits, and Pro-Perfect Topological Rings

Leonid Positselski – IM AV ČR

ECI Workshop, Třešť, ČR

October 13-14, 2018

æ

S. Bazzoni, L. Positselski. Contramodules over pro-perfect topological rings, the covering property in categorical tilting theory, and homological ring epimorphisms. arXiv:1807.10671

S. Bazzoni, L. Positselski. Contramodules over pro-perfect topological rings, the covering property in categorical tilting theory, and homological ring epimorphisms. arXiv:1807.10671

Prior Art (Inspiration):

S. Bazzoni, L. Positselski. Contramodules over pro-perfect topological rings, the covering property in categorical tilting theory, and homological ring epimorphisms. arXiv:1807.10671

Prior Art (Inspiration):

J. Šaroch. Approximations and Mittag-Leffler conditions — the tools. *Israel Journ. of Math.* **226**, p. 737–756, 2018.

L. Angeleri Hügel, J. Šaroch, J. Trlifaj. Approximations and Mittag-Leffler conditions — the applications. *Israel Journ. of Math.* **226**, p. 757–780, 2018.

S. Bazzoni, L. Positselski. Contramodules over pro-perfect topological rings, the covering property in categorical tilting theory, and homological ring epimorphisms. arXiv:1807.10671

Prior Art (Inspiration):

J. Šaroch. Approximations and Mittag-Leffler conditions — the tools. *Israel Journ. of Math.* **226**, p. 737–756, 2018.

L. Angeleri Hügel, J. Šaroch, J. Trlifaj. Approximations and Mittag-Leffler conditions — the applications. *Israel Journ. of Math.* **226**, p. 757–780, 2018.

Results have some similarity, but the assumptions are different

S. Bazzoni, L. Positselski. Contramodules over pro-perfect topological rings, the covering property in categorical tilting theory, and homological ring epimorphisms. arXiv:1807.10671

Prior Art (Inspiration):

J. Šaroch. Approximations and Mittag-Leffler conditions — the tools. *Israel Journ. of Math.* **226**, p. 737–756, 2018.

L. Angeleri Hügel, J. Šaroch, J. Trlifaj. Approximations and Mittag-Leffler conditions — the applications. *Israel Journ. of Math.* **226**, p. 757–780, 2018.

Results have some similarity, but the assumptions are different; completely different methods.

Let  ${\mathcal A}$  be a category

Let  $\mathcal A$  be a category and  $\mathcal T\subset \mathcal A$  be a class of objects.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. A morphism  $p: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ 

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. A morphism  $p: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -precover (of M)

3 1 4 3

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. A morphism  $p: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -precover (of M) if  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T}$ 

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. A morphism  $p: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -precover (of M) if  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T}$  and every morphism  $p': \mathcal{T}' \longrightarrow M$  with  $\mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{T}$  factors through p

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. A morphism  $p: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -precover (of M) if  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T}$  and every morphism  $p': \mathcal{T}' \longrightarrow M$  with  $\mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{T}$  factors through p (i.e., there exists a morphism  $f: \mathcal{T}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$  such that p' = pf).

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. A morphism  $p: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -precover (of M) if  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T}$  and every morphism  $p': \mathcal{T}' \longrightarrow M$  with  $\mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{T}$  factors through p (i.e., there exists a morphism  $f: \mathcal{T}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$  such that p' = pf).

A morphism  $p: T \longrightarrow M$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -cover

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. A morphism  $p: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -precover (of M) if  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T}$  and every morphism  $p': \mathcal{T}' \longrightarrow M$  with  $\mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{T}$  factors through p (i.e., there exists a morphism  $f: \mathcal{T}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$  such that p' = pf).

A morphism  $p: T \longrightarrow M$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -cover if it is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -precover

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. A morphism  $p: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -precover (of M) if  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T}$  and every morphism  $p': \mathcal{T}' \longrightarrow M$  with  $\mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{T}$  factors through p (i.e., there exists a morphism  $f: \mathcal{T}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$  such that p' = pf).

A morphism  $p: T \longrightarrow M$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -cover if it is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -precover and every endomorphism  $f: T \longrightarrow T$  for which pf = p

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. A morphism  $p: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -precover (of M) if  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T}$  and every morphism  $p': \mathcal{T}' \longrightarrow M$  with  $\mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{T}$  factors through p (i.e., there exists a morphism  $f: \mathcal{T}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$  such that p' = pf).

A morphism  $p: T \longrightarrow M$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -cover if it is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -precover and every endomorphism  $f: T \longrightarrow T$  for which pf = p is an isomorphism (i.e., f is invertible).

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. A morphism  $p: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -precover (of M) if  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T}$  and every morphism  $p': \mathcal{T}' \longrightarrow M$  with  $\mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{T}$  factors through p (i.e., there exists a morphism  $f: \mathcal{T}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$  such that p' = pf).

A morphism  $p: T \longrightarrow M$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -cover if it is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -precover and every endomorphism  $f: T \longrightarrow T$  for which pf = p is an isomorphism (i.e., f is invertible).

A class of objects  $\mathcal{T}$  in a category  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be precovering (resp., covering)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. A morphism  $p: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -precover (of M) if  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T}$  and every morphism  $p': \mathcal{T}' \longrightarrow M$  with  $\mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{T}$  factors through p (i.e., there exists a morphism  $f: \mathcal{T}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$  such that p' = pf).

A morphism  $p: T \longrightarrow M$  is said to be a  $\mathcal{T}$ -cover if it is a  $\mathcal{T}$ -precover and every endomorphism  $f: T \longrightarrow T$  for which pf = p is an isomorphism (i.e., f is invertible).

A class of objects  $\mathcal{T}$  in a category  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be precovering (resp., covering) if every object of  $\mathcal{A}$  has a  $\mathcal{T}$ -precover (resp., cover).

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Theorem (Enochs, 1981)

Theorem (Enochs, 1981)

Let A be an associative ring

### Theorem (Enochs, 1981)

Let A be an associative ring and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A} = A$ -Mod be a class of left A-modules.

### Theorem (Enochs, 1981)

Let A be an associative ring and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A} = A$ -Mod be a class of left A-modules. Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is precovering and closed under filtered colimits.

### Theorem (Enochs, 1981)

Let A be an associative ring and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A} = A$ -Mod be a class of left A-modules. Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is precovering and closed under filtered colimits. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is covering.

### Theorem (Enochs, 1981)

Let A be an associative ring and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A} = A$ -Mod be a class of left A-modules. Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is precovering and closed under filtered colimits. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is covering.

### Conjecture (Enochs, late 1990's)

#### Theorem (Enochs, 1981)

Let A be an associative ring and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A} = A$ -Mod be a class of left A-modules. Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is precovering and closed under filtered colimits. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is covering.

#### Conjecture (Enochs, late 1990's)

Every covering class in  $\mathcal{A} = A$ -Mod is closed under filtered colimits.

э

# Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

→ < Ξ → <</p>

### Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally presentable category

# Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally presentable category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects.

## Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally presentable category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is precovering and closed under filtered colimits.

### Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally presentable category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is precovering and closed under filtered colimits. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is covering.

### Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally presentable category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is precovering and closed under filtered colimits. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is covering.

#### Main Conjecture

### Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally presentable category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is precovering and closed under filtered colimits. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is covering.

Main Conjecture

Let  ${\mathcal B}$  be a locally presentable abelian category

### Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally presentable category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is precovering and closed under filtered colimits. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is covering.

#### Main Conjecture

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a locally presentable abelian category with enough projective objects.

#### Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally presentable category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is precovering and closed under filtered colimits. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is covering.

#### Main Conjecture

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a locally presentable abelian category with enough projective objects. Then the class of all projective objects in  $\mathcal{B}$  is covering if and only if it is closed under filtered colimits.

#### Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally presentable category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is precovering and closed under filtered colimits. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is covering.

#### Main Conjecture

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a locally presentable abelian category with enough projective objects. Then the class of all projective objects in  $\mathcal{B}$  is covering if and only if it is closed under filtered colimits.

Notice that in an abelian category with enough projective objects

#### Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally presentable category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is precovering and closed under filtered colimits. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is covering.

#### Main Conjecture

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a locally presentable abelian category with enough projective objects. Then the class of all projective objects in  $\mathcal{B}$  is covering if and only if it is closed under filtered colimits.

Notice that in an abelian category with enough projective objects the class of projective objects is always precovering

#### Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally presentable category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is precovering and closed under filtered colimits. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is covering.

#### Main Conjecture

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a locally presentable abelian category with enough projective objects. Then the class of all projective objects in  $\mathcal{B}$  is covering if and only if it is closed under filtered colimits.

Notice that in an abelian category with enough projective objects the class of projective objects is always precovering (any epimorphism with a projective domain is a precover).

### Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally presentable category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is precovering and closed under filtered colimits. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is covering.

#### Main Conjecture

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a locally presentable abelian category with enough projective objects. Then the class of all projective objects in  $\mathcal{B}$  is covering if and only if it is closed under filtered colimits.

Notice that in an abelian category with enough projective objects the class of projective objects is always precovering (any epimorphism with a projective domain is a precover). Hence the "if" assertion of the conjecture follows from the theorem.

### Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a locally presentable category and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a class of objects. Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is precovering and closed under filtered colimits. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is covering.

#### Main Conjecture

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a locally presentable abelian category with enough projective objects. Then the class of all projective objects in  $\mathcal{B}$  is covering if and only if it is closed under filtered colimits.

Notice that in an abelian category with enough projective objects the class of projective objects is always precovering (any epimorphism with a projective domain is a precover). Hence the "if" assertion of the conjecture follows from the theorem.

The "only if" assertion is the nontrivial part of the conjecture.

イロト イロト イヨト イヨ

æ

Main Conjecture is true for the categories of modules over associative rings  $\mathcal{B} = R$ -Mod.

Main Conjecture is true for the categories of modules over associative rings  $\mathcal{B} = R$ -Mod. This is a classical result:

Main Conjecture is true for the categories of modules over associative rings  $\mathcal{B} = R$ -Mod. This is a classical result:

Theorem (Bass, 1960)

Main Conjecture is true for the categories of modules over associative rings  $\mathcal{B} = R$ -Mod. This is a classical result:

#### Theorem (Bass, 1960)

The following conditions are equivalent for an associative ring R:

Main Conjecture is true for the categories of modules over associative rings  $\mathcal{B} = R$ -Mod. This is a classical result:

### Theorem (Bass, 1960)

The following conditions are equivalent for an associative ring R:

Il flat left R-modules have projective covers;

Main Conjecture is true for the categories of modules over associative rings  $\mathcal{B} = R$ -Mod. This is a classical result:

#### Theorem (Bass, 1960)

The following conditions are equivalent for an associative ring R:

- all flat left R-modules have projective covers;
- Il left R-modules have projective covers;

Main Conjecture is true for the categories of modules over associative rings  $\mathcal{B} = R$ -Mod. This is a classical result:

#### Theorem (Bass, 1960)

The following conditions are equivalent for an associative ring R:

- all flat left R-modules have projective covers;
- Il left R-modules have projective covers;
- all flat left R-modules are projective.

Main Conjecture is true for the categories of modules over associative rings  $\mathcal{B} = R$ -Mod. This is a classical result:

#### Theorem (Bass, 1960)

The following conditions are equivalent for an associative ring R:

- all flat left R-modules have projective covers;
- Il left R-modules have projective covers;
- all flat left R-modules are projective.

Associative rings R satisfying these equivalent conditions

Main Conjecture is true for the categories of modules over associative rings  $\mathcal{B} = R$ -Mod. This is a classical result:

#### Theorem (Bass, 1960)

The following conditions are equivalent for an associative ring R:

- all flat left R-modules have projective covers;
- Il left R-modules have projective covers;
- all flat left R-modules are projective.

Associative rings R satisfying these equivalent conditions are called left perfect.

Main Conjecture is true for the categories of modules over associative rings  $\mathcal{B} = R$ -Mod. This is a classical result:

### Theorem (Bass, 1960)

The following conditions are equivalent for an associative ring R:

- all flat left R-modules have projective covers;
- Il left R-modules have projective covers;
- all flat left R-modules are projective.

Associative rings R satisfying these equivalent conditions are called left perfect.

Since for any ring R the flat R-modules are precisely the filtered colimits of projective modules

Main Conjecture is true for the categories of modules over associative rings  $\mathcal{B} = R$ -Mod. This is a classical result:

### Theorem (Bass, 1960)

The following conditions are equivalent for an associative ring R:

- Il flat left R-modules have projective covers;
- Il left R-modules have projective covers;
- all flat left R-modules are projective.

Associative rings R satisfying these equivalent conditions are called left perfect.

Since for any ring R the flat R-modules are precisely the filtered colimits of projective modules, Main Conjecture holds for module categories

A (1) > (1) = (1) (1)

Main Conjecture is true for the categories of modules over associative rings  $\mathcal{B} = R$ -Mod. This is a classical result:

### Theorem (Bass, 1960)

The following conditions are equivalent for an associative ring R:

- Il flat left R-modules have projective covers;
- Il left R-modules have projective covers;
- all flat left R-modules are projective.

Associative rings R satisfying these equivalent conditions are called left perfect.

Since for any ring *R* the flat *R*-modules are precisely the filtered colimits of projective modules, Main Conjecture holds for module categories in view of the equivalence (ii)  $\iff$  (iii).



\*ロ \* \* @ \* \* 注 \* \* 注 \* … 注

The idea of our approach to proving (some particular cases of) the Enochs conjecture

The idea of our approach to proving (some particular cases of) the Enochs conjecture is to first prove Main Conjecture for the categories of contramodules over certain topological rings

The idea of our approach to proving (some particular cases of) the Enochs conjecture is to first prove Main Conjecture for the categories of contramodules over certain topological rings, by partly deducing it from Bass' results about left perfect rings

The idea of our approach to proving (some particular cases of) the Enochs conjecture is to first prove Main Conjecture for the categories of contramodules over certain topological rings, by partly deducing it from Bass' results about left perfect rings and partly arguing along the lines of Bass' arguments

The idea of our approach to proving (some particular cases of) the Enochs conjecture is to first prove Main Conjecture for the categories of contramodules over certain topological rings, by partly deducing it from Bass' results about left perfect rings and partly arguing along the lines of Bass' arguments, extending them from the module to the contramodule case.

The idea of our approach to proving (some particular cases of) the Enochs conjecture is to first prove Main Conjecture for the categories of contramodules over certain topological rings, by partly deducing it from Bass' results about left perfect rings and partly arguing along the lines of Bass' arguments, extending them from the module to the contramodule case.

Then we deduce particular cases of the Enochs conjecture from particular cases of Main Conjecture

The idea of our approach to proving (some particular cases of) the Enochs conjecture is to first prove Main Conjecture for the categories of contramodules over certain topological rings, by partly deducing it from Bass' results about left perfect rings and partly arguing along the lines of Bass' arguments, extending them from the module to the contramodule case.

Then we deduce particular cases of the Enochs conjecture from particular cases of Main Conjecture, using what might be called a "generalized tilting" technology.

The idea of our approach to proving (some particular cases of) the Enochs conjecture is to first prove Main Conjecture for the categories of contramodules over certain topological rings, by partly deducing it from Bass' results about left perfect rings and partly arguing along the lines of Bass' arguments, extending them from the module to the contramodule case.

Then we deduce particular cases of the Enochs conjecture from particular cases of Main Conjecture, using what might be called a "generalized tilting" technology.

Now let us have a little further discussion of Bass' results

The idea of our approach to proving (some particular cases of) the Enochs conjecture is to first prove Main Conjecture for the categories of contramodules over certain topological rings, by partly deducing it from Bass' results about left perfect rings and partly arguing along the lines of Bass' arguments, extending them from the module to the contramodule case.

Then we deduce particular cases of the Enochs conjecture from particular cases of Main Conjecture, using what might be called a "generalized tilting" technology.

Now let us have a little further discussion of Bass' results before proceeding to explain their generalization to contramodules and the application to the Enochs conjecture.

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

æ

A Bass flat left R-module B is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left R-modules with one generator

A Bass flat left *R*-module *B* is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left *R*-modules with one generator, indexed by the ordinal  $\omega$  of nonnegative integers

A Bass flat left *R*-module *B* is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left *R*-modules with one generator, indexed by the ordinal  $\omega$  of nonnegative integers:

$$B = \varinjlim (R \xrightarrow{*a_1} R \xrightarrow{*a_2} R \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots)$$

A Bass flat left *R*-module *B* is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left *R*-modules with one generator, indexed by the ordinal  $\omega$  of nonnegative integers:

$$B = \varinjlim (R \xrightarrow{*a_1} R \xrightarrow{*a_2} R \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots),$$

where  $a_n \in R$  and  $*a: R \longrightarrow R$  denotes the operator of right multiplication with a.

A Bass flat left *R*-module *B* is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left *R*-modules with one generator, indexed by the ordinal  $\omega$  of nonnegative integers:

$$B = \varinjlim (R \xrightarrow{*a_1} R \xrightarrow{*a_2} R \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots),$$

where  $a_n \in R$  and  $*a: R \longrightarrow R$  denotes the operator of right multiplication with a.

#### Theorem (Bass, 1960 – cont'd)

A Bass flat left *R*-module *B* is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left *R*-modules with one generator, indexed by the ordinal  $\omega$  of nonnegative integers:

$$B = \varinjlim (R \xrightarrow{*a_1} R \xrightarrow{*a_2} R \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots),$$

where  $a_n \in R$  and  $*a: R \longrightarrow R$  denotes the operator of right multiplication with a.

#### Theorem (Bass, 1960 – cont'd)

The following conditions are also equivalent to the above three conditions for an associative ring *R*:

A Bass flat left *R*-module *B* is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left *R*-modules with one generator, indexed by the ordinal  $\omega$  of nonnegative integers:

$$B = \varinjlim (R \xrightarrow{*a_1} R \xrightarrow{*a_2} R \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots),$$

where  $a_n \in R$  and  $*a: R \longrightarrow R$  denotes the operator of right multiplication with a.

#### Theorem (Bass, 1960 – cont'd)

The following conditions are also equivalent to the above three conditions for an associative ring *R*:

() all Bass flat left R-modules have projective covers;

A Bass flat left *R*-module *B* is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left *R*-modules with one generator, indexed by the ordinal  $\omega$  of nonnegative integers:

$$B = \varinjlim (R \xrightarrow{*a_1} R \xrightarrow{*a_2} R \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots),$$

where  $a_n \in R$  and  $*a: R \longrightarrow R$  denotes the operator of right multiplication with a.

#### Theorem (Bass, 1960 – cont'd)

The following conditions are also equivalent to the above three conditions for an associative ring *R*:

- all Bass flat left R-modules have projective covers;
- all Bass flat left R-modules are projective.

A (1) < A (1) </p>

メロト メロト メヨト メヨ

æ

A ring without unit J is said to be left T-nilpotent

∃ → ∢

A ring without unit J is said to be left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in J$ 

A ring without unit J is said to be left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in J$  there exists  $m \ge 1$  such that  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_m = 0$ .

4 3 6 4 3

A ring without unit J is said to be left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in J$  there exists  $m \ge 1$  such that  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_m = 0$ .

Theorem (Bass, 1960 – fin'd)

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国

A ring without unit J is said to be left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in J$  there exists  $m \ge 1$  such that  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_m = 0$ .

### Theorem (Bass, 1960 – fin'd)

The following condition is also equivalent to the above:

A ring without unit J is said to be left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in J$  there exists  $m \ge 1$  such that  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_m = 0$ .

#### Theorem (Bass, 1960 – fin'd)

The following condition is also equivalent to the above:

• the Jacobson radical H of the ring R is left T-nilpotent

A ring without unit J is said to be left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in J$  there exists  $m \ge 1$  such that  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_m = 0$ .

#### Theorem (Bass, 1960 – fin'd)

The following condition is also equivalent to the above:

the Jacobson radical H of the ring R is left T-nilpotent, and the quotient ring R/H is semisimple Artinian.

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

A ring without unit J is said to be left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in J$  there exists  $m \ge 1$  such that  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_m = 0$ .

#### Theorem (Bass, 1960 – fin'd)

The following condition is also equivalent to the above:

the Jacobson radical H of the ring R is left T-nilpotent, and the quotient ring R/H is semisimple Artinian.

The following "T-nilpotent Nakayama lemma"

A ring without unit J is said to be left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in J$  there exists  $m \ge 1$  such that  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_m = 0$ .

#### Theorem (Bass, 1960 – fin'd)

The following condition is also equivalent to the above:

the Jacobson radical H of the ring R is left T-nilpotent, and the quotient ring R/H is semisimple Artinian.

The following "T-nilpotent Nakayama lemma" explains the importance of the T-nilpotency condition.

伺下 イヨト イヨト

A ring without unit J is said to be left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in J$  there exists  $m \ge 1$  such that  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_m = 0$ .

#### Theorem (Bass, 1960 – fin'd)

The following condition is also equivalent to the above:

the Jacobson radical H of the ring R is left T-nilpotent, and the quotient ring R/H is semisimple Artinian.

The following "T-nilpotent Nakayama lemma" explains the importance of the T-nilpotency condition.

### Lemma (Bass, 1960)

A ring without unit J is said to be left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in J$  there exists  $m \ge 1$  such that  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_m = 0$ .

#### Theorem (Bass, 1960 – fin'd)

The following condition is also equivalent to the above:

the Jacobson radical H of the ring R is left T-nilpotent, and the quotient ring R/H is semisimple Artinian.

The following "T-nilpotent Nakayama lemma" explains the importance of the T-nilpotency condition.

### Lemma (Bass, 1960)

• J is left T-nilpotent if and only if

A ring without unit J is said to be left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in J$  there exists  $m \ge 1$  such that  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_m = 0$ .

### Theorem (Bass, 1960 – fin'd)

The following condition is also equivalent to the above:

the Jacobson radical H of the ring R is left T-nilpotent, and the quotient ring R/H is semisimple Artinian.

The following "T-nilpotent Nakayama lemma" explains the importance of the T-nilpotency condition.

### Lemma (Bass, 1960)

 J is left T-nilpotent if and only if for every nonzero right J-module N

A ring without unit J is said to be left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in J$  there exists  $m \ge 1$  such that  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_m = 0$ .

### Theorem (Bass, 1960 – fin'd)

The following condition is also equivalent to the above:

the Jacobson radical H of the ring R is left T-nilpotent, and the quotient ring R/H is semisimple Artinian.

The following "T-nilpotent Nakayama lemma" explains the importance of the T-nilpotency condition.

### Lemma (Bass, 1960)

 J is left T-nilpotent if and only if for every nonzero right J-module N there exists 0 ≠ x ∈ N such that xJ = 0.

A ring without unit J is said to be left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in J$  there exists  $m \ge 1$  such that  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_m = 0$ .

### Theorem (Bass, 1960 – fin'd)

The following condition is also equivalent to the above:

the Jacobson radical H of the ring R is left T-nilpotent, and the quotient ring R/H is semisimple Artinian.

The following "T-nilpotent Nakayama lemma" explains the importance of the T-nilpotency condition.

### Lemma (Bass, 1960)

- J is left T-nilpotent if and only if for every nonzero right J-module N there exists 0 ≠ x ∈ N such that xJ = 0.
- If J is left T-nilpotent

A ring without unit J is said to be left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in J$  there exists  $m \ge 1$  such that  $a_1a_2 \cdots a_m = 0$ .

### Theorem (Bass, 1960 – fin'd)

The following condition is also equivalent to the above:

the Jacobson radical H of the ring R is left T-nilpotent, and the quotient ring R/H is semisimple Artinian.

The following "T-nilpotent Nakayama lemma" explains the importance of the T-nilpotency condition.

#### Lemma (Bass, 1960)

- J is left T-nilpotent if and only if for every nonzero right J-module N there exists 0 ≠ x ∈ N such that xJ = 0.
- If J is left T-nilpotent, then for any nonzero left J-module M one has JM ⊊ M.

∃ → ∢

æ

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a (separated and complete) topological ring

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a (separated and complete) topological ring where open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

4 3 6 4 3

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a (separated and complete) topological ring where open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

For any set X, denote by  $\Re[[X]]$  the set of all infinite formal linear combinations  $\sum_{x \in X} r_x x$  of elements of X

• • = • • = •

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a (separated and complete) topological ring where open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

For any set X, denote by  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  the set of all infinite formal linear combinations  $\sum_{x \in X} r_x x$  of elements of X with the coefficients forming a family converging to zero in the topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$ 

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a (separated and complete) topological ring where open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

For any set X, denote by  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  the set of all infinite formal linear combinations  $\sum_{x \in X} r_x x$  of elements of X with the coefficients forming a family converging to zero in the topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , i.e., for any neighborhood of zero  $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  the set  $\{x \mid r_x \notin \mathfrak{U}\}$  must be finite.

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a (separated and complete) topological ring where open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

For any set X, denote by  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  the set of all infinite formal linear combinations  $\sum_{x \in X} r_x x$  of elements of X with the coefficients forming a family converging to zero in the topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , i.e., for any neighborhood of zero  $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  the set  $\{x \mid r_x \notin \mathfrak{U}\}$  must be finite.

It follows from the conditions on the topology of  $\mathfrak R$  that there is a well-defined "opening of parentheses" map

 $\phi_X \colon \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{R}[[X]]]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ 

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a (separated and complete) topological ring where open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

For any set X, denote by  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  the set of all infinite formal linear combinations  $\sum_{x \in X} r_x x$  of elements of X with the coefficients forming a family converging to zero in the topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , i.e., for any neighborhood of zero  $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  the set  $\{x \mid r_x \notin \mathfrak{U}\}$  must be finite.

It follows from the conditions on the topology of  $\mathfrak R$  that there is a well-defined "opening of parentheses" map

 $\phi_X \colon \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{R}[[X]]]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ 

performing infinite summations in the conventional sense of the topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$  to compute the coefficients.

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a (separated and complete) topological ring where open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

For any set X, denote by  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  the set of all infinite formal linear combinations  $\sum_{x \in X} r_x x$  of elements of X with the coefficients forming a family converging to zero in the topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , i.e., for any neighborhood of zero  $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  the set  $\{x \mid r_x \notin \mathfrak{U}\}$  must be finite.

It follows from the conditions on the topology of  $\mathfrak R$  that there is a well-defined "opening of parentheses" map

$$\phi_X \colon \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{R}[[X]]]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$$

performing infinite summations in the conventional sense of the topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$  to compute the coefficients. There is also the obvious "point measure" map  $\epsilon_X \colon X \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ .

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a (separated and complete) topological ring where open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

For any set X, denote by  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  the set of all infinite formal linear combinations  $\sum_{x \in X} r_x x$  of elements of X with the coefficients forming a family converging to zero in the topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , i.e., for any neighborhood of zero  $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  the set  $\{x \mid r_x \notin \mathfrak{U}\}$  must be finite.

It follows from the conditions on the topology of  $\mathfrak R$  that there is a well-defined "opening of parentheses" map

$$\phi_X \colon \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{R}[[X]]]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$$

performing infinite summations in the conventional sense of the topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$  to compute the coefficients. There is also the obvious "point measure" map  $\epsilon_X \colon X \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ . The natural transformations  $\phi$  and  $\epsilon$  define the structure of a monad on the functor  $X \longmapsto \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ : Sets  $\longrightarrow$  Sets.

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a (separated and complete) topological ring where open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

3 1 4 3

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a (separated and complete) topological ring where open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

A left contramodule over the topological ring  $\mathfrak{R}$  is an algebra/module over the monad  $X \mapsto \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  on Sets, that is

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a (separated and complete) topological ring where open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

A left contramodule over the topological ring  $\mathfrak{R}$  is an algebra/module over the monad  $X \mapsto \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  on Sets, that is

- a set C
- endowed with a contraaction map  $\pi \colon \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a (separated and complete) topological ring where open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

A left contramodule over the topological ring  $\mathfrak{R}$  is an algebra/module over the monad  $X \mapsto \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  on Sets, that is

- a set C
- endowed with a contraaction map  $\pi \colon \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$
- satisfying the contraassociativity equation  $\pi\circ\mathfrak{R}[[\pi]]=\pi\circ\phi_\mathfrak{C}$

$$\mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]]]] \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$$

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a (separated and complete) topological ring where open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

A left contramodule over the topological ring  $\mathfrak{R}$  is an algebra/module over the monad  $X \mapsto \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  on Sets, that is

- a set C
- endowed with a contraaction map  $\pi \colon \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$
- satisfying the contraassociativity equation  $\pi \circ \mathfrak{R}[[\pi]] = \pi \circ \phi_{\mathfrak{C}}$

$$\mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]]]] \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$$

• and the contraunitality equation  $\pi \circ \epsilon_{\mathfrak{C}} = \mathsf{id}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ 

$$\mathfrak{C} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a (separated and complete) topological ring where open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

A left contramodule over the topological ring  $\mathfrak{R}$  is an algebra/module over the monad  $X \mapsto \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  on Sets, that is

- a set C
- endowed with a contraaction map  $\pi \colon \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$
- satisfying the contraassociativity equation  $\pi\circ\mathfrak{R}[[\pi]]=\pi\circ\phi_\mathfrak{C}$

$$\mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]]]] \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$$

• and the contraunitality equation  $\pi \circ \epsilon_{\mathfrak{C}} = \mathsf{id}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ 

$$\mathfrak{C} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}.$$

The composition of the contraaction map  $\pi \colon \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$  with the obvious embedding  $\mathfrak{R}[\mathfrak{C}] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]]$ 

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a (separated and complete) topological ring where open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

A left contramodule over the topological ring  $\mathfrak{R}$  is an algebra/module over the monad  $X \mapsto \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  on Sets, that is

- a set C
- endowed with a contraaction map  $\pi \colon \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$
- satisfying the contraassociativity equation  $\pi\circ\mathfrak{R}[[\pi]]=\pi\circ\phi_\mathfrak{C}$

 $\mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]]]] \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ 

• and the contraunitality equation  $\pi \circ \epsilon_{\mathfrak{C}} = \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ 

$$\mathfrak{C} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}.$$

The composition of the contraaction map  $\pi: \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$  with the obvious embedding  $\mathfrak{R}[\mathfrak{C}] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]]$  defines the underlying left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module structure on every left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule,  $\mathfrak{R}$  is the structure of the struc

For any set X, the set  $\Re[[X]]$  has a natural left  $\Re$ -contramodule structure

3 1 4

For any set X, the set  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  has a natural left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule structure with the contraaction map  $\pi = \phi_X : \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{R}[[X]]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[X]].$ 

• • = • • = •

For any set X, the set  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  has a natural left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule structure with the contraaction map  $\pi = \phi_X : \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{R}[[X]]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ . The left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ is called the free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule generated by the set X.

For any set X, the set  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  has a natural left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule structure with the contraaction map  $\pi = \phi_X : \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{R}[[X]]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ . The left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ is called the free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule generated by the set X.

The category of left  $\Re$ -contramodules is abelian with exact functors of infinite products and enough projective objects

For any set X, the set  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  has a natural left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule structure with the contraaction map  $\pi = \phi_X : \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{R}[[X]]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ . The left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ is called the free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule generated by the set X.

The category of left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules is abelian with exact functors of infinite products and enough projective objects, which are the direct summands of the free  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ .

For any set X, the set  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  has a natural left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule structure with the contraaction map  $\pi = \phi_X : \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{R}[[X]]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ . The left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ is called the free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule generated by the set X.

The category of left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules is abelian with exact functors of infinite products and enough projective objects, which are the direct summands of the free  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ . The forgetful functor  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra  $\longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ -Mod is exact and preserves infinite products.

伺下 イヨト イヨト

For any set X, the set  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  has a natural left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule structure with the contraaction map  $\pi = \phi_X : \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{R}[[X]]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ . The left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ is called the free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule generated by the set X.

The category of left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules is abelian with exact functors of infinite products and enough projective objects, which are the direct summands of the free  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ . The forgetful functor  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra  $\longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ -Mod is exact and preserves infinite products.

Let  $\lambda$  be the cardinality of a base of neighborhoods of zero in  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

For any set X, the set  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  has a natural left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule structure with the contraaction map  $\pi = \phi_X : \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{R}[[X]]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ . The left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ is called the free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule generated by the set X.

The category of left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules is abelian with exact functors of infinite products and enough projective objects, which are the direct summands of the free  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ . The forgetful functor  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra  $\longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ -Mod is exact and preserves infinite products.

Let  $\lambda$  be the cardinality of a base of neighborhoods of zero in  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Then the category  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra is  $\lambda^+$ -locally presentable.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

For any set X, the set  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  has a natural left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule structure with the contraaction map  $\pi = \phi_X : \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{R}[[X]]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ . The left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ is called the free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule generated by the set X.

The category of left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules is abelian with exact functors of infinite products and enough projective objects, which are the direct summands of the free  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ . The forgetful functor  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra  $\longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ -Mod is exact and preserves infinite products.

Let  $\lambda$  be the cardinality of a base of neighborhoods of zero in  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Then the category  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra is  $\lambda^+$ -locally presentable. The free  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule with one generator  $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}[[*]]$ 

- 4 周 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

For any set X, the set  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$  has a natural left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule structure with the contraaction map  $\pi = \phi_X : \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{R}[[X]]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ . The left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ is called the free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule generated by the set X.

The category of left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules is abelian with exact functors of infinite products and enough projective objects, which are the direct summands of the free  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules  $\mathfrak{R}[[X]]$ . The forgetful functor  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra  $\longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ -Mod is exact and preserves infinite products.

Let  $\lambda$  be the cardinality of a base of neighborhoods of zero in  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Then the category  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra is  $\lambda^+$ -locally presentable. The free  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule with one generator  $\mathfrak{R} = \mathfrak{R}[[*]]$  is a  $\lambda^+$ -presentable projective generator of  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra.

イロト イポト イラト イラト

3 🕨 🖌 3

A right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N is called discrete if the action map  $N \times \mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow N$  is continuous in the given topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and the discrete topology of N

4 3 6 4 3

A right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N is called discrete if the action map  $N \times \mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow N$  is continuous in the given topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and the discrete topology of N, i.e., if the annihilator of any element of N is an open right ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

A right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N is called discrete if the action map  $N \times \mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow N$  is continuous in the given topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and the discrete topology of N, i.e., if the annihilator of any element of N is an open right ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

The contratensor product of a discrete right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N and a left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{C}$ 

A right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N is called discrete if the action map  $N \times \mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow N$  is continuous in the given topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and the discrete topology of N, i.e., if the annihilator of any element of N is an open right ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

The contratensor product of a discrete right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N and a left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an abelian group  $N \odot_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{C}$ 

A right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N is called discrete if the action map  $N \times \mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow N$  is continuous in the given topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and the discrete topology of N, i.e., if the annihilator of any element of N is an open right ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

The contratensor product of a discrete right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N and a left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an abelian group  $N \odot_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{C}$  constructed as the cokernel of (the difference of) two natural maps

A right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N is called discrete if the action map  $N \times \mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow N$  is continuous in the given topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and the discrete topology of N, i.e., if the annihilator of any element of N is an open right ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

The contratensor product of a discrete right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N and a left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an abelian group  $N \odot_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{C}$  constructed as the cokernel of (the difference of) two natural maps

 $N\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \rightrightarrows N\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{C}$ 

伺下 イヨト イヨト

A right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N is called discrete if the action map  $N \times \mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow N$  is continuous in the given topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and the discrete topology of N, i.e., if the annihilator of any element of N is an open right ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

The contratensor product of a discrete right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N and a left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an abelian group  $N \odot_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{C}$  constructed as the cokernel of (the difference of) two natural maps

 $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \rightrightarrows N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{C},$ 

one of which is induced by the left contraaction map  $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ 

A right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N is called discrete if the action map  $N \times \mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow N$  is continuous in the given topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and the discrete topology of N, i.e., if the annihilator of any element of N is an open right ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

The contratensor product of a discrete right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N and a left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an abelian group  $N \odot_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{C}$  constructed as the cokernel of (the difference of) two natural maps

 $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \rightrightarrows N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{C},$ 

one of which is induced by the left contraaction map  $\pi$  and the other one by the discrete right action of  $\Re$  in N.

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

A right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N is called discrete if the action map  $N \times \mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow N$  is continuous in the given topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and the discrete topology of N, i.e., if the annihilator of any element of N is an open right ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

The contratensor product of a discrete right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N and a left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an abelian group  $N \odot_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{C}$  constructed as the cokernel of (the difference of) two natural maps

 $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \rightrightarrows N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{C},$ 

one of which is induced by the left contraaction map  $\pi$  and the other one by the discrete right action of  $\Re$  in N.

A left  $\mathfrak R\text{-contramodule }\mathfrak C$  is called flat

伺下 イヨト イヨト

A right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N is called discrete if the action map  $N \times \mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow N$  is continuous in the given topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and the discrete topology of N, i.e., if the annihilator of any element of N is an open right ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

The contratensor product of a discrete right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N and a left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an abelian group  $N \odot_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{C}$  constructed as the cokernel of (the difference of) two natural maps

 $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \rightrightarrows N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{C},$ 

one of which is induced by the left contraaction map  $\pi$  and the other one by the discrete right action of  $\Re$  in N.

A left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{C}$  is called flat if  $- \odot_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{C}$  is an exact functor Discr- $\mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow Ab$ .

• A D • • D • • D • •

A right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N is called discrete if the action map  $N \times \mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow N$  is continuous in the given topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and the discrete topology of N, i.e., if the annihilator of any element of N is an open right ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

The contratensor product of a discrete right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N and a left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an abelian group  $N \odot_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{C}$  constructed as the cokernel of (the difference of) two natural maps

 $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \rightrightarrows N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{C},$ 

one of which is induced by the left contraaction map  $\pi$  and the other one by the discrete right action of  $\Re$  in N.

A left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{C}$  is called flat if  $-\odot_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{C}$  is an exact functor Discr- $\mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow Ab$ . All projective left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules are flat.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

A right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N is called discrete if the action map  $N \times \mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow N$  is continuous in the given topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and the discrete topology of N, i.e., if the annihilator of any element of N is an open right ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

The contratensor product of a discrete right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N and a left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an abelian group  $N \odot_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{C}$  constructed as the cokernel of (the difference of) two natural maps

 $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \rightrightarrows N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{C},$ 

one of which is induced by the left contraaction map  $\pi$  and the other one by the discrete right action of  $\Re$  in N.

A left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{C}$  is called flat if  $-\odot_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{C}$  is an exact functor Discr- $\mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow Ab$ . All projective left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules are flat.

The class of flat  $\Re\text{-}\mathrm{contramodules}$  is closed under filtered colimits in  $\Re\text{-}\mathrm{Contra}$ 

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

A right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N is called discrete if the action map  $N \times \mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow N$  is continuous in the given topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and the discrete topology of N, i.e., if the annihilator of any element of N is an open right ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

The contratensor product of a discrete right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N and a left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an abelian group  $N \odot_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{C}$  constructed as the cokernel of (the difference of) two natural maps

 $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \rightrightarrows N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{C},$ 

one of which is induced by the left contraaction map  $\pi$  and the other one by the discrete right action of  $\Re$  in N.

A left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{C}$  is called flat if  $-\odot_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{C}$  is an exact functor Discr- $\mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow Ab$ . All projective left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules are flat.

The class of flat  $\Re$ -contramodules is closed under filtered colimits in  $\Re$ -Contra, so all filtered colimits of projective  $\Re$ -contramodules are flat.

A right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N is called discrete if the action map  $N \times \mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow N$  is continuous in the given topology of  $\mathfrak{R}$  and the discrete topology of N, i.e., if the annihilator of any element of N is an open right ideal in  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

The contratensor product of a discrete right  $\mathfrak{R}$ -module N and a left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an abelian group  $N \odot_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{C}$  constructed as the cokernel of (the difference of) two natural maps

 $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{R}[[\mathfrak{C}]] \rightrightarrows N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{C},$ 

one of which is induced by the left contraaction map  $\pi$  and the other one by the discrete right action of  $\Re$  in N.

A left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{C}$  is called flat if  $-\odot_{\mathfrak{R}} \mathfrak{C}$  is an exact functor Discr- $\mathfrak{R} \longrightarrow Ab$ . All projective left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules are flat.

The class of flat  $\Re$ -contramodules is closed under filtered colimits in  $\Re$ -Contra, so all filtered colimits of projective  $\Re$ -contramodules are flat. It is not known whether the converse is true.

Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

#### Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a countable base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals.

#### Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

Let  $\Re$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a countable base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals. Then the class of flat left  $\Re$ -contramodules is covering in  $\Re$ -Contra.

#### Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

Let  $\Re$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a countable base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals. Then the class of flat left  $\Re$ -contramodules is covering in  $\Re$ -Contra.

It is not known whether this theorem remains true without the countability assumption.

#### Theorem (J. Rosický & L.P., 2015)

Let  $\Re$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a countable base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals. Then the class of flat left  $\Re$ -contramodules is covering in  $\Re$ -Contra.

It is not known whether this theorem remains true without the countability assumption. It would be sufficient to show that the class of flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules is precovering.

▶ ★ 문 ▶ ★ 문

æ

A Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{B}$ 

3 🕨 🖌 3

э

A Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules with one generator, indexed by  $\omega$ 

A Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules with one generator, indexed by  $\omega$ :

$$\mathfrak{B}=\varinjlim (\mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_1} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots), \qquad a_n \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

• • = • • = •

A Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules with one generator, indexed by  $\omega$ :

$$\mathfrak{B}=\varinjlim (\mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_1} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots), \qquad a_n \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

Lemma

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国

A Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules with one generator, indexed by  $\omega$ :

$$\mathfrak{B}=\varinjlim (\mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_1} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots), \qquad a_n \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

#### Lemma

Let  $\Re$  be complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals.

A Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules with one generator, indexed by  $\omega$ :

$$\mathfrak{B}=\varinjlim (\mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_1} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots), \qquad a_n \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

#### Lemma

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals. Assume that all Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules have projective covers in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra.

A Bass flat left  $\Re$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left  $\Re$ -contramodules with one generator, indexed by  $\omega$ :

$$\mathfrak{B}=\varinjlim (\mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_1} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots), \qquad a_n \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

#### Lemma

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals. Assume that all Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules have projective covers in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra. Let  $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  be an open two-sided ideal.

A Bass flat left  $\Re$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left  $\Re$ -contramodules with one generator, indexed by  $\omega$ :

$$\mathfrak{B}=\varinjlim (\mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_1} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots), \qquad a_n \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

#### Lemma

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals. Assume that all Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules have projective covers in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra. Let  $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  be an open two-sided ideal. Then the discrete quotient ring  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{I}$  is left perfect.

A Bass flat left  $\Re$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left  $\Re$ -contramodules with one generator, indexed by  $\omega$ :

$$\mathfrak{B}=\varinjlim (\mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_1} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots), \qquad a_n \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

#### Lemma

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals. Assume that all Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules have projective covers in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra. Let  $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  be an open two-sided ideal. Then the discrete quotient ring  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{I}$  is left perfect.

Idea of proof.

A Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules with one generator, indexed by  $\omega$ :

$$\mathfrak{B}=\varinjlim (\mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_1} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots), \qquad a_n \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

#### Lemma

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals. Assume that all Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules have projective covers in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra. Let  $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  be an open two-sided ideal. Then the discrete quotient ring  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{I}$  is left perfect.

#### Idea of proof.

Define a reduction functor  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra  $\longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{I}$ -Mod

A Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules with one generator, indexed by  $\omega$ :

$$\mathfrak{B}=\varinjlim (\mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_1} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots), \qquad a_n \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

#### Lemma

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals. Assume that all Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules have projective covers in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra. Let  $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  be an open two-sided ideal. Then the discrete quotient ring  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{I}$  is left perfect.

#### Idea of proof.

Define a reduction functor  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra  $\longrightarrow \mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{I}$ -Mod, show that it takes projectives to projectives

A Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules with one generator, indexed by  $\omega$ :

$$\mathfrak{B}=\varinjlim (\mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_1} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots), \qquad a_n \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

#### Lemma

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals. Assume that all Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules have projective covers in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra. Let  $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  be an open two-sided ideal. Then the discrete quotient ring  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{I}$  is left perfect.

#### Idea of proof.

Define a reduction functor  $\Re$ -Contra  $\longrightarrow \Re/\Im$ -Mod, show that it takes projectives to projectives and projective covers to projective covers.

A Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules with one generator, indexed by  $\omega$ :

$$\mathfrak{B}=\varinjlim (\mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_1} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots), \qquad a_n \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

#### Lemma

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals. Assume that all Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules have projective covers in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra. Let  $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  be an open two-sided ideal. Then the discrete quotient ring  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{I}$  is left perfect.

#### Idea of proof.

Define a reduction functor  $\Re$ -Contra  $\longrightarrow \Re/\Im$ -Mod, show that it takes projectives to projectives and projective covers to projective covers. Observe that every Bass flat left  $\Re/\Im$ -module

A Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules with one generator, indexed by  $\omega$ :

$$\mathfrak{B}=\varinjlim (\mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_1} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots), \qquad a_n \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

#### Lemma

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals. Assume that all Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules have projective covers in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra. Let  $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  be an open two-sided ideal. Then the discrete quotient ring  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{I}$  is left perfect.

#### Idea of proof.

Define a reduction functor  $\Re$ -Contra  $\longrightarrow \Re/\Im$ -Mod, show that it takes projectives to projectives and projective covers to projective covers. Observe that every Bass flat left  $\Re/\Im$ -module is the image of a Bass flat left  $\Re$ -contramodule with respect to this functor.

A Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a filtered colimit of a chain of free left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules with one generator, indexed by  $\omega$ :

$$\mathfrak{B}=\varinjlim (\mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_1} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \mathfrak{R} \xrightarrow{*a_2} \cdots), \qquad a_n \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

#### Lemma

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals. Assume that all Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules have projective covers in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra. Let  $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  be an open two-sided ideal. Then the discrete quotient ring  $\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{I}$  is left perfect.

#### Idea of proof.

Define a reduction functor  $\Re$ -Contra  $\longrightarrow \Re/\Im$ -Mod, show that it takes projectives to projectives and projective covers to projective covers. Observe that every Bass flat left  $\Re/\Im$ -module is the image of a Bass flat left  $\Re$ -contramodule with respect to this functor.

æ

3 🕨 🖌 3

A topological ring without unit  $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{J}}$  is said to be topologically left T-nilpotent

A topological ring without unit  $\mathfrak{J}$  is said to be topologically left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{J}$ 

A topological ring without unit  $\mathfrak{J}$  is said to be topologically left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{J}$  the sequence of elements  $a_1, a_1a_2, a_1a_2a_3, \ldots, a_1a_2\cdots a_m, \ldots$ 

A topological ring without unit  $\mathfrak{J}$  is said to be topologically left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{J}$  the sequence of elements  $a_1, a_1a_2, a_1a_2a_3, \ldots, a_1a_2\cdots a_m, \ldots$  converges to zero in  $\mathfrak{J}$ .

A topological ring without unit  $\mathfrak{J}$  is said to be topologically left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{J}$  the sequence of elements  $a_1, a_1a_2, a_1a_2a_3, \ldots, a_1a_2\cdots a_m, \ldots$  converges to zero in  $\mathfrak{J}$ .

Lemma ("Topologically left T-nilpotent Nakayama")

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

A topological ring without unit  $\mathfrak{J}$  is said to be topologically left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{J}$  the sequence of elements  $a_1, a_1a_2, a_1a_2a_3, \ldots, a_1a_2\cdots a_m, \ldots$  converges to zero in  $\mathfrak{J}$ .

Lemma ("Topologically left T-nilpotent Nakayama")

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

A topological ring without unit  $\mathfrak{J}$  is said to be topologically left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{J}$  the sequence of elements  $a_1, a_1a_2, a_1a_2a_3, \ldots, a_1a_2\cdots a_m, \ldots$  converges to zero in  $\mathfrak{J}$ .

#### Lemma ("Topologically left T-nilpotent Nakayama")

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals, and let  $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  be a closed two-sided ideal. Then

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

A topological ring without unit  $\mathfrak{J}$  is said to be topologically left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{J}$  the sequence of elements  $a_1, a_1a_2, a_1a_2a_3, \ldots, a_1a_2\cdots a_m, \ldots$  converges to zero in  $\mathfrak{J}$ .

### Lemma ("Topologically left T-nilpotent Nakayama")

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals, and let  $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  be a closed two-sided ideal. Then

( )  $\mathfrak{J}$  is topologically left T-nilpotent if and only if

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

A topological ring without unit  $\mathfrak{J}$  is said to be topologically left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{J}$  the sequence of elements  $a_1, a_1a_2, a_1a_2a_3, \ldots, a_1a_2\cdots a_m, \ldots$  converges to zero in  $\mathfrak{J}$ .

### Lemma ("Topologically left T-nilpotent Nakayama")

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals, and let  $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  be a closed two-sided ideal. Then

 ℑ is topologically left T-nilpotent if and only if for every nonzero discrete right ℜ-module N

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

э

A topological ring without unit  $\mathfrak{J}$  is said to be topologically left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{J}$  the sequence of elements  $a_1, a_1a_2, a_1a_2a_3, \ldots, a_1a_2\cdots a_m, \ldots$  converges to zero in  $\mathfrak{J}$ .

### Lemma ("Topologically left T-nilpotent Nakayama")

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals, and let  $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  be a closed two-sided ideal. Then

 ℑ is topologically left T-nilpotent if and only if for every nonzero discrete right ℜ-module N there exists 0 ≠ x ∈ N such that xℑ = 0.

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

э

A topological ring without unit  $\mathfrak{J}$  is said to be topologically left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{J}$  the sequence of elements  $a_1, a_1a_2, a_1a_2a_3, \ldots, a_1a_2\cdots a_m, \ldots$  converges to zero in  $\mathfrak{J}$ .

### Lemma ("Topologically left T-nilpotent Nakayama")

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals, and let  $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  be a closed two-sided ideal. Then

- ℑ is topologically left T-nilpotent if and only if for every nonzero discrete right ℜ-module N there exists 0 ≠ x ∈ N such that xℑ = 0.
- **)** If  $\mathfrak{J}$  is topologically left T-nilpotent

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

э

A topological ring without unit  $\mathfrak{J}$  is said to be topologically left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{J}$  the sequence of elements  $a_1, a_1a_2, a_1a_2a_3, \ldots, a_1a_2\cdots a_m, \ldots$  converges to zero in  $\mathfrak{J}$ .

### Lemma ("Topologically left T-nilpotent Nakayama")

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals, and let  $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  be a closed two-sided ideal. Then

- ℑ is topologically left T-nilpotent if and only if for every nonzero discrete right ℜ-module N there exists 0 ≠ x ∈ N such that xℑ = 0.
- If ℑ is topologically left T-nilpotent, then for any nonzero left ℜ-contramodule €

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

3

A topological ring without unit  $\mathfrak{J}$  is said to be topologically left T-nilpotent if for every sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{J}$  the sequence of elements  $a_1, a_1a_2, a_1a_2a_3, \ldots, a_1a_2\cdots a_m, \ldots$  converges to zero in  $\mathfrak{J}$ .

### Lemma ("Topologically left T-nilpotent Nakayama")

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals, and let  $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  be a closed two-sided ideal. Then

- ℑ is topologically left T-nilpotent if and only if for every nonzero discrete right ℜ-module N there exists 0 ≠ x ∈ N such that xℑ = 0.
- If ℑ is topologically left T-nilpotent, then for any nonzero left ℜ-contramodule ℭ the composition ℑ[[ℭ]] → ℜ[[ℭ]] <sup>π</sup>→ ℭ is not surjective.

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

3

æ

The notion of a topological group

The notion of a topological group (with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by subgroups)

The notion of a topological group (with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by subgroups) seems to be a bit problematic

The notion of a topological group (with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by subgroups) seems to be a bit problematic, in that it is impossible to prove certain natural properties, in general.

The notion of a topological group (with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by subgroups) seems to be a bit problematic, in that it is impossible to prove certain natural properties, in general. So one has to impose them as assumptions.

The notion of a topological group (with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by subgroups) seems to be a bit problematic, in that it is impossible to prove certain natural properties, in general. So one has to impose them as assumptions.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a topological group and  $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{A}$  be a closed subgroup.

The notion of a topological group (with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by subgroups) seems to be a bit problematic, in that it is impossible to prove certain natural properties, in general. So one has to impose them as assumptions.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a topological group and  $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{A}$  be a closed subgroup. The subgroup  $\mathfrak{B}$  is said to be strongly closed in  $\mathfrak{A}$  if the following two conditions hold:

The notion of a topological group (with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by subgroups) seems to be a bit problematic, in that it is impossible to prove certain natural properties, in general. So one has to impose them as assumptions.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a topological group and  $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{A}$  be a closed subgroup. The subgroup  $\mathfrak{B}$  is said to be strongly closed in  $\mathfrak{A}$  if the following two conditions hold:

• the quotient group  $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{B}$  is complete in the quotient topology;

The notion of a topological group (with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by subgroups) seems to be a bit problematic, in that it is impossible to prove certain natural properties, in general. So one has to impose them as assumptions.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a topological group and  $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{A}$  be a closed subgroup. The subgroup  $\mathfrak{B}$  is said to be strongly closed in  $\mathfrak{A}$  if the following two conditions hold:

- the quotient group  $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{B}$  is complete in the quotient topology;
- for any set X, the induced map 𝔅[[X]] → (𝔅/𝔅)[[X]] is surjective

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

The notion of a topological group (with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by subgroups) seems to be a bit problematic, in that it is impossible to prove certain natural properties, in general. So one has to impose them as assumptions.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a topological group and  $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{A}$  be a closed subgroup. The subgroup  $\mathfrak{B}$  is said to be strongly closed in  $\mathfrak{A}$  if the following two conditions hold:

- the quotient group  $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{B}$  is complete in the quotient topology;
- for any set X, the induced map 𝔅[[X]] → (𝔅/𝔅)[[X]] is surjective, that is any X-indexed family of elements converging to zero in 𝔅/𝔅

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The notion of a topological group (with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by subgroups) seems to be a bit problematic, in that it is impossible to prove certain natural properties, in general. So one has to impose them as assumptions.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a topological group and  $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{A}$  be a closed subgroup. The subgroup  $\mathfrak{B}$  is said to be strongly closed in  $\mathfrak{A}$  if the following two conditions hold:

- the quotient group  $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{B}$  is complete in the quotient topology;
- for any set X, the induced map 𝔅[[X]] → (𝔅/𝔅)[[X]] is surjective, that is any X-indexed family of elements converging to zero in 𝔅/𝔅 can be lifted to an X-indexed family of elements converging to zero in 𝔅.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The notion of a topological group (with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by subgroups) seems to be a bit problematic, in that it is impossible to prove certain natural properties, in general. So one has to impose them as assumptions.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a topological group and  $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{A}$  be a closed subgroup. The subgroup  $\mathfrak{B}$  is said to be strongly closed in  $\mathfrak{A}$  if the following two conditions hold:

- the quotient group  $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{B}$  is complete in the quotient topology;
- for any set X, the induced map 𝔅[[X]] → (𝔅/𝔅)[[X]] is surjective, that is any X-indexed family of elements converging to zero in 𝔅/𝔅 can be lifted to an X-indexed family of elements converging to zero in 𝔅.

All open subgroups are strongly closed.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The notion of a topological group (with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by subgroups) seems to be a bit problematic, in that it is impossible to prove certain natural properties, in general. So one has to impose them as assumptions.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a topological group and  $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{A}$  be a closed subgroup. The subgroup  $\mathfrak{B}$  is said to be strongly closed in  $\mathfrak{A}$  if the following two conditions hold:

- $\bullet$  the quotient group  $\mathfrak{A}/\mathfrak{B}$  is complete in the quotient topology;
- for any set X, the induced map 𝔅[[X]] → (𝔅/𝔅)[[X]] is surjective, that is any X-indexed family of elements converging to zero in 𝔅/𝔅 can be lifted to an X-indexed family of elements converging to zero in 𝔅.

All open subgroups are strongly closed. When  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a countable base of neighborhoods of zero, all closed subgroups in  $\mathfrak{A}$  are strongly closed.

æ

• • • • • • •

It is not clear what should be meant by a left pro-perfect topological ring.

★ 3 → < 3</p>

It is not clear what should be meant by a left pro-perfect topological ring. The obvious definition

• • • • • • •

It is not clear what should be meant by a left pro-perfect topological ring. The obvious definition "the topological limit of a filtered diagram of discrete left perfect rings and surjective maps between them"

It is not clear what should be meant by a left pro-perfect topological ring. The obvious definition "the topological limit of a filtered diagram of discrete left perfect rings and surjective maps between them" is both too restrictive and too general.

It is not clear what should be meant by a left pro-perfect topological ring. The obvious definition "the topological limit of a filtered diagram of discrete left perfect rings and surjective maps between them" is both too restrictive and too general. The next theorem lists the conditions which allow to prove what we want.

It is not clear what should be meant by a left pro-perfect topological ring. The obvious definition "the topological limit of a filtered diagram of discrete left perfect rings and surjective maps between them" is both too restrictive and too general. The next theorem lists the conditions which allow to prove what we want.

#### Theorem

Let  $\Re$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by open right ideals

It is not clear what should be meant by a left pro-perfect topological ring. The obvious definition "the topological limit of a filtered diagram of discrete left perfect rings and surjective maps between them" is both too restrictive and too general. The next theorem lists the conditions which allow to prove what we want.

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by open right ideals, and let  $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{R}$  be a strongly closed two-sided ideal.

It is not clear what should be meant by a left pro-perfect topological ring. The obvious definition "the topological limit of a filtered diagram of discrete left perfect rings and surjective maps between them" is both too restrictive and too general. The next theorem lists the conditions which allow to prove what we want.

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by open right ideals, and let  $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{R}$ be a strongly closed two-sided ideal. Suppose that

• the ideal  $\mathfrak{H}$  is topologically left T-nilpotent; and

It is not clear what should be meant by a left pro-perfect topological ring. The obvious definition "the topological limit of a filtered diagram of discrete left perfect rings and surjective maps between them" is both too restrictive and too general. The next theorem lists the conditions which allow to prove what we want.

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by open right ideals, and let  $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{R}$ be a strongly closed two-sided ideal. Suppose that

- the ideal  $\mathfrak{H}$  is topologically left T-nilpotent; and
- the quotient ring  $\mathfrak{S}=\mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{H}$  is isomorphic, as a topological ring

It is not clear what should be meant by a left pro-perfect topological ring. The obvious definition "the topological limit of a filtered diagram of discrete left perfect rings and surjective maps between them" is both too restrictive and too general. The next theorem lists the conditions which allow to prove what we want.

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by open right ideals, and let  $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{R}$ be a strongly closed two-sided ideal. Suppose that

- the ideal  $\mathfrak{H}$  is topologically left T-nilpotent; and
- the quotient ring  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{H}$  is isomorphic, as a topological ring, to the topological product of a family of discrete simple Artinian rings  $(S_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ .

It is not clear what should be meant by a left pro-perfect topological ring. The obvious definition "the topological limit of a filtered diagram of discrete left perfect rings and surjective maps between them" is both too restrictive and too general. The next theorem lists the conditions which allow to prove what we want.

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by open right ideals, and let  $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{R}$ be a strongly closed two-sided ideal. Suppose that

- the ideal  $\mathfrak{H}$  is topologically left T-nilpotent; and
- the quotient ring  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{H}$  is isomorphic, as a topological ring, to the topological product of a family of discrete simple Artinian rings  $(S_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ .

Then all flat left R-contramodules are projective

It is not clear what should be meant by a left pro-perfect topological ring. The obvious definition "the topological limit of a filtered diagram of discrete left perfect rings and surjective maps between them" is both too restrictive and too general. The next theorem lists the conditions which allow to prove what we want.

#### Theorem

Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be a complete, separated topological ring with a base of neighborhoods of zero formed by open right ideals, and let  $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{R}$ be a strongly closed two-sided ideal. Suppose that

- the ideal  $\mathfrak{H}$  is topologically left T-nilpotent; and
- the quotient ring  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{R}/\mathfrak{H}$  is isomorphic, as a topological ring, to the topological product of a family of discrete simple Artinian rings  $(S_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ .

Then all flat left  $\Re$ -contramodules are projective, and all left  $\Re$ -contramodules have projective covers.

\* 3 > < 3</p>

э

To prove our main results, we will have to assume that the (separated and complete) topological associative ring  $\Re$  satisfies one of the following conditions

To prove our main results, we will have to assume that the (separated and complete) topological associative ring  $\Re$  satisfies one of the following conditions: either

**(a)**  $\mathfrak{R}$  is commutative; or

To prove our main results, we will have to assume that the (separated and complete) topological associative ring  $\Re$  satisfies one of the following conditions: either

- (a)  $\Re$  is commutative; or

To prove our main results, we will have to assume that the (separated and complete) topological associative ring  $\Re$  satisfies one of the following conditions: either

- (a)  $\Re$  is commutative; or

To prove our main results, we will have to assume that the (separated and complete) topological associative ring  $\Re$  satisfies one of the following conditions: either

- (a)  $\Re$  is commutative; or

To prove our main results, we will have to assume that the (separated and complete) topological associative ring  $\Re$  satisfies one of the following conditions: either

- (a)  $\Re$  is commutative; or

More generally,  $\mathfrak R$  may belong to the following wider class of topological rings (d)

• • = • • = •

To prove our main results, we will have to assume that the (separated and complete) topological associative ring  $\Re$  satisfies one of the following conditions: either

- (a)  $\Re$  is commutative; or

More generally,  $\Re$  may belong to the following wider class of topological rings (d) containing the classes (a-c):

伺下 イヨト イヨト

To prove our main results, we will have to assume that the (separated and complete) topological associative ring  $\Re$  satisfies one of the following conditions: either

- (a)  $\Re$  is commutative; or

More generally,  $\Re$  may belong to the following wider class of topological rings (d) containing the classes (a-c):

 R has a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

To prove our main results, we will have to assume that the (separated and complete) topological associative ring  $\Re$  satisfies one of the following conditions: either

- (a)  $\Re$  is commutative; or
- R has a countable base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open two-sided ideals; or

More generally,  $\Re$  may belong to the following wider class of topological rings (d) containing the classes (a-c):

 ℜ has a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals, and there is a topologically left T-tilpotent strongly closed two-sided ideal ℜ ⊂ ℜ

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

To prove our main results, we will have to assume that the (separated and complete) topological associative ring  $\Re$  satisfies one of the following conditions: either

- (a)  $\Re$  is commutative; or
- R has a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open two-sided ideals, and R has only a finite number of semisimple Artinian discrete quotient rings.

More generally,  $\Re$  may belong to the following wider class of topological rings (d) containing the classes (a-c):

③ ℜ has a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals, and there is a topologically left T-tilpotent strongly closed two-sided ideal ℜ ⊂ ℜ such that the quotient ring ℜ/ℜ is isomorphic, as a topological ring

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

To prove our main results, we will have to assume that the (separated and complete) topological associative ring  $\Re$  satisfies one of the following conditions: either

- (a)  $\Re$  is commutative; or

More generally,  $\Re$  may belong to the following wider class of topological rings (d) containing the classes (a-c):

 ℜ has a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals, and there is a topologically left T-tilpotent strongly closed two-sided ideal ℜ ⊂ ℜ such that the quotient ring ℜ/ℜ is isomorphic, as a topological ring, to the topological product of a family of topological rings (ℑ<sub>δ</sub>)<sub>δ∈Δ</sub>

To prove our main results, we will have to assume that the (separated and complete) topological associative ring  $\Re$  satisfies one of the following conditions: either

More generally,  $\Re$  may belong to the following wider class of topological rings (d) containing the classes (a-c):

③ ℜ has a base of neighborhoods of zero consisting of open right ideals, and there is a topologically left T-tilpotent strongly closed two-sided ideal ℜ ⊂ ℜ such that the quotient ring ℜ/ℜ is isomorphic, as a topological ring, to the topological product of a family of topological rings (ℑ<sub>δ</sub>)<sub>δ∈Δ</sub>, each of which satisfies one of the conditions (a-c).

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

æ

#### Main Theorem

Let  $\Re$  be a topological associative ring satisfying one of the conditions (a), (b), (c), or (d).

. . . . . . .

#### Main Theorem

Let  $\Re$  be a topological associative ring satisfying one of the conditions (a), (b), (c), or (d). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

→ < Ξ → <</p>

#### Main Theorem

Let  $\Re$  be a topological associative ring satisfying one of the conditions (a), (b), (c), or (d). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

all Bass flat left R-contramodules have projective covers;

#### Main Theorem

Let  $\Re$  be a topological associative ring satisfying one of the conditions (a), (b), (c), or (d). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- all Bass flat left R-contramodules have projective covers;
- **()** all left  $\Re$ -contramodules have projective covers;

#### Main Theorem

Let  $\Re$  be a topological associative ring satisfying one of the conditions (a), (b), (c), or (d). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- all Bass flat left R-contramodules have projective covers;
- **(**) all left  $\Re$ -contramodules have projective covers;
- all flat left \(\mathcal{R}\)-contramodules are projective;

### Main Theorem

Let  $\Re$  be a topological associative ring satisfying one of the conditions (a), (b), (c), or (d). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- all Bass flat left R-contramodules have projective covers;
- **(**) all left  $\Re$ -contramodules have projective covers;
- all flat left R-contramodules are projective;
- O all the discrete quotient rings of  $\mathfrak{R}$  are left perfect;

### Main Theorem

Let  $\Re$  be a topological associative ring satisfying one of the conditions (a), (b), (c), or (d). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- all Bass flat left R-contramodules have projective covers;
- **(1)** all left  $\Re$ -contramodules have projective covers;
- all flat left R-contramodules are projective;
- $\bigcirc$  all the discrete quotient rings of  $\Re$  are left perfect;

**F 4 3 F 4** 

### Main Theorem

Let  $\Re$  be a topological associative ring satisfying one of the conditions (a), (b), (c), or (d). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- all Bass flat left R-contramodules have projective covers;
- Il left R-contramodules have projective covers;
- all flat left R-contramodules are projective;
- $\bigcirc$  all the discrete quotient rings of  $\Re$  are left perfect;

### Main Theorem

Let  $\Re$  be a topological associative ring satisfying one of the conditions (a), (b), (c), or (d). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- all Bass flat left R-contramodules have projective covers;
- **(1)** all left  $\Re$ -contramodules have projective covers;
- all flat left \(\mathcal{R}\)-contramodules are projective;
- $\bigcirc$  all the discrete quotient rings of  $\Re$  are left perfect;

The assumption of one of the conditions (a), (b), (c) or (d)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

### Main Theorem

Let  $\Re$  be a topological associative ring satisfying one of the conditions (a), (b), (c), or (d). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- all Bass flat left R-contramodules have projective covers;
- Il left R-contramodules have projective covers;
- all flat left \(\mathcal{R}\)-contramodules are projective;
- $\bigcirc$  all the discrete quotient rings of  $\Re$  are left perfect;

The assumption of one of the conditions (a), (b), (c) or (d) is needed in order to deduce (v) from (iv).

- 4 周 ト 4 戸 ト 4 戸 ト

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module.

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Denote by Add(M) the full subcategory in A-Mod consisting of all the direct summands of direct sums of copies of M.

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Denote by Add(M) the full subcategory in A-Mod consisting of all the direct summands of direct sums of copies of M.

Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  denote the opposite ring to the ring of endomorphisms of the *A*-module *M* 

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Denote by Add(M) the full subcategory in A-Mod consisting of all the direct summands of direct sums of copies of M.

Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  denote the opposite ring to the ring of endomorphisms of the A-module M (so  $\mathfrak{R}$  acts in M on the right).

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Denote by Add(M) the full subcategory in A-Mod consisting of all the direct summands of direct sums of copies of M.

Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  denote the opposite ring to the ring of endomorphisms of the A-module M (so  $\mathfrak{R}$  acts in M on the right). Endow  $\mathfrak{R}$  with the topology

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Denote by Add(M) the full subcategory in A-Mod consisting of all the direct summands of direct sums of copies of M.

Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  denote the opposite ring to the ring of endomorphisms of the A-module M (so  $\mathfrak{R}$  acts in M on the right). Endow  $\mathfrak{R}$  with the topology in which annihilators of finitely generated submodules of M form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Denote by Add(M) the full subcategory in A-Mod consisting of all the direct summands of direct sums of copies of M.

Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  denote the opposite ring to the ring of endomorphisms of the A-module M (so  $\mathfrak{R}$  acts in M on the right). Endow  $\mathfrak{R}$  with the topology in which annihilators of finitely generated submodules of M form a base of neighborhoods of zero. Then  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a complete, separated topological ring in which open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Denote by Add(M) the full subcategory in A-Mod consisting of all the direct summands of direct sums of copies of M.

Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  denote the opposite ring to the ring of endomorphisms of the A-module M (so  $\mathfrak{R}$  acts in M on the right). Endow  $\mathfrak{R}$  with the topology in which annihilators of finitely generated submodules of M form a base of neighborhoods of zero. Then  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a complete, separated topological ring in which open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

The category Add(M) is naturally equivalent to the full subcategory of projective objects in  $\Re$ -Contra.

直 ト イヨ ト イヨト

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Denote by Add(M) the full subcategory in A-Mod consisting of all the direct summands of direct sums of copies of M.

Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  denote the opposite ring to the ring of endomorphisms of the A-module M (so  $\mathfrak{R}$  acts in M on the right). Endow  $\mathfrak{R}$  with the topology in which annihilators of finitely generated submodules of M form a base of neighborhoods of zero. Then  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a complete, separated topological ring in which open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

The category Add(M) is naturally equivalent to the full subcategory of projective objects in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra. This equivalence extends to a pair of adjoint functors  $\Psi: A$ -Mod  $\leftrightarrows \mathfrak{R}$ -Contra :  $\Phi$ 

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Denote by Add(M) the full subcategory in A-Mod consisting of all the direct summands of direct sums of copies of M.

Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  denote the opposite ring to the ring of endomorphisms of the A-module M (so  $\mathfrak{R}$  acts in M on the right). Endow  $\mathfrak{R}$  with the topology in which annihilators of finitely generated submodules of M form a base of neighborhoods of zero. Then  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a complete, separated topological ring in which open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

The category  $\operatorname{Add}(M)$  is naturally equivalent to the full subcategory of projective objects in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra. This equivalence extends to a pair of adjoint functors  $\Psi: A\operatorname{-Mod} \leftrightarrows \mathfrak{R}$ -Contra : $\Phi$ , with the right adjoint functor  $\Psi$  assigning to any left *A*-module *N* the abelian group  $\operatorname{Hom}_A(M, N)$ 

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Denote by Add(M) the full subcategory in A-Mod consisting of all the direct summands of direct sums of copies of M.

Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  denote the opposite ring to the ring of endomorphisms of the A-module M (so  $\mathfrak{R}$  acts in M on the right). Endow  $\mathfrak{R}$  with the topology in which annihilators of finitely generated submodules of M form a base of neighborhoods of zero. Then  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a complete, separated topological ring in which open right ideals form a base of neighborhoods of zero.

The category Add(M) is naturally equivalent to the full subcategory of projective objects in  $\Re$ -Contra. This equivalence extends to a pair of adjoint functors  $\Psi$ : A-Mod  $\leftrightarrows \Re$ -Contra :  $\Phi$ , with the right adjoint functor  $\Psi$  assigning to any left A-module Nthe abelian group Hom<sub>A</sub>(M, N), which has a natural left  $\Re$ -contramodule structure.

# Abstract Corresponding Classes (from a paper of A. Frankild and P. Jørgensen, 2002)

(from a paper of A. Frankild and P. Jørgensen, 2002)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two categories and

 $\Psi\colon \mathcal{A}\leftrightarrows \mathcal{B}:\Phi$ 

be a pair of adjoint functors between them.

(from a paper of A. Frankild and P. Jørgensen, 2002)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two categories and

 $\Psi \colon \mathcal{A} \leftrightarrows \mathcal{B} : \Phi$ 

be a pair of adjoint functors between them.

Let  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be the full subcategory of all objects  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ 

(from a paper of A. Frankild and P. Jørgensen, 2002)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two categories and

 $\Psi \colon \mathcal{A} \leftrightarrows \mathcal{B} \colon \Phi$ 

be a pair of adjoint functors between them.

Let  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be the full subcategory of all objects  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  for which the adjunction morphism  $\Phi(\Psi(E)) \longrightarrow E$  is an isomorphism.

(from a paper of A. Frankild and P. Jørgensen, 2002)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two categories and

 $\Psi \colon \mathcal{A} \leftrightarrows \mathcal{B} \colon \Phi$ 

be a pair of adjoint functors between them.

Let  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be the full subcategory of all objects  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  for which the adjunction morphism  $\Phi(\Psi(E)) \longrightarrow E$  is an isomorphism.

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}$  be the full subcategory of all objects  $F \in \mathcal{B}$ 

(from a paper of A. Frankild and P. Jørgensen, 2002)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two categories and

 $\Psi\colon \mathcal{A}\leftrightarrows \mathcal{B}:\Phi$ 

be a pair of adjoint functors between them.

Let  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be the full subcategory of all objects  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  for which the adjunction morphism  $\Phi(\Psi(E)) \longrightarrow E$  is an isomorphism.

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}$  be the full subcategory of all objects  $F \in \mathcal{B}$  for which the adjunction morphism  $F \longrightarrow \Psi(\Phi(F))$  is an isomorphism.

(from a paper of A. Frankild and P. Jørgensen, 2002)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two categories and

 $\Psi \colon \mathcal{A} \leftrightarrows \mathcal{B} \colon \Phi$ 

be a pair of adjoint functors between them.

Let  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be the full subcategory of all objects  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  for which the adjunction morphism  $\Phi(\Psi(E)) \longrightarrow E$  is an isomorphism.

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}$  be the full subcategory of all objects  $F \in \mathcal{B}$  for which the adjunction morphism  $F \longrightarrow \Psi(\Phi(F))$  is an isomorphism.

Then the restrictions of the functors  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are mutually inverse equivalences between the categories  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ 

伺下 イヨト イヨト

(from a paper of A. Frankild and P. Jørgensen, 2002)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two categories and

 $\Psi \colon \mathcal{A} \leftrightarrows \mathcal{B} \colon \Phi$ 

be a pair of adjoint functors between them.

Let  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be the full subcategory of all objects  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  for which the adjunction morphism  $\Phi(\Psi(E)) \longrightarrow E$  is an isomorphism.

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}$  be the full subcategory of all objects  $F \in \mathcal{B}$  for which the adjunction morphism  $F \longrightarrow \Psi(\Phi(F))$  is an isomorphism.

Then the restrictions of the functors  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are mutually inverse equivalences between the categories  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\Psi|_{\mathcal{E}}\colon \mathcal{E}\cong \mathcal{F}:\Phi|_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

伺下 イヨト イヨト

→ < Ξ → <</p>

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module.

★ Ξ →

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Let  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , ... be a sequence of A-module morphisms  $f_n: M \longrightarrow M$ .

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Let  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , ... be a sequence of A-module morphisms  $f_n: M \longrightarrow M$ . An M-Bass A-module

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Let  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , ... be a sequence of A-module morphisms  $f_n: M \longrightarrow M$ . An M-Bass A-module is a left A-module of the form

$$N = \varinjlim (M \xrightarrow{f_1} M \xrightarrow{f_2} M \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots).$$

• • = • • = •

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Let  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , ... be a sequence of A-module morphisms  $f_n: M \longrightarrow M$ . An M-Bass A-module is a left A-module of the form

$$N = \varinjlim (M \xrightarrow{f_1} M \xrightarrow{f_2} M \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots).$$

So there is a natural (telescope) short exact sequence of A-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Let  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , ... be a sequence of A-module morphisms  $f_n: M \longrightarrow M$ . An M-Bass A-module is a left A-module of the form

$$N = \varinjlim (M \xrightarrow{f_1} M \xrightarrow{f_2} M \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots).$$

So there is a natural (telescope) short exact sequence of A-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0.$$

We will say that an *A*-module *M* satisfies the *telescope Hom* exactness condition (THEC)

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Let  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , ... be a sequence of A-module morphisms  $f_n: M \longrightarrow M$ . An M-Bass A-module is a left A-module of the form

$$N = \varinjlim (M \xrightarrow{f_1} M \xrightarrow{f_2} M \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots).$$

So there is a natural (telescope) short exact sequence of A-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0.$$

We will say that an A-module M satisfies the *telescope Hom* exactness condition (THEC) if, for any  $f_1, f_2, \ldots$ , this short exact sequence remains exact after applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_A(M, -)$ .

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Let  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , ... be a sequence of A-module morphisms  $f_n: M \longrightarrow M$ . An M-Bass A-module is a left A-module of the form

$$N = \varinjlim (M \xrightarrow{f_1} M \xrightarrow{f_2} M \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots).$$

So there is a natural (telescope) short exact sequence of A-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0.$$

We will say that an A-module M satisfies the *telescope Hom* exactness condition (THEC) if, for any  $f_1, f_2, \ldots$ , this short exact sequence remains exact after applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_A(M, -)$ . The following classes of A-modules satisfy THEC:

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Let  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , ... be a sequence of A-module morphisms  $f_n: M \longrightarrow M$ . An M-Bass A-module is a left A-module of the form

$$N = \varinjlim (M \xrightarrow{f_1} M \xrightarrow{f_2} M \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots).$$

So there is a natural (telescope) short exact sequence of A-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0.$$

We will say that an A-module M satisfies the *telescope Hom* exactness condition (THEC) if, for any  $f_1, f_2, \ldots$ , this short exact sequence remains exact after applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_A(M, -)$ .

The following classes of A-modules satisfy THEC:

• all  $\Sigma$ -rigid modules M, i.e., left A-modules for which  $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}(M, M^{(\omega)}) = 0;$ 

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Let  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , ... be a sequence of A-module morphisms  $f_n: M \longrightarrow M$ . An M-Bass A-module is a left A-module of the form

$$N = \varinjlim (M \xrightarrow{f_1} M \xrightarrow{f_2} M \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots).$$

So there is a natural (telescope) short exact sequence of A-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0.$$

We will say that an A-module M satisfies the *telescope Hom* exactness condition (THEC) if, for any  $f_1, f_2, \ldots$ , this short exact sequence remains exact after applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_A(M, -)$ .

The following classes of A-modules satisfy THEC:

- all  $\Sigma$ -rigid modules M, i.e., left A-modules for which  $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}(M, M^{(\omega)}) = 0;$
- all self-pure-projective modules M

# Telescope Hom Exactness Condition

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Let  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , ... be a sequence of A-module morphisms  $f_n: M \longrightarrow M$ . An M-Bass A-module is a left A-module of the form

$$N = \varinjlim (M \xrightarrow{f_1} M \xrightarrow{f_2} M \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots).$$

So there is a natural (telescope) short exact sequence of A-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0.$$

We will say that an A-module M satisfies the *telescope Hom* exactness condition (THEC) if, for any  $f_1, f_2, \ldots$ , this short exact sequence remains exact after applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_A(M, -)$ .

The following classes of A-modules satisfy THEC:

- all  $\Sigma$ -rigid modules M, i.e., left A-modules for which  $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}(M, M^{(\omega)}) = 0;$
- all self-pure-projective modules M, i.e., A-modules for which the functor  $\text{Hom}_A(M, -)$  preserves exactness of pure exact sequences  $0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow M^{(\omega)} \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$

# Telescope Hom Exactness Condition

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module. Let  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ , ... be a sequence of A-module morphisms  $f_n: M \longrightarrow M$ . An M-Bass A-module is a left A-module of the form

$$N = \varinjlim (M \xrightarrow{f_1} M \xrightarrow{f_2} M \xrightarrow{f_3} \cdots).$$

So there is a natural (telescope) short exact sequence of A-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} M \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0.$$

We will say that an A-module M satisfies the *telescope Hom* exactness condition (THEC) if, for any  $f_1, f_2, \ldots$ , this short exact sequence remains exact after applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_A(M, -)$ .

The following classes of A-modules satisfy THEC:

- all  $\Sigma$ -rigid modules M, i.e., left A-modules for which  $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}(M, M^{(\omega)}) = 0;$
- all self-pure-projective modules *M*, i.e., *A*-modules for which the functor Hom<sub>A</sub>(*M*, −) preserves exactness of pure exact sequences 0 → *K* → *M*<sup>(ω)</sup> → *L* → 0, *K*, *L* ∈ *A*-Mod.

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ

æ

Lemma

Let M be a left A-module satisfying THEC

#### Lemma

Let *M* be a left *A*-module satisfying THEC, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms.

#### Lemma

Let *M* be a left *A*-module satisfying THEC, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that every *M*-Bass left *A*-module has an Add(*M*)-cover.

#### Lemma

Let *M* be a left *A*-module satisfying THEC, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that every *M*-Bass left *A*-module has an Add(*M*)-cover. Then any Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule has a projective cover.

#### Lemma

Let *M* be a left *A*-module satisfying THEC, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that every *M*-Bass left *A*-module has an Add(*M*)-cover. Then any Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule has a projective cover.

### Proof.

#### Lemma

Let *M* be a left *A*-module satisfying THEC, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that every *M*-Bass left *A*-module has an Add(*M*)-cover. Then any Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule has a projective cover.

### Proof.

Consider the pair of adjoint functors  $\Psi$ : A-Mod  $\leftrightarrows$   $\Re$ -Contra :  $\Phi$ 

#### Lemma

Let *M* be a left *A*-module satisfying THEC, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that every *M*-Bass left *A*-module has an Add(*M*)-cover. Then any Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule has a projective cover.

### Proof.

Consider the pair of adjoint functors  $\Psi$ : *A*-Mod  $\leftrightarrows$   $\Re$ -Contra :  $\Phi$ , and let  $\mathcal{E} \subset A$ -Mod and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \Re$ -Contra

#### Lemma

Let *M* be a left *A*-module satisfying THEC, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that every *M*-Bass left *A*-module has an Add(*M*)-cover. Then any Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule has a projective cover.

### Proof.

Consider the pair of adjoint functors  $\Psi$ : *A*-Mod  $\leftrightarrows$   $\Re$ -Contra : $\Phi$ , and let  $\mathcal{E} \subset A$ -Mod and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \Re$ -Contra be the two corresponding classes of objects under this adjoint pair (so  $\Psi$ :  $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{F} : \Phi$ ).

#### Lemma

Let *M* be a left *A*-module satisfying THEC, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that every *M*-Bass left *A*-module has an Add(*M*)-cover. Then any Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule has a projective cover.

### Proof.

Consider the pair of adjoint functors  $\Psi: A$ -Mod  $\leftrightarrows \mathfrak{R}$ -Contra : $\Phi$ , and let  $\mathcal{E} \subset A$ -Mod and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathfrak{R}$ -Contra be the two corresponding classes of objects under this adjoint pair (so  $\Psi: \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{F}: \Phi$ ). Fix a sequence of A-module maps  $f_1, f_2, \ldots: M \longrightarrow M$ 

#### Lemma

Let *M* be a left *A*-module satisfying THEC, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that every *M*-Bass left *A*-module has an Add(*M*)-cover. Then any Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule has a projective cover.

### Proof.

Consider the pair of adjoint functors  $\Psi: A$ -Mod  $\leftrightarrows \Re$ -Contra :  $\Phi$ , and let  $\mathcal{E} \subset A$ -Mod and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \Re$ -Contra be the two corresponding classes of objects under this adjoint pair (so  $\Psi: \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{F} : \Phi$ ). Fix a sequence of A-module maps  $f_1, f_2, \ldots : M \longrightarrow M$ , or, which is the same, a sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \Re$ .

#### Lemma

Let *M* be a left *A*-module satisfying THEC, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that every *M*-Bass left *A*-module has an Add(*M*)-cover. Then any Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule has a projective cover.

### Proof.

Consider the pair of adjoint functors  $\Psi: A$ -Mod  $\leftrightarrows \mathfrak{R}$ -Contra : $\Phi$ , and let  $\mathcal{E} \subset A$ -Mod and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathfrak{R}$ -Contra be the two corresponding classes of objects under this adjoint pair (so  $\Psi: \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{F} : \Phi$ ). Fix a sequence of *A*-module maps  $f_1, f_2, \ldots: M \longrightarrow M$ , or, which is the same, a sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Let *N* and  $\mathfrak{B}$ be the related *M*-Bass *A*-module and Bass flat  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule.

#### Lemma

Let *M* be a left *A*-module satisfying THEC, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that every *M*-Bass left *A*-module has an Add(*M*)-cover. Then any Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule has a projective cover.

### Proof.

Consider the pair of adjoint functors  $\Psi: A$ -Mod  $\leftrightarrows \mathfrak{R}$ -Contra : $\Phi$ , and let  $\mathcal{E} \subset A$ -Mod and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathfrak{R}$ -Contra be the two corresponding classes of objects under this adjoint pair (so  $\Psi: \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{F} : \Phi$ ). Fix a sequence of A-module maps  $f_1, f_2, \ldots : M \longrightarrow M$ , or, which is the same, a sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Let N and  $\mathfrak{B}$ be the related M-Bass A-module and Bass flat  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule. One always has  $N = \Phi(\mathfrak{B})$ .

#### Lemma

Let *M* be a left *A*-module satisfying THEC, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that every *M*-Bass left *A*-module has an Add(*M*)-cover. Then any Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule has a projective cover.

### Proof.

Consider the pair of adjoint functors  $\Psi: A$ -Mod  $\leftrightarrows \mathfrak{R}$ -Contra : $\Phi$ , and let  $\mathcal{E} \subset A$ -Mod and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathfrak{R}$ -Contra be the two corresponding classes of objects under this adjoint pair (so  $\Psi: \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{F} : \Phi$ ). Fix a sequence of A-module maps  $f_1, f_2, \ldots : M \longrightarrow M$ , or, which is the same, a sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Let N and  $\mathfrak{B}$ be the related M-Bass A-module and Bass flat  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule. One always has  $N = \Phi(\mathfrak{B})$ . If M satisfies THEC, then one also has  $\mathfrak{B} = \Psi(N)$ 

#### Lemma

Let *M* be a left *A*-module satisfying THEC, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that every *M*-Bass left *A*-module has an Add(*M*)-cover. Then any Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule has a projective cover.

### Proof.

Consider the pair of adjoint functors  $\Psi: A$ -Mod  $\leftrightarrows \mathfrak{R}$ -Contra : $\Phi$ , and let  $\mathcal{E} \subset A$ -Mod and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathfrak{R}$ -Contra be the two corresponding classes of objects under this adjoint pair (so  $\Psi: \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{F} : \Phi$ ). Fix a sequence of A-module maps  $f_1, f_2, \ldots : M \longrightarrow M$ , or, which is the same, a sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Let N and  $\mathfrak{B}$ be the related M-Bass A-module and Bass flat  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule. One always has  $N = \Phi(\mathfrak{B})$ . If M satisfies THEC, then one also has  $\mathfrak{B} = \Psi(N)$ , essentially because the functor  $\Psi$  can be computed as  $\operatorname{Hom}_A(M, -)$ .

#### Lemma

Let *M* be a left *A*-module satisfying THEC, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that every *M*-Bass left *A*-module has an Add(*M*)-cover. Then any Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule has a projective cover.

### Proof.

Consider the pair of adjoint functors  $\Psi: A$ -Mod  $\leftrightarrows \mathfrak{R}$ -Contra : $\Phi$ , and let  $\mathcal{E} \subset A$ -Mod and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathfrak{R}$ -Contra be the two corresponding classes of objects under this adjoint pair (so  $\Psi: \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{F} : \Phi$ ). Fix a sequence of A-module maps  $f_1, f_2, \ldots : M \longrightarrow M$ , or, which is the same, a sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Let N and  $\mathfrak{B}$ be the related M-Bass A-module and Bass flat  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule. One always has  $N = \Phi(\mathfrak{B})$ . If M satisfies THEC, then one also has  $\mathfrak{B} = \Psi(N)$ , essentially because the functor  $\Psi$  can be computed as  $\operatorname{Hom}_A(M, -)$ . Hence  $N \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{F}$ 

#### Lemma

Let *M* be a left *A*-module satisfying THEC, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that every *M*-Bass left *A*-module has an Add(*M*)-cover. Then any Bass flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule has a projective cover.

### Proof.

Consider the pair of adjoint functors  $\Psi: A$ -Mod  $\leftrightarrows \mathfrak{R}$ -Contra : $\Phi$ , and let  $\mathcal{E} \subset A$ -Mod and  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathfrak{R}$ -Contra be the two corresponding classes of objects under this adjoint pair (so  $\Psi: \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{F} : \Phi$ ). Fix a sequence of A-module maps  $f_1, f_2, \ldots : M \longrightarrow M$ , or, which is the same, a sequence of elements  $a_1, a_2, \ldots \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Let N and  $\mathfrak{B}$ be the related M-Bass A-module and Bass flat  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodule. One always has  $N = \Phi(\mathfrak{B})$ . If M satisfies THEC, then one also has  $\mathfrak{B} = \Psi(N)$ , essentially because the functor  $\Psi$  can be computed as  $\operatorname{Hom}_A(M, -)$ . Hence  $N \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{F}$ , and it follows that  $\Psi$ takes any  $\operatorname{Add}(M)$ -cover of N to a projective cover of  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

伺 ト イヨト イヨ

æ

#### Lemma

Let *M* be a left *A*-module, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms.

#### Lemma

Let M be a left A-module, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that the class of projective left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules is closed under filtered colimits in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra

#### Lemma

Let M be a left A-module, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that the class of projective left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules is closed under filtered colimits in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra (e.g., all flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules are projective).

#### Lemma

Let M be a left A-module, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that the class of projective left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules is closed under filtered colimits in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra (e.g., all flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules are projective). Then the class  $\operatorname{Add}(M)$  is closed under filtered colimits in A-Mod.

#### Lemma

Let M be a left A-module, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that the class of projective left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules is closed under filtered colimits in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra (e.g., all flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules are projective). Then the class  $\operatorname{Add}(M)$  is closed under filtered colimits in A-Mod.

### Proof.

#### Lemma

Let M be a left A-module, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that the class of projective left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules is closed under filtered colimits in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra (e.g., all flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules are projective). Then the class  $\operatorname{Add}(M)$  is closed under filtered colimits in A-Mod.

### Proof.

Since the functors  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  restrict to mutually inverse equivalences

$$\Psi$$
: Add $(M) \cong \mathfrak{R} ext{-Contraproj} : \Phi$ 

#### Lemma

Let M be a left A-module, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that the class of projective left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules is closed under filtered colimits in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra (e.g., all flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules are projective). Then the class  $\operatorname{Add}(M)$  is closed under filtered colimits in A-Mod.

### Proof.

Since the functors  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  restrict to mutually inverse equivalences

$$\Psi$$
: Add $(M) \cong \Re$ -Contra<sub>proj</sub> :  $\Phi$ ,

any filtered diagram in Add(M) can be obtained by applying  $\Phi$  to a filtered diagram in  $\Re$ -Contra<sub>proj</sub>.

#### Lemma

Let M be a left A-module, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that the class of projective left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules is closed under filtered colimits in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra (e.g., all flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules are projective). Then the class  $\operatorname{Add}(M)$  is closed under filtered colimits in A-Mod.

### Proof.

Since the functors  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  restrict to mutually inverse equivalences

$$\Psi$$
: Add $(M) \cong \Re$ -Contra<sub>proj</sub> :  $\Phi$ ,

any filtered diagram in  $\operatorname{Add}(M)$  can be obtained by applying  $\Phi$  to a filtered diagram in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra<sub>proj</sub>. It remains to observe that the functor  $\Phi$  preserves colimits

#### Lemma

Let M be a left A-module, and let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$  be its topological ring of endomorphisms. Assume that the class of projective left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules is closed under filtered colimits in  $\mathfrak{R}$ -Contra (e.g., all flat left  $\mathfrak{R}$ -contramodules are projective). Then the class  $\operatorname{Add}(M)$  is closed under filtered colimits in A-Mod.

### Proof.

Since the functors  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  restrict to mutually inverse equivalences

$$\Psi$$
: Add $(M) \cong \Re$ -Contra<sub>proj</sub> :  $\Phi$ ,

any filtered diagram in Add(M) can be obtained by applying  $\Phi$  to a filtered diagram in  $\Re$ -Contra<sub>proj</sub>. It remains to observe that the functor  $\Phi$  preserves colimits, since it is a left adjoint.

Corollary

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module

## Corollary

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module satisfying the telescope Hom exactness condition.

## Corollary

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module satisfying the telescope Hom exactness condition. Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$ be the topological ring of endomorphisms of M.

## Corollary

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module satisfying the telescope Hom exactness condition. Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$ be the topological ring of endomorphisms of M. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{R}$ belongs to one of the special classes of topological rings (a), (b), (c), or (d)

## Corollary

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module satisfying the telescope Hom exactness condition. Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$ be the topological ring of endomorphisms of M. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{R}$ belongs to one of the special classes of topological rings (a), (b), (c), or (d) (e.g.,  $\mathfrak{R}$  is commutative).

## Corollary

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module satisfying the telescope Hom exactness condition. Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$ be the topological ring of endomorphisms of M. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{R}$ belongs to one of the special classes of topological rings (a), (b), (c), or (d) (e.g.,  $\mathfrak{R}$  is commutative). Assume that every M-Bass left A-module has an Add(M)-cover.

## Corollary

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module satisfying the telescope Hom exactness condition. Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$ be the topological ring of endomorphisms of M. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{R}$ belongs to one of the special classes of topological rings (a), (b), (c), or (d) (e.g.,  $\mathfrak{R}$  is commutative). Assume that every M-Bass left A-module has an Add(M)-cover. Then the class of left A-modules Add(M) is closed under filtered colimits in A-Mod.

## Corollary

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module satisfying the telescope Hom exactness condition. Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$ be the topological ring of endomorphisms of M. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{R}$ belongs to one of the special classes of topological rings (a), (b), (c), or (d) (e.g.,  $\mathfrak{R}$  is commutative). Assume that every M-Bass left A-module has an Add(M)-cover. Then the class of left A-modules Add(M) is closed under filtered colimits in A-Mod.

### Proof.

Follows from Main Theorem and the two previous lemmas.

## Corollary

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module satisfying the telescope Hom exactness condition. Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$ be the topological ring of endomorphisms of M. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{R}$ belongs to one of the special classes of topological rings (a), (b), (c), or (d) (e.g.,  $\mathfrak{R}$  is commutative). Assume that every M-Bass left A-module has an Add(M)-cover. Then the class of left A-modules Add(M) is closed under filtered colimits in A-Mod.

### Proof.

Follows from Main Theorem and the two previous lemmas.

### Remark

If Main Conjecture were known to be true

## Corollary

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module satisfying the telescope Hom exactness condition. Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$ be the topological ring of endomorphisms of M. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{R}$ belongs to one of the special classes of topological rings (a), (b), (c), or (d) (e.g.,  $\mathfrak{R}$  is commutative). Assume that every M-Bass left A-module has an Add(M)-cover. Then the class of left A-modules Add(M) is closed under filtered colimits in A-Mod.

### Proof.

Follows from Main Theorem and the two previous lemmas.

### Remark

If Main Conjecture were known to be true, one could drop the assumption of one of the conditions (a-d) in the formulation of the Corollary.

## Corollary

Let A be an associative ring and M be a left A-module satisfying the telescope Hom exactness condition. Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, M)^{\operatorname{op}}$ be the topological ring of endomorphisms of M. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{R}$ belongs to one of the special classes of topological rings (a), (b), (c), or (d) (e.g.,  $\mathfrak{R}$  is commutative). Assume that every M-Bass left A-module has an Add(M)-cover. Then the class of left A-modules Add(M) is closed under filtered colimits in A-Mod.

### Proof.

Follows from Main Theorem and the two previous lemmas.

### Remark

If Main Conjecture were known to be true, one could drop the assumption of one of the conditions (a-d) in the formulation of the Corollary. The THEC assumption would still be needed.